Hash-based data-structures for querying large *k*-mer (collections of) sets

CiE 2023

Camille Marchet CNRS, CRIStAL Lille, France

camille.marchet@univ-lille.fr

🥑 @CamilleMrcht





Introduction - indexing genomic data

- Read datasets, contig sets, pangenomes
- Queries: genes, mutations + genomic context, splicing events, exons,

Single species projects



28 Tera basesof human genomeswith 600,000 millions of SNPs[Halldorson et al. 22]

Multi species projects



Multi projects banks



47 Peta bases of reads Sequence Read Archive

data-structure wise, we're around here

Huge limitation: extract information from these collections at a reasonable cost

Introduction - When it comes to *k*-mers



In practice :

- k sizes : 15-19 (long reads), 21-51 (short reads)
- Billions (of distinct *k*-mers) easily reached in experiments
- The notion of cost becomes central

Introduction - Themes of this talk



Indexing k-mer sets: k-mers sets representations *k*-mer sets - representation in Conway & Bromage¹





¹Conway & Bromage 2011

k-mer sets - representation in Conway & Bromage



worst case lower bound:

(4^k) bits = 35 bits per 31-mer

~13 GB for distinct 31-mers of the whole genome

k-mer sets - representation in Conway & Bromage



A 00 C 01 G 10 T 11

In practice k-mers overlap and later structures take advantage of this property

k-mer sets - "beat" the lower bound with $Minia^2$

a *k*-mer set can be seen as a de Bruijn graph

k-mer set: {ATAA, TAAC, AACA, AAAC, ACAA, CAAT, AATT, ATTG, CCAT, CATT, ACAC, CACA}



k-mer sets - "beat" the lower bound with Minia

a k-mer set can be seen as a de Bruijn graph encoded in a probabilistic data structure



probabilistic k-mer set



k-mer sets - encoding with Bloom filters



for a 10 x n BF size

1bit x h x 3.10^{10} bits = **3.8 GB** for distinct 31-mers for h=1, **FPR ~10%**

= 11.2 GB if 0.1% FPR (h=3) + possible further compression k-mer sets - playing with the de Bruijn graph: SPSS

Spectrum preserving string sets (SPSS, first described in [Rahman & Medvedev 2020]) intuition



k-mer sets - playing with the de Bruijn graph: SPSS

Heuristics close to the lower bound: UST, simplitigs [Brinda et al. 2020, Rahman & Medvedev. 2020]



unitig set: (33 bases) {ATAAC, AAAC, AACA, ACAAAT, CCATT, ATTG} UST/simplitig set: (24 bases) {ATAACAATTG, AAAC, ACACA, CCATT}

k-mer sets - playing with the de Bruijn graph: SPSS

Optimal solution [Schmidt and Alanko 2022] shortest superstring in an eulerian graph

k-mer set: {ATAA, TAAC, AACA, AAAC, ACAA, CAAT, AATT, ATTG, CCAT, CATT, ACAC, CACA}



output string set (24 nucleotides):

output string set (21 nucleotides):

{AAAC, ACACA, ATAACAATTG, CCATT}

{ATAACACAATTG, ----+ CCATT, ----+ AAAC}

In theory, the three approaches (2 heuristics, 1 optimal) are linear. More formalization in [Sladky et al. 2023].

k-mer sets - encoding with an example of SPSS

Using simplitigs/UST:

n = ~3.10 ⁹ 31-mers in human genome <mark>redundance</mark>	using SPSS
AAAAAC AAAACC AAACCT	AAAAACCT CCTACAG
CCTACA CTACAG	

4.1 bits per k-mer on average

 ${\sim}1.5~GB$ for distinct 31-mers of the whole human genome

Indexing k-mer sets: hash functions and hash tables



- The hash function itself has a cost. Theoretical bound: 1.44 bits per key
- Practical implementations used in bioinformatics (fast construction/query, around 4 bits/key)
 - BBHASH [Limasset et al. 2014]
 - PTHash [Pibiri et al. 2021]



+rank on the final bitvector

PTHash [Pibiri 2021]



+remember h_x /bucket x association

BBHash and PTHash's construction times are linear, PTHash generates less cache misses during queries.

Associative indexes - "2nd generation" of MPHFs in bioinformatics Specialized for *k*-mer sets LPHash [Pibiri et al. 2023]



Associative indexes - "Beat" another lower bound

bits/key





- <u>MPHFs</u> are static
- <u>MPHFs</u> are **only** hash **functions**, in order to build a hash table we need a representation of the keys to deal with alien keys

MPHFs are combined with a key representation scheme (typically a SPSS) to build hash tables

Associative indexes - Hash tables for k-mers

Efficient k-mer hash tables:

- SRC [Marchet et al. 2016]: MPHF + *k*-mers fingerprints
- Counting quotient filters [Pandey et al. 2017]: another hashing strategy
- Pufferfish: MPHF+unitigs [Almodaresi et al. 2018]
- BLight: MPHF+partitioning+SPSS [Marchet et al. 2021]
- SSHash: MPHF+SPSS+ optimizations based on sparse and skew distribution of k-mers [Pibiri 2022]

Applications:

Example of achievement:

index the 31-mers of the human genome in RAM in <8GB (BLight)

- Counting *k*-mers [Pandey et al. 2018]
- Large scale quantification [Marchet et al. 2020]
- Read alignment [Almodaresi et al. 2021]

Indexing collections of k-mer sets

Collections of k-mer sets

a set of datasets {d₁, d₂, ... d_n} (reads multisets)



return all di's where the query occurs

- Each dataset (and the query) are seen as sets of *k*-mers
- The query is "present" in a dataset if *enough* of its *k*-mers are found

Collections of k-mer sets - State of the art



acat

some level of relatedness, either from shared data-structures or inherited ideas.

Collections of k-mer sets - State of the art



- Exact methods: for precise, short queries or when colored de Bruijn graphs are needed
- Probabilistic methods: better scalability if false positives are acceptable

Collections of *k***-mer sets** - probabilistic methods: querying Bloom filters



Matrix/interleaved bit structures

- Find a *k*-mer's information in a single access
- Adapt size/compress filters according to the dataset content
- Bradley et al. 19, Bingman et al. 19. Close concept: Mehringer et al. 22

Hash-based data-structures for querying large k-mer (collections of) sets

Marchet C 28 / 33

Collections of *k*-mer sets - querying Bloom filters



Sequence Bloom trees (binary trees) structures

- Query speed can be better than matrices in theory
- Works well with queries for k-mers in restrained regions of the tree (leaf order is important)
- $\sim 2n$ filters to store + compression strategies
- Solomon & Kingsford 16&18, Sun et al. 18, Harris & Medvedev 20

Collections of *k*-mer sets - Combine trees and matrices



Other approach not presented: SeqOthello [Yu et al. 18] (different hash-based strategy)

Collections of k-mer sets - Usage

Examples on ~ 2,500 human RNA-seq (50TB uncompressed)

	inexact structures	exact structures
construction time	2-10h	~ 20h
index size	~15 GB	30 GB or more

Collections of k-mer sets - Open questions and problems

Open questions:

- Combine SPSS and Bloom filter structures
- Can we do better than $\mathcal{O}(n)$ for the query worst case? (e.g. Rambo, [Gupta et al. 2021])
- Query expressivity with these structures (k-mer abundances, localisation?)
- Works on correlations between color classes [Almodaresi et al. 2019], and k-mer locality [Marchet et al. 2021]

Conclusion - large scale *k*-mer data structures

- Currently two visions:
 - With huge computing resources, build very large indexes on servers + APIs
 - "Lightweight" methods for more frugal usages
- Surveys on these data-structures: Marchet C, et al 2020. Data structures based on k-mers for querying large collections of sequencing data sets. and Chikhi R, Holub J, Medvedev P. 2019. Data structures to represent a set of k-long DNA sequences. ACM Computing Surveys

Acknowledgments:

- CiE organizers, Alexandru & Giovanna
- Team Bio2M Montpellier: Chloé Bessières, Anthony Boureux, Benoit Guibert, Thérèse Commes
- Gautheret's lab Orsay: Haoliang Xue, Mélina Galopin, Daniel Gautheret

- Team SeqBio Pasteur: Rayan Chikhi, Yoann Dufresnes
- Team Bonsai Lille: Mikaël Salson, Antoine Limasset
- Elsewhere on Earth: Paul Medvedev, Zamin Iqbal, Christina Boucher