# On a Lattice of Degrees of Representations of Irrational Numbers

Lars Kristiansen

Department of Mathematics, University of Oslo Department of Informatics, University of Oslo

#### CiE 2023: Unity of Logic and Computation

Batumi, Georgia - July 28, 2023

# What is a *representation* of the irrational numbers?

I will tell you.

Beware! I will not tell you what a representation of the real numbers is.

We identify an irrational number  $\alpha$  with its Dedkind cut. The Dedkind cut of an irrational  $\alpha$  is the function  $\alpha : \mathbb{Q} \longrightarrow \{0,1\}$  where

$$\alpha(q) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } q < \alpha \\ 1 & \text{if } q > \alpha. \end{cases}$$

We will use the representation by Dedekind cuts to define what representation in general is. In principle, we could have use any other computable representation for this purpose, but it is

- convenient to use a representation that is unique
- a good idea to use a well-know representation.

# What is a *representation*?

## Definition

A class of functions R is a *representation (of the irrational numbers)* if there exist oracle Turing machines M and N such that

• for every irrational  $\alpha \in (0,1)$  there exists  $f \in R$  such that

$$lpha = \Phi^f_M$$
 and  $f = \Phi^lpha_N$ 

• for every  $g \in R$  there exist an irrational  $lpha \in (0,1)$  such that

$$\alpha = \Phi_M^g = \Phi_M^f$$
 where  $f = \Phi_N^\alpha$ 

When  $\alpha = \Phi_M^g$ , we say that *g* represents  $\alpha$  and that *g* is an *R*-representation of  $\alpha$ .

A function  $C: \mathbb{N}^+ \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  is a *Cauchy sequence* for  $\alpha$  if

$$|\alpha - C(n)| < n^{-1}.$$

Let  $\mathcal C$  be the class of all Cauchy sequences for irrational numbers in the interval (0,1).

Then  $\mathcal{C}$  is a representation.

A function  $E: \mathbb{N}^+ \longrightarrow \{0,1\}$  is the *base-2 expansion* of  $\alpha$  if

$$\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E(i) 2^{-i} .$$

Let  $2\mathcal{E}$  be the class of all base-2 expansions of irrational numbers in the interval (0, 1).

Then  $2\mathcal{E}$  is a representation.

A function  $T : \mathbb{Q} \cap [0,1] \longrightarrow (0,1)$  is the *trace function* for  $\alpha$  if  $|\alpha - T(q)| < |\alpha - q|$ .

Let  ${\mathcal T}$  be the class of all trace functions for irrational numbers in the interval (0,1).

Then  $\mathcal{T}$  is a representation.

Recall that the Dedekind cut of an irrational  $\alpha$  is the function  $\alpha:\mathbb{Q}\longrightarrow\{0,1\}$  where

$$\alpha(q) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } q < \alpha \\ 1 & \text{if } q > \alpha. \end{cases}$$

Let  ${\mathcal D}$  be the class of all Dedekind cuts of irrational numbers in the interval (0,1).

Then  $\mathcal{D}$  is a representation.

# Example of something that is not a representation (but which come close).

A function  $L: \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow (0,1)$  is a *left cut* for  $\alpha$  if the sequence

 $L(0), L(1), L(2), \ldots$ 

contains (i) all the rationals less than  $\alpha$  and (ii) only rational less that  $\alpha$ .

A class of left cuts will *not* be a representation. We cannot compute the Dedekind cut of  $\alpha$  from a left cut for  $\alpha$ .

# Example of something that is not a representation (but which come close).

Cauchy sequences without a modulus of convergence. Let  $\mathcal{C}:\mathbb{N}^+\longrightarrow\mathbb{Q}$  be such that

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}^+ \exists N (i > N \rightarrow |\alpha - C(i)| < n^{-1})$$

A class of such functions will *not* be a representation. We cannot compute the Dedekind cut of  $\alpha$  from such a function.

Next we define an ordering relation  $\leq_S$  over the representations.

Intuitiviely, we want

- R<sub>1</sub> ≤<sub>S</sub> R<sub>2</sub> to be true if an R<sub>2</sub>-representation of α can be subrecursively converted into an R<sub>1</sub>-representation of α (subrecursively = "without unbounded search")
- R<sub>1</sub> ∠<sub>S</sub> R<sub>2</sub> to be true if unbounded search is required in order to convert R<sub>2</sub>-representation of α into an R<sub>1</sub>-representation of α.

More intuition ....

 If R<sub>1</sub> ≤<sub>S</sub> R<sub>2</sub> holds, then the representation R<sub>2</sub> gives more information than the representation R<sub>1</sub>. More intuition ....

Let C be a Cauchy sequence for the irrational number  $\alpha$ .

More intuition ...

Let C be a Cauchy sequence for the irrational number  $\alpha$ .

How can we decide if  $\alpha$  lies above or below 1/3?

Consider C as an oracle. (We assume that  $\alpha$  is irrational, so  $\alpha$  lies strictly above or strictly below 1/3.)

- C(0) = ?
- C(1) = ?
- C(2) = ?
- C(3) = ? • :

- C(0) = 1/3
- C(1) = ?
- C(2) = ?
- C(3) = ? • :

- C(0) = 1/3
- C(1) = 1/3
- C(2) = ?
- C(3) = ?

- C(0) = 1/3
- C(1) = 1/3
- C(2) = 1/3
- C(3) = 1/3
- :
- C(16) = 1/3
- C(17) = 1/3

- :
- C(16) = 1/3
- C(17) = 1/3

Now, we know that  $\alpha$  is close to 1/3, that is

$$\left| lpha - rac{1}{3} 
ight| \ < \ rac{1}{17}$$

but we still don't know if  $\alpha$  lies above or below 1/3.

## Now

## 

### Now

## 

but we need unbounded search to find that number.

### Now

```
C(\text{a sufficiently large number}) = \begin{array}{l} \text{a rational that allows} \\ \text{me to conclude if } \alpha \\ \text{lies above or below } 1/3 \end{array}
```

but we need unbounded search to find that number.

I cannot find the number by a subrecursive computation.

I need full Turing computability.

- If D(1/3) = 0, then 1/3 lies below  $\alpha$
- If D(1/3) = 1, then 1/3 lies above  $\alpha$

- If D(1/3) = 0, then 1/3 lies below  $\alpha$
- If D(1/3) = 1, then 1/3 lies above  $\alpha$

Just one question is needed. No unbounded search is required.

- If D(1/3) = 0, then 1/3 lies below  $\alpha$
- If D(1/3) = 1, then 1/3 lies above  $\alpha$

Just one question is needed. No unbounded search is required.

A subrecursive computation is sufficient to answer the question.

This example shows that we cannot compute the Dedekind cut of  $\alpha$  subrecursively in a Cauchy sequence for  $\alpha$ .

We want

$$\mathcal{D} \not\preceq_{S} \mathcal{C}$$

where

- $\bullet \ \mathcal{D}$  is the representation by Dedekind cuts
- $\bullet \ \mathcal{C}$  is the representation by Cauchy sequences.

This example shows that we cannot compute the Dedekind cut of  $\alpha$  subrecursively in a Cauchy sequence for  $\alpha$ .

We want

$$\mathcal{D} \not\preceq_{S} \mathcal{C}$$

where

- $\bullet \ \mathcal{D}$  is the representation by Dedekind cuts
- $\bullet \ \mathcal{C}$  is the representation by Cauchy sequences.

In contrast, we can compute a Cauchy sequence for  $\alpha$  subrecursively in the Dedekind cut of  $\alpha.$ 

Let  $\alpha$  be an irrational number between 0 and 1.

We can compute a Cauchy sequence C for  $\alpha$  subrecursively in the Dedekind cut of  $\alpha$ : Let  $C(1) = 2^{-1}$  and

$$C(n+1) = \begin{cases} C(n) - 2^{-n-1} & \text{if } D(C(n)) = 0\\ C(n) + 2^{-n-1} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let  $\alpha$  be an irrational number between 0 and 1.

We can compute a Cauchy sequence C for  $\alpha$  subrecursively in the Dedekind cut of  $\alpha$ : Let  $C(1) = 2^{-1}$  and

$$C(n+1) = \begin{cases} C(n) - 2^{-n-1} & \text{if } D(C(n)) = 0\\ C(n) + 2^{-n-1} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We want that

 $\mathcal{C} \preceq_{S} \mathcal{D}$  .

Now, ... the formal definition of  $\leq_S$ .

We need some auxiliary definitions.

# We need the time bounds.

## Definition

A function  $t : \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$  is a *time bound* if (i)  $n \le t(n)$ , (ii) t is increasing and (iii) t is time-constructible: there is a single-tape Turing machine that, on input  $1^n$ , computes t(n) in O(t(n)) steps.

# We need the notation $O(t)_R$ .

## Definition

Let t be a time-bound and let R be a representation. Then,  $O(t)_R$  denotes the class of all irrational  $\alpha$  in the interval (0, 1) such that at least one R-representation of  $\alpha$  is computable by a Turing machine running in time O(t(n)) (where n is the length of the input).

Let  $\mathcal C$  be the representation by Cauchy sequences. Let  $\alpha \in (0,1)$  be irrational.

Then the following two statements are equivalent (by definition).

$$\ \, \mathbf{O}(\mathbf{n}^2)_{\mathcal{C}}$$

• at least one Cauchy sequence for  $\alpha$  can be computed by a Turing machine running in time  $O(n^2)$  (where *n* is the length of the input).

Let 2 ${\mathcal E}$  be the representation by base-2 expansions. Let  $\alpha \in (0,1)$  be irrational.

Then the following two statements are equivalent.

$$\bullet \ \alpha \ \in \ O(2^{4n^2})_{2\mathcal{E}}$$

• the base-2 expansion of  $\alpha$  can be computed by a Turing machine running in time  $O(2^{4n^2})$  (where *n* is the length of the input).

# Now we are ready for the definition of $\leq_S$ .

### Definition

Let t be a time-bound. Let  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  be representations. The relation  $R_1 \preceq_S R_2$  holds if there for any time-bound t exists a time-bound s such that

$$O(t)_{R_2} \subseteq O(s)_{R_1}$$
.

If the relation  $R_1 \leq_S R_2$  holds, we will say that the representation  $R_1$  is *subrecursive* in the representation  $R_2$ .

Let us see why we have  $\mathcal{C} \preceq_S 2\mathcal{E}$ .

There is a natural subrecursive algorithm for converting the base-2 expansion of  $\alpha$  into a Cauchy sequence for  $\alpha$  (no unbounded search involved).

Let us see why we have  $\mathcal{C} \preceq_S 2\mathcal{E}$ .

There is a natural subrecursive algorithm for converting the base-2 expansion of  $\alpha$  into a Cauchy sequence for  $\alpha$  (no unbounded search involved).

Analyse that algorithm and conclude: If a Turing machine can compute the base-2 expansion of  $\alpha$  in time O(t(n)), then a Turing machine can compute a Cauchy sequence for  $\alpha$  in time  $O(2^{5t(n)})$ . Let us see why we have  $\mathcal{C} \leq_S 2\mathcal{E}$ .

There is a natural subrecursive algorithm for converting the base-2 expansion of  $\alpha$  into a Cauchy sequence for  $\alpha$  (no unbounded search involved).

Analyse that algorithm and conclude: If a Turing machine can compute the base-2 expansion of  $\alpha$  in time O(t(n)), then a Turing machine can compute a Cauchy sequence for  $\alpha$  in time  $O(2^{5t(n)})$ .

Thus

 $O(t(n))_{2\mathcal{E}} \subseteq O(2^{5t(n)})_{\mathcal{C}}$ .

Let us see why we have  $\mathcal{C} \preceq_S 2\mathcal{E}$ .

There is a natural subrecursive algorithm for converting the base-2 expansion of  $\alpha$  into a Cauchy sequence for  $\alpha$  (no unbounded search involved).

Analyse that algorithm and conclude: If a Turing machine can compute the base-2 expansion of  $\alpha$  in time O(t(n)), then a Turing machine can compute a Cauchy sequence for  $\alpha$  in time  $O(2^{5t(n)})$ .

Thus

$$O(t(n))_{2\mathcal{E}} \subseteq O(2^{5t(n)})_{\mathcal{C}}$$
.

Thus, for any time-bound t there exists a time-bound s such that

$$O(t(n))_{2\mathcal{E}} \subseteq O(s(n))_{\mathcal{C}}$$
.

(this holds when  $s(n) = 2^{5t(n)}$ ).

Let us see why we have  $\mathcal{C} \leq_S 2\mathcal{E}$ .

There is a natural subrecursive algorithm for converting the base-2 expansion of  $\alpha$  into a Cauchy sequence for  $\alpha$  (no unbounded search involved).

Analyse that algorithm and conclude: If a Turing machine can compute the base-2 expansion of  $\alpha$  in time O(t(n)), then a Turing machine can compute a Cauchy sequence for  $\alpha$  in time  $O(2^{5t(n)})$ .

Thus

$$O(t(n))_{2\mathcal{E}} \subseteq O(2^{5t(n)})_{\mathcal{C}}$$
.

Thus, for any time-bound t there exists a time-bound s such that

$$O(t(n))_{2\mathcal{E}} \subseteq O(s(n))_{\mathcal{C}}$$
.

(this holds when  $s(n) = 2^{5t(n)}$ ).

Thus, we have  $\mathcal{C} \leq_S 2\mathcal{E}$  (by the definition of  $\leq_S$ ).

This generalises. In general we can prove  $R_1 \preceq_S R_2$  by the following recipe.

Find a subrecursive algorithm for converting an  $R_2$ -representation of  $\alpha$  into an  $R_1$ -representation of  $\alpha$  (no unbounded search).

This generalises. In general we can prove  $R_1 \preceq_S R_2$  by the following recipe.

Find a subrecursive algorithm for converting an  $R_2$ -representation of  $\alpha$  into an  $R_1$ -representation of  $\alpha$  (no unbounded search).

Analyse that algorithm and conclude: If a Turing machine can compute an  $R_2$ -representation of  $\alpha$  in time O(t(n)), then a Turing machine can compute an  $R_1$ -representation  $\alpha$  in time  $O(s_t(n))$  where  $s_t$  is a time-bound depending on t. This generalises. In general we can prove  $R_1 \preceq_S R_2$  by the following recipe.

Find a subrecursive algorithm for converting an  $R_2$ -representation of  $\alpha$  into an  $R_1$ -representation of  $\alpha$  (no unbounded search).

Analyse that algorithm and conclude: If a Turing machine can compute an  $R_2$ -representation of  $\alpha$  in time O(t(n)), then a Turing machine can compute an  $R_1$ -representation  $\alpha$  in time  $O(s_t(n))$  where  $s_t$  is a time-bound depending on t.

Thus, for any time-bound t there exists a time-bound s such that

```
O(t(n))_{R_2} \subseteq O(s(n))_{R_1}.
```

Thus, we have  $R_1 \leq_S R_2$  (by the definition of  $\leq_S$ ).

To prove  $R_1 \not\leq_S R_2$  migh not be all that easy.

Then we have to prove that

there exists a time-bound t such that for any time-bound s $O(t(n))_{R_2} \not\subseteq O(s(n))_{R_1}$  To prove  $R_1 \not\leq_S R_2$  might not be all that easy ...

... which again can be proved by proving

there exists a time-bound t such that for any time-bound sthere exists an irrational  $\beta \in (0, 1)$  such that  $\beta \in O(t(n))_{R_2} \setminus O(s(n))_{R_1}$ 

That there for any time-bond *s* exists such a  $\beta$  will typically be proved by a diagonalisation argument. Such arguments may be tedious and involved.

The relation  $\leq_S$  is a preorder. Thus  $\leq_S$  induce a degree structure on the representations (standard stuff will follow).

Let R and Q be representations.

$$R \equiv_{S} Q \quad \Leftrightarrow_{\mathsf{def}} \quad R \preceq_{S} Q \text{ and } Q \preceq_{S} R .$$
$$R \prec_{S} Q \quad \Leftrightarrow_{\mathsf{def}} \quad R \preceq_{S} Q \text{ and } Q \not\preceq_{S} R .$$

We define the *degree* of the representation R, denoted deg(R), as the equivalence class given by

$$\deg(R) = \{ Q \mid Q \equiv_S R \}.$$

The set of all degrees, denoted  $\mathcal{S}$ , is given by

 $S = \{ \deg(R) \mid R \text{ is a representation } \}.$ 

We will use **a**, **b**, **c** (possible decorated) to denote degrees. We will use  $\leq$  and < to denote the ordering relations induced on the degrees by  $\leq_S$  and  $\prec_S$ , respectively.

It turns out that this degree structure is a lattice. That is, there are operators  $\cup$  and  $\cap$  on the degrees such that

- $\bullet \ a \cup b$  is the least upper bound of a and b
- $\bullet \ a \cap b$  is the greatest lower bound of a and b.

for any  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{S}$ .

It turns out that the degree structure has a top and bottom degree.

Let  ${\bf 0}$  denote the degree of the representation by Weirauch intersections (nested intervals).

Let  ${\bf 1}$  denote the degree of the representation by continued fractions.

Theorem		
We have		
	$0~\leq~a~\leq~1$	
for any degree <b>a</b> .		J

## Definition

A function  $I : \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}$  is a *Weihrauch intersection* for the real number  $\alpha$  if the left component of the pair I(i) is strictly less that the right component of the pair I(i) (for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ) and

$$\{ \alpha \} = \bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} I_i^C$$

where  $I_i^O$  denotes the open interval given by the pair I(i).

If we have a Weihrauch intersection for an irrational number  $\alpha$ , the we can compute the Dedekind cut of  $\alpha$  (we will need unbounded search). If we have the Dedekind cut of  $\alpha$ , we can obviously compute a Weihrauch intersection for  $\alpha$  (we do not need unbounded search).

The class of all Weihrauch intersections for irrationals in the intervall (0,1) is a representation.

## Definition

Let  $\alpha$  be an irrational in the interval (0,1). The continued fraction of  $\alpha$  is the unique function  $f : \mathbb{N}^+ \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}^+$  such that  $\alpha = [0; f(1), f(2), \ldots]$  where

$$[0; a_1, a_2, a_3...] = 0 + \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \frac{1}{a_3 + ...}}}$$

The class of all continued fractions of irrationals in the intervall (0, 1) is a representation.



arXiv:2304.07227 [pdf, ps, other] math.LO cs.CC

On representations of real numbers and the computational complexity of converting between such representations.

Authors: Amir M. Ben-Amram, Lars Kristiansen, Jakob Grue Simonsen

Another paper recently submitted to a journal (but not to arXive):

A Degree Structure on Representations of Irrational Numbers

Authors: Amir M. Ben-Amram, Lars Kristiansen

