

Primitive recursive degree spectra of structures

Kalimullin I.Sh.

Kazan Federal University
e-mail:ikalimul@gmail.com

Computability in Europe
Batumi, July 25, 2023

Computable structures

- ▶ A structure \mathcal{A} is **computable** if the domain $|\mathcal{A}| \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is computable and all relations and operations from \mathcal{A} are computable.

Computable structures

- ▶ A structure \mathcal{A} is **computable** if the domain $|\mathcal{A}| \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is computable and all relations and operations from \mathcal{A} are computable.
- ▶ A **computable presentation** of a countable structure \mathcal{A} is any computable isomorphic copy of \mathcal{A} .

Computable structures

- ▶ A structure \mathcal{A} is **computable** if the domain $|\mathcal{A}| \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is computable and all relations and operations from \mathcal{A} are computable.
- ▶ A **computable presentation** of a countable structure \mathcal{A} is any computable isomorphic copy of \mathcal{A} .
- ▶ $(\mathbb{N}, +1, P)$ has a computable copy iff P is computable.

Computable structures

- ▶ A structure \mathcal{A} is **computable** if the domain $|\mathcal{A}| \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is computable and all relations and operations from \mathcal{A} are computable.
- ▶ A **computable presentation** of a countable structure \mathcal{A} is any computable isomorphic copy of \mathcal{A} .
- ▶ $(\mathbb{N}, +1, P)$ has a computable copy iff P is computable.
- ▶ All operations can be equivalently transformed into relations, e.g., $+1$ can be replaced by the successor relation.

Primitive recursive structures

- ▶ A structure \mathcal{A} is **primitive recursive** if the domain $|\mathcal{A}|$ is primitive recursive and all relations and operations in \mathcal{A} are primitive recursive.

Primitive recursive structures

- ▶ A structure \mathcal{A} is **primitive recursive** if the domain $|\mathcal{A}|$ is primitive recursive and all relations and operations in \mathcal{A} are primitive recursive.
- ▶ A **primitive recursive presentation** of a countable structure \mathcal{A} is any primitive recursive isomorphic copy of \mathcal{A} .

Primitive recursive structures

- ▶ A structure \mathcal{A} is **primitive recursive** if the domain $|\mathcal{A}|$ is primitive recursive and all relations and operations in \mathcal{A} are primitive recursive.
- ▶ A **primitive recursive presentation** of a countable structure \mathcal{A} is any primitive recursive isomorphic copy of \mathcal{A} .
- ▶ (from Kleene's Normal Form). Every computable structure in a relational language has a primitive recursive presentation.

Primitive recursive structures

- ▶ A structure \mathcal{A} is **primitive recursive** if the domain $|\mathcal{A}|$ is primitive recursive and all relations and operations in \mathcal{A} are primitive recursive.
- ▶ A **primitive recursive presentation** of a countable structure \mathcal{A} is any primitive recursive isomorphic copy of \mathcal{A} .
- ▶ (from Kleene's Normal Form). Every computable structure in a relational language has a primitive recursive presentation.
- ▶ (Alaev, 2016). Every computable locally finite structure in a relational language has a primitive recursive presentation.

Primitive recursive structures

- ▶ A structure \mathcal{A} is **primitive recursive** if the domain $|\mathcal{A}|$ is primitive recursive and all relations and operations in \mathcal{A} are primitive recursive.
- ▶ A **primitive recursive presentation** of a countable structure \mathcal{A} is any primitive recursive isomorphic copy of \mathcal{A} .
- ▶ (from Kleene's Normal Form). Every computable structure in a relational language has a primitive recursive presentation.
- ▶ (Alaev, 2016). Every computable locally finite structure in a relational language has a primitive recursive presentation.
- ▶ $(\mathbb{N}, +1, P)$ has a primitive recursive presentation iff P is primitive recursive.

Punctual structures

- ▶ A structure \mathcal{A} is **punctual** if \mathcal{A} is finite or $|\mathcal{A}| = \mathbb{N}$ and all relations and operations in \mathcal{A} are primitive recursive.

Punctual structures

- ▶ A structure \mathcal{A} is **punctual** if \mathcal{A} is finite or $|\mathcal{A}| = \mathbb{N}$ and all relations and operations in \mathcal{A} are primitive recursive.
- ▶ A **punctual presentation** of a countable structure \mathcal{A} is any punctual isomorphic copy of \mathcal{A} .

Punctual structures

- ▶ A structure \mathcal{A} is **punctual** if \mathcal{A} is finite or $|\mathcal{A}| = \mathbb{N}$ and all relations and operations in \mathcal{A} are primitive recursive.
- ▶ A **punctual presentation** of a countable structure \mathcal{A} is any punctual isomorphic copy of \mathcal{A} .
- ▶ Every primitive recursive structure which is not locally finite has a punctual recursive presentation.

Punctual structures

- ▶ A structure \mathcal{A} is **punctual** if \mathcal{A} is finite or $|\mathcal{A}| = \mathbb{N}$ and all relations and operations in \mathcal{A} are primitive recursive.
- ▶ A **punctual presentation** of a countable structure \mathcal{A} is any punctual isomorphic copy of \mathcal{A} .
- ▶ Every primitive recursive structure which is not locally finite has a punctual recursive presentation.
- ▶ (KMN, 2017). There is a computable graph without a punctual presentation

Punctual structures

- ▶ A structure \mathcal{A} is **punctual** if \mathcal{A} is finite or $|\mathcal{A}| = \mathbb{N}$ and all relations and operations in \mathcal{A} are primitive recursive.
- ▶ A **punctual presentation** of a countable structure \mathcal{A} is any punctual isomorphic copy of \mathcal{A} .
- ▶ Every primitive recursive structure which is not locally finite has a punctual recursive presentation.
- ▶ (KMN, 2017). There is a computable graph without a punctual presentation, while it has a primitive recursive one.

Punctual structures

- ▶ A structure \mathcal{A} is **punctual** if \mathcal{A} is finite or $|\mathcal{A}| = \mathbb{N}$ and all relations and operations in \mathcal{A} are primitive recursive.
- ▶ A **punctual presentation** of a countable structure \mathcal{A} is any punctual isomorphic copy of \mathcal{A} .
- ▶ Every primitive recursive structure which is not locally finite has a punctual recursive presentation.
- ▶ (KMN, 2017). There is a computable graph without a punctual presentation, while it has a primitive recursive one.
- ▶ $(\mathbb{N}, +1, P)$ has a punctual presentation iff P is primitive recursive.

Sets coded into the structures

Let P is arbitrary, and X is a Turing oracle.

Sets coded into the structures

Let \mathcal{P} is arbitrary, and \mathcal{X} is a Turing oracle.

- ▶ $(\mathbb{N}, +1, \mathcal{P})$ has an \mathcal{X} -computable copy iff $\mathcal{P} \leq_T \mathcal{X}$.

Sets coded into the structures

Let \mathcal{P} is arbitrary, and \mathcal{X} is a Turing oracle.

- ▶ $(\mathbb{N}, +1, \mathcal{P})$ has an \mathcal{X} -computable copy iff $\mathcal{P} \leq_T \mathcal{X}$.
- ▶ The same is true if we replace $+1$ by the successor relation.

Sets coded into the structures

Let P is arbitrary, and X is a Turing oracle.

- ▶ $(\mathbb{N}, +1, P)$ has an X -computable copy iff $P \leq_T X$.
- ▶ The same is true if we replace $+1$ by the successor relation.
- ▶ (Ash, Knight, 2000). Suppose

$$\mathcal{A} \text{ has an } X\text{-computable copy} \implies P \leq_T X$$

for every X .

Sets coded into the structures

Let P is arbitrary, and X is a Turing oracle.

- ▶ $(\mathbb{N}, +1, P)$ has an X -computable copy iff $P \leq_T X$.
- ▶ The same is true if we replace $+1$ by the successor relation.
- ▶ (Ash, Knight, 2000). Suppose

$$\mathcal{A} \text{ has an } X\text{-computable copy} \implies P \leq_T X$$

for every X . Then for some fixed parameters $\vec{a} \in \mathcal{A}$ there are computable mappings into quantifier-free formulae $n \mapsto \Phi_n$ and $n \mapsto \Psi_n$ such that

$$n \in P \iff \mathcal{A} \models (\exists \vec{x}) \Phi_n(\vec{x}, \vec{a});$$

$$n \notin P \iff \mathcal{A} \models (\exists \vec{x}) \Psi_n(\vec{x}, \vec{a}).$$

PR-reducibility

- ▶ We write $g \leq_{PR} f$ if g can be obtained from $o(x)$, $s(x)$, I_m^n and f by composition and primitive recursion.

PR-reducibility

- ▶ We write $g \leq_{PR} f$ if g can be obtained from $o(x)$, $s(x)$, I_m^n and f by composition and primitive recursion. We write $f \equiv_{PR} g$ if $f \leq_{PR} g$ and $g \leq_{PR} f$.

PR-reducibility

- ▶ We write $g \leq_{PR} f$ if g can be obtained from $o(x)$, $s(x)$, I_m^n and f by composition and primitive recursion. We write $f \equiv_{PR} g$ if $f \leq_{PR} g$ and $g \leq_{PR} f$.
- ▶ In general, $f \not\equiv_{PR} graph(f)$.

PR-reducibility

- ▶ We write $g \leq_{PR} f$ if g can be obtained from $o(x)$, $s(x)$, I_m^n and f by composition and primitive recursion. We write $f \equiv_{PR} g$ if $f \leq_{PR} g$ and $g \leq_{PR} f$.
- ▶ In general, $f \not\equiv_{PR} graph(f)$. But if $f \leq_{PR} X$ for a set X then f is dominated by a primitive recursive function, so that $f \equiv_{PR} graph(f)$.

PR-reducibility

- ▶ We write $g \leq_{PR} f$ if g can be obtained from $o(x)$, $s(x)$, I_m^n and f by composition and primitive recursion. We write $f \equiv_{PR} g$ if $f \leq_{PR} g$ and $g \leq_{PR} f$.
- ▶ In general, $f \not\equiv_{PR} graph(f)$. But if $f \leq_{PR} X$ for a set X then f is dominated by a primitive recursive function, so that $f \equiv_{PR} graph(f)$. Thus, the sets form a proper ideal in the *PR*-degrees of functions.

PR-reducibility

- ▶ We write $g \leq_{PR} f$ if g can be obtained from $o(x)$, $s(x)$, I_m^n and f by composition and primitive recursion. We write $f \equiv_{PR} g$ if $f \leq_{PR} g$ and $g \leq_{PR} f$.
- ▶ In general, $f \not\equiv_{PR} graph(f)$. But if $f \leq_{PR} X$ for a set X then f is dominated by a primitive recursive function, so that $f \equiv_{PR} graph(f)$. Thus, the sets form a proper ideal in the *PR*-degrees of functions.
- ▶ A structure \mathcal{A} is *f-punctual* if \mathcal{A} is finite or $|\mathcal{A}| = \mathbb{N}$ and all relations and operations in \mathcal{A} are $\leq_{PR} f$.

PR-reducibility

- ▶ We write $g \leq_{PR} f$ if g can be obtained from $o(x)$, $s(x)$, I_m^n and f by composition and primitive recursion. We write $f \equiv_{PR} g$ if $f \leq_{PR} g$ and $g \leq_{PR} f$.
- ▶ In general, $f \not\equiv_{PR} graph(f)$. But if $f \leq_{PR} X$ for a set X then f is dominated by a primitive recursive function, so that $f \equiv_{PR} graph(f)$. Thus, the sets form a proper ideal in the PR-degrees of functions.
- ▶ A structure \mathcal{A} is **f -punctual** if \mathcal{A} is finite or $|\mathcal{A}| = \mathbb{N}$ and all relations and operations in \mathcal{A} are $\leq_{PR} f$. An **f -punctual presentation** of a countable structure \mathcal{A} is any f -punctual isomorphic copy of \mathcal{A} .

Sets PR -coded into the structures

Let P is arbitrary, and f is a PR -oracle.

Sets PR -coded into the structures

Let P is arbitrary, and f is a PR -oracle.

- ▶ $(\mathbb{N}, +1, P)$ has an f -punctual copy iff $P \leq_{PR} f$.

Sets PR -coded into the structures

Let P is arbitrary, and f is a PR -oracle.

- ▶ $(\mathbb{N}, +1, P)$ has an f -punctual copy iff $P \leq_{PR} f$.
- ▶ The same is true if we consider the locally finite structure (\mathbb{N}, \circ, P) , where $x \circ y = \max(x + 1, y)$.

Sets PR -coded into the structures

Let P is arbitrary, and f is a PR -oracle.

- ▶ $(\mathbb{N}, +1, P)$ has an f -punctual copy iff $P \leq_{PR} f$.
- ▶ The same is true if we consider the locally finite structure (\mathbb{N}, \circ, P) , where $x \circ y = \max(x + 1, y)$.
- ▶ (KMM, 2021). Suppose

$$\mathcal{A} \text{ has an } f\text{-punctual copy} \implies P \leq_{PR} f$$

for every f . Then for some fixed parameters $\vec{a} \in \mathcal{A}$ there are primitive recursive mappings into quantifier-free formulae $n \mapsto \Phi_n$ and $n \mapsto \Psi_n$ such that for **every** tuple \vec{x} of pairwise distinct elements

$$n \in P \iff \mathcal{A} \models \Phi_n(\vec{x}, \vec{a});$$

$$n \notin P \iff \mathcal{A} \models \Psi_n(\vec{x}, \vec{a}).$$

Questions arised

Questions arised

1. Are there examples among relational structures?

Questions arised

1. Are there examples among relational structures?
2. Can we code arbitrary functions, not only the sets?

Question 1

- ▶ Are there examples among relational structures?

Question 1

- ▶ Are there examples among relational structures?
- ▶ No.

Question 1

- ▶ Are there examples among relational structures?
- ▶ No.
- ▶ Because Ramsey's Theorem says that every infinite relational structure contains an infinite substructure isomorphic to a quantifier-free interpretation in $(\mathbb{N}, <)$

Question 1

- ▶ Are there examples among relational structures?
- ▶ No.
- ▶ Because Ramsey's Theorem says that every infinite relational structure contains an infinite substructure isomorphic to a quantifier-free interpretation in $(\mathbb{N}, <)$ (which is obviously primitive recursive).

Question 2

- ▶ Can we code arbitrary functions, not only the sets?

Question 2

- ▶ Can we code arbitrary functions, not only the sets?
- ▶ No.

Question 2

- ▶ Can we code arbitrary functions, not only the sets?
- ▶ No.
- ▶ Because every f -punctual structure can be isomorphically transformed into an f -punctual structure whose operations are primitively recursively bounded

Question 2

- ▶ Can we code arbitrary functions, not only the sets?
- ▶ No.
- ▶ Because every f -punctual structure can be isomorphically transformed into an f -punctual structure whose operations are primitively recursively bounded, i.e., there is a set $X \leq_{PR} f$ such that the structure has an X -punctual presentation.

Turing degree spectra

- ▶ The **Turing degree spectrum** $Sp_T(\mathcal{A})$ is the collection of all sets X such that \mathcal{A} has an X -computable presentation.

Turing degree spectra

- ▶ The **Turing degree spectrum** $Sp_T(\mathcal{A})$ is the collection of all sets X such that \mathcal{A} has an X -computable presentation.
- ▶ $Sp_T(\mathbb{N}, +1, P) = \{X : P \leq_T X\}$.

Turing degree spectra

- ▶ The **Turing degree spectrum** $Sp_T(\mathcal{A})$ is the collection of all sets X such that \mathcal{A} has an X -computable presentation.
- ▶ $Sp_T(\mathbb{N}, +1, P) = \{X : P \leq_T X\}$.
- ▶ (Folklore). If $P \mid_T Q$ then the collection $\{X : P \leq_T X\} \cup \{X : Q \leq_T X\}$ is not a Turing degree spectrum.

Spectra of Slaman-Wehner type

- ▶ (Slaman, Wehner, 1998). The collection $\{X : X \not\leq_T \emptyset\}$ is the Turing degree spectrum of a structure.

Spectra of Slaman-Wehner type

- ▶ (Slaman, Wehner, 1998). The collection $\{X : X \not\leq_T \emptyset\}$ is the Turing degree spectrum of a structure.
- ▶ (K, 2008). If A is c.e. then the collection $\{X : X \not\leq_T A\}$ is the Turing degree spectrum of a structure.

Spectra of Slaman-Wehner type

- ▶ (Slaman, Wehner, 1998). The collection $\{X : X \not\leq_T \emptyset\}$ is the Turing degree spectrum of a structure.
- ▶ (K, 2008). If \mathbf{A} is c.e. then the collection $\{X : X \not\leq_T \mathbf{A}\}$ is the Turing degree spectrum of a structure. Unions of finitely many such collections again form degree spectra.

Spectra of Slaman-Wehner type

- ▶ (Slaman, Wehner, 1998). The collection $\{X : X \not\leq_T \emptyset\}$ is the Turing degree spectrum of a structure.
- ▶ (K, 2008). If A is c.e. then the collection $\{X : X \not\leq_T A\}$ is the Turing degree spectrum of a structure. Unions of finitely many such collections again form degree spectra.
- ▶ (AKKLMM, 2016). The collection $\{X : X \not\leq_T \emptyset''\}$ is not a Turing degree spectra.

The idea of the Wehner's proof

- ▶ We can code into a structure the family of finite sets

$$\mathcal{W} = \{\{n\} \oplus F : F \neq \varphi_n\},$$

where φ_n is the n -th partially computable function.

The idea of the Wehner's proof

- ▶ We can code into a structure the family of finite sets

$$\mathcal{W} = \{\{n\} \oplus F : F \neq \varphi_n\},$$

where φ_n is the n -th partially computable function.

- ▶ The family \mathcal{W} has an \mathbf{X} -computable enumeration if and only if $\mathbf{X} \not\leq_T \emptyset$.

The idea of the Wehner's proof

- ▶ We can code into a structure the family of finite sets

$$\mathcal{W} = \{\{n\} \oplus F : F \neq \varphi_n\},$$

where φ_n is the n -th partially computable function.

- ▶ The family \mathcal{W} has an \mathbf{X} -computable enumeration if and only if $\mathbf{X} \not\leq_T \emptyset$.
- ▶ Indeed, \mathcal{W} has no computable enumeration by Recursion Theorem.

The idea of the Wehner's proof

- ▶ We can code into a structure the family of finite sets

$$\mathcal{W} = \{\{n\} \oplus F : F \neq \varphi_n\},$$

where φ_n is the n -th partially computable function.

- ▶ The family \mathcal{W} has an \mathbf{X} -computable enumeration if and only if $\mathbf{X} \not\leq_T \emptyset$.
- ▶ Indeed, \mathcal{W} has no computable enumeration by Recursion Theorem. If $\mathbf{X} \not\leq_T \emptyset$ we can use \mathbf{X} as a sample to extend every unsuccessful guess $F \neq \varphi_n$.

The idea of the Wehner's proof

- ▶ We can code into a structure the family of finite sets

$$\mathcal{W} = \{\{n\} \oplus F : F \neq \varphi_n\},$$

where φ_n is the n -th partially computable function.

- ▶ The family \mathcal{W} has an \mathbf{X} -computable enumeration if and only if $\mathbf{X} \not\leq_T \emptyset$.
- ▶ Indeed, \mathcal{W} has no computable enumeration by Recursion Theorem. If $\mathbf{X} \not\leq_T \emptyset$ we can use \mathbf{X} as a sample to extend every unsuccessful guess $F \neq \varphi_n$.
- ▶ Some modification of the family gives the degree spectrum of the hyperimmune degrees (CK, 2010).

PR-degree spectra

- ▶ The *PR*-degree spectrum $Sp_{PR}(\mathcal{A})$ is the collection of all functions f such that \mathcal{A} has an f -punctual presentation.

PR-degree spectra

- ▶ The *PR*-degree spectrum $Sp_{PR}(\mathcal{A})$ is the collection of all functions f such that \mathcal{A} has an f -punctual presentation.
- ▶ $Sp_{PR}(\mathbb{N}, +1, P) = \{f : P \leq_{PR} f\}$.

PR-degree spectra

- ▶ The *PR*-degree spectrum $Sp_{PR}(\mathcal{A})$ is the collection of all functions f such that \mathcal{A} has an f -punctual presentation.
- ▶ $Sp_{PR}(\mathbb{N}, +1, P) = \{f : P \leq_{PR} f\}$.
- ▶ (Folklore). If $P \mid_{PR} Q$ then the collection $\{f : P \leq_{PR} f\} \cup \{f : Q \leq_{PR} f\}$ is not a *PR*-degree spectrum.

PR-degree spectra

- ▶ The *PR*-degree spectrum $Sp_{PR}(\mathcal{A})$ is the collection of all functions f such that \mathcal{A} has an f -punctual presentation.
- ▶ $Sp_{PR}(\mathbb{N}, +1, P) = \{f : P \leq_{PR} f\}$.
- ▶ (Folklore). If $P \mid_{PR} Q$ then the collection $\{f : P \leq_{PR} f\} \cup \{f : Q \leq_{PR} f\}$ is not a *PR*-degree spectrum.
- ▶ (Set Basis Property). If $f \in Sp_{PR}(\mathcal{A})$ then $X \in Sp_{PR}(\mathcal{A})$ for some $X \leq_{PR} f$.

Set Basis Property for $\{f : f \not\leq_{PR} \emptyset\}$

- ▶ (Kristiansen, 1996). If $f \not\leq_{PR} \emptyset$ then $X \not\leq_{PR} \emptyset$ for some $X \leq_{PR} f$.

Set Basis Property for $\{f : f \not\leq_{PR} \emptyset\}$

- ▶ (Kristiansen, 1996). If $f \not\leq_{PR} \emptyset$ then $X \not\leq_{PR} \emptyset$ for some $X \leq_{PR} f$.
- ▶ Indeed, if $graph(f) \not\leq_{PR} \emptyset$ we are done.

Set Basis Property for $\{f : f \not\leq_{PR} \emptyset\}$

- ▶ (Kristiansen, 1996). If $f \not\leq_{PR} \emptyset$ then $X \not\leq_{PR} \emptyset$ for some $X \leq_{PR} f$.
- ▶ Indeed, if $\mathit{graph}(f) \not\leq_{PR} \emptyset$ we are done. If $\mathit{graph}(f) \leq_{PR} \emptyset$ then f is not dominated by primitive recursive functions, so that we can use a “hyperimmune-permitting-like” argument.

Set Basis Property for $\{f : f \not\leq_{PR} \emptyset\}$

- ▶ (Kristiansen, 1996). If $f \not\leq_{PR} \emptyset$ then $X \not\leq_{PR} \emptyset$ for some $X \leq_{PR} f$.
- ▶ Indeed, if $\mathit{graph}(f) \not\leq_{PR} \emptyset$ we are done. If $\mathit{graph}(f) \leq_{PR} \emptyset$ then f is not dominated by primitive recursive functions, so that we can use a “hyperimmune-permitting-like” argument.
- ▶ Note that the proof can be made uniform.

Punctual families

- ▶ A countable family $\mathcal{F} \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ is punctual if there is a $\{0, 1\}$ -valued primitive recursive function ρ such that

$$X \in \mathcal{F} \iff (\exists i)(\forall x)[x \in X \leftrightarrow \rho\langle i, x \rangle = 1]$$

for all X .

- ▶ For a function f a countable family $\mathcal{F} \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ is f -punctual if there is a $\{0, 1\}$ -valued function $\rho \leq_{PR} f$ such that

$$X \in \mathcal{F} \iff (\exists i)(\forall x)[x \in X \leftrightarrow \rho\langle i, x \rangle = 1]$$

for all X .

Coding families

- ▶ For a countable family $\mathcal{F} \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ define the algebraic structure $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F})$ in the language $\{r, s, l\}$ on the domain $\mathcal{F} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ such that the unary operations r and s are defined by

$$r(X, x, y) = (X, 0, y);$$

$$s(X, x, y) = (X, x + 1, y);$$

and the unary predicate l is defined by

$$l(X, x, y) \leftrightarrow x \in X.$$

- ▶ A countable family $\mathcal{F} \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ is f -punctual if and only if $f \in Sp_{PR}(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F}))$.

An analogue of the Wehner's family

- ▶ Let p_n is the n -th primitive recursive function.

An analogue of the Wehner's family

- ▶ Let p_n is the n -th primitive recursive function. Then the family of finite sets

$$\mathcal{V} = \{\{n\} \oplus F : F \neq p_n\}$$

An analogue of the Wehner's family

- ▶ Let p_n is the n -th primitive recursive function. Then the family of finite sets

$$\mathcal{V} = \{\{n\} \oplus F : F \neq p_n\}$$

is punctual.

An analogue of the Wehner's family

- ▶ Let p_n is the n -th primitive recursive function. Then the family of finite sets

$$\mathcal{V} = \{\{n\} \oplus F : F \neq p_n\}$$

is punctual.

- ▶ Just because we can start to enumerate the sets $\{n\} \oplus F$ with $F(i) \neq p_n(i)$ after the value $p_n(i)$ become computed.

The correct analogue of the Wehner's family

The correct analogue of the Wehner's family

- ▶ The family of finite sets

$$\mathcal{V} = \{\{n\} \oplus F : F \neq p_{\varphi_n(n)}\}$$

The correct analogue of the Wehner's family

- ▶ The family of finite sets

$$\mathcal{V} = \{\{n\} \oplus F : F \neq p_{\varphi_n(n)}\}$$

is f -punctual if and only if $f \not\leq_{PR} \emptyset$.

The correct analogue of the Wehner's family

- ▶ The family of finite sets

$$\mathcal{V} = \{\{n\} \oplus F : F \neq p_{\varphi_n(n)}\}$$

is f -punctual if and only if $f \not\leq_{PR} \emptyset$.

- ▶ Indeed, if \mathcal{V} is punctual then for every n we can computably find an $s(n)$ such that $p_{s(n)} \neq p_{\varphi_n(n)}$. But then $s(n) \neq \varphi_n(n)$. A contradiction.

The correct analogue of the Wehner's family

- ▶ The family of finite sets

$$\mathcal{V} = \{\{n\} \oplus F : F \neq p_{\varphi_n(n)}\}$$

is f -punctual if and only if $f \not\leq_{PR} \emptyset$.

- ▶ Indeed, if \mathcal{V} is punctual then for every n we can computably find an $s(n)$ such that $p_{s(n)} \neq p_{\varphi_n(n)}$. But then $s(n) \neq \varphi_n(n)$. A contradiction.
- ▶ If $X \not\leq_{PR} \emptyset$ we can use X as a sample to extend every unsuccessful guess $F \neq p_{\varphi_n(n)}$.

The correct analogue of the Wehner's family

- ▶ The family of finite sets

$$\mathcal{V} = \{\{n\} \oplus F : F \neq p_{\varphi_n(n)}\}$$

is f -punctual if and only if $f \not\leq_{PR} \emptyset$.

- ▶ Indeed, if \mathcal{V} is punctual then for every n we can computably find an $s(n)$ such that $p_{s(n)} \neq p_{\varphi_n(n)}$. But then $s(n) \neq \varphi_n(n)$. A contradiction.
- ▶ If $X \not\leq_{PR} \emptyset$ we can use X as a sample to extend every unsuccessful guess $F \neq p_{\varphi_n(n)}$.
- ▶ Now it is enough to apply Set Basis Property for $\{f : f \not\leq_{PR} \emptyset\}$.

PR-Spectra of Slaman-Wehner type

- ▶ The collection $\{f : f \not\leq_{PR} \emptyset\}$ is the *PR*-degree spectra of a structure.

PR-Spectra of Slaman-Wehner type

- ▶ The collection $\{f : f \not\leq_{PR} \emptyset\}$ is the *PR*-degree spectra of a structure.
- ▶ If $graph(g)$ is primitive recursive then the collection $\{f : f \not\leq_{PR} g\}$ is also a *PR*-degree spectra.

PR-Spectra of Slaman-Wehner type

- ▶ The collection $\{f : f \not\leq_{PR} \emptyset\}$ is the *PR*-degree spectra of a structure.
- ▶ If $graph(g)$ is primitive recursive then the collection $\{f : f \not\leq_{PR} g\}$ is also a *PR*-degree spectra.
- ▶ Let h be the Ackerman's function,

PR-Spectra of Slaman-Wehner type

- ▶ The collection $\{f : f \not\leq_{PR} \emptyset\}$ is the *PR*-degree spectra of a structure.
- ▶ If $graph(g)$ is primitive recursive then the collection $\{f : f \not\leq_{PR} g\}$ is also a *PR*-degree spectra.
- ▶ Let h be the Ackerman's function, P_n^h be the n -th set $\leq_{PR} h$,

PR-Spectra of Slaman-Wehner type

- ▶ The collection $\{f : f \not\leq_{PR} \emptyset\}$ is the *PR*-degree spectra of a structure.
- ▶ If $\mathit{graph}(g)$ is primitive recursive then the collection $\{f : f \not\leq_{PR} g\}$ is also a *PR*-degree spectra.
- ▶ Let h be the Ackerman's function, P_n^h be the n -th set $\leq_{PR} h$, $P^h = \bigoplus_n P_n^h$.

PR-Spectra of Slaman-Wehner type

- ▶ The collection $\{f : f \not\leq_{PR} \emptyset\}$ is the *PR*-degree spectra of a structure.
- ▶ If $graph(g)$ is primitive recursive then the collection $\{f : f \not\leq_{PR} g\}$ is also a *PR*-degree spectra.
- ▶ Let h be the Ackerman's function, P_n^h be the n -th set $\leq_{PR} h$, $P^h = \bigoplus_n P_n^h$. Then the collection $\{f : f \not\leq_{PR} P^h\}$ has no Set Basis Property

PR-Spectra of Slaman-Wehner type

- ▶ The collection $\{f : f \not\leq_{PR} \emptyset\}$ is the *PR*-degree spectra of a structure.
- ▶ If $\mathit{graph}(g)$ is primitive recursive then the collection $\{f : f \not\leq_{PR} g\}$ is also a *PR*-degree spectra.
- ▶ Let h be the Ackerman's function, P_n^h be the n -th set $\leq_{PR} h$, $P^h = \bigoplus_n P_n^h$. Then the collection $\{f : f \not\leq_{PR} P^h\}$ has no Set Basis Property, and so is not a *PR*-degree spectra.

Open questions

Open questions

- ▶ For which g the collection $\{f : f \not\leq_{PR} g\}$ is a *PR*-degree spectra?

Open questions

- ▶ For which g the collection $\{f : f \not\leq_{PR} g\}$ is a PR -degree spectra? E.g, the collection $\{f : f \not\leq_{PR} P\}$, where $P = \bigoplus_n P_n$.

Open questions

- ▶ For which g the collection $\{f : f \not\leq_{PR} g\}$ is a PR -degree spectra? E.g, the collection $\{f : f \not\leq_{PR} P\}$, where $P = \bigoplus_n P_n$.
- ▶ If $graph(g)$ is primitive recursive then the collection $\{f : f \not\leq_{PR} g\}$ is also a PR -degree spectra.

Open questions

- ▶ For which g the collection $\{f : f \not\leq_{PR} g\}$ is a PR -degree spectra? E.g, the collection $\{f : f \not\leq_{PR} P\}$, where $P = \bigoplus_n P_n$.
- ▶ If $graph(g)$ is primitive recursive then the collection $\{f : f \not\leq_{PR} g\}$ is also a PR -degree spectra.
- ▶ The T -degree spectra are closed under jumps and jump-inversions, so we have collections of the high degrees $\{X : \emptyset'' \leq_T X'\}$ and of the non-low degrees $\{X : X' \not\leq_T \emptyset'\}$. Are there PR -analogues of these?

Thank you!