

# BOUNDARY-CONTACT PROBLEMS OF DYNAMICS FOR MIXTURES OF TWO ISOTROPIC ELASTIC BODIES

A. Jagmaidze

(Received: 26.04.07; accepted: 15.09.07)

## Abstract

By means of the Laplace transformation and the potential and the singular integral equation theories the uniqueness and existence theorems are proved.

*Key words and phrases:* Boundary-contact problems, Mixture of two isotropic materials, Laplace transformation, Potentials and singular integral equations theories.

*AMS subject classification:* 74G25.

This paper is dedicated to the investigation of dynamic boundary-contact problems of the linear theory of a mixture of two isotropic elastic materials. As a model problem we investigate here the so-called basic contact problem whose investigation is fraught with difficulties typical of other problems. Note that the basic boundary value problems of dynamics, statics and oscillations are investigated in [2].

Let  $\mathbb{R}^3$  be a three-dimensional Euclidean space and let  $D_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  be the domain  $D_2 = \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{\mathbb{R}_1}$ ,  $\overline{D}_i = D_i \cup S$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , bounded by a smooth surface  $S$ . It is assumed that  $S \in \mathcal{L}_k(\gamma)$ ,  $k \geq 1$ ,  $\gamma \in ]0, 1[$ .

In the theory of mixtures of two isotropic elastic bodies we consider two displacement vectors  $u' = (u'_1, u'_2, u'_3)$  and  $u'' = (u''_1, u''_2, u''_3)$  at each point of the domain filled with the mixture.

Dynamic equations in terms of displacement vectors have the form

$$a_1 \Delta u' + b_1 \operatorname{grad} \operatorname{div} u' + c \Delta u'' + d \operatorname{grad} \operatorname{div} u'' - \rho_1 \frac{\partial^2 u'}{\partial t^2} = -\rho_1 F', \quad (1)$$

$$c \Delta u' + d \operatorname{grad} \operatorname{div} u' + a_2 \Delta u'' + b_2 \operatorname{grad} \operatorname{div} u'' - \rho_2 \frac{\partial^2 u''}{\partial t^2} = -\rho_2 F'', \quad (2)$$

where  $\Delta$  is the Laplace operator,

$$\begin{aligned}\rho &= \rho_1 + \rho_2, \quad \lambda_3 - \lambda_4 = \alpha_2, \\ a_1 &= \mu_1 - \lambda_5, \quad b_1 = \mu_1 + \lambda_5 + \lambda_1 - \frac{\rho_1}{\rho} \alpha_2, \\ a_2 &= \mu_2 - \lambda_5, \quad c = \mu_3 + \lambda_5, \quad b_2 = \mu_2 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_5 + \frac{\rho_1}{\rho} \alpha_2, \\ d &= \mu_3 + \lambda_3 - \lambda_5 - \frac{\rho_1}{\rho} \alpha_2 = \mu_3 + \lambda_4 - \lambda_5 + \frac{\rho_2}{\rho} \alpha_2,\end{aligned}\tag{3}$$

$F' = (F'_1, F'_2, F'_3)$  and  $F'' = (F''_1, F''_2, F''_3)$  are mass forces.

If we introduce the differential matrix operator [2]

$$A(D) = \left\| \begin{matrix} A^{(1)}(D) & A^{(2)}(D) \\ A^{(3)}(D) & A^{(4)}(D) \end{matrix} \right\|_{6 \times 6}, \tag{4}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}A^{(j)}(D) &= \|A_{kp}^{(j)}\|_{3 \times 3} \quad j = \overline{1, 4}, \quad k, p = \overline{1, 3}, \\ A_{kp}^{(1)}(\xi) &= a_1 |\xi|^2 \delta_{kp} + b_1 \xi_k \xi_p, \\ A_{kp}^{(2)}(\xi) &= A_{kp}^{(3)}(\xi) = c |\xi|^2 \delta_{kp} + d \xi_k \xi_p, \quad \xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3), \\ A_{kp}^{(4)}(\xi) &= a_2 |\xi|^2 \delta_{kp} + b_2 \xi_k \xi_p\end{aligned}$$

and denote  $U = (u', u'')$ , then (1), (2) can be written in the matrix form as follows:

$$A(D)U - ED_t^2 U = F, \tag{5}$$

where

$$E = \left\| \begin{matrix} \rho_1 \mathcal{J} & 0 \\ 0 & \rho_2 \mathcal{J} \end{matrix} \right\|_{6 \times 6}, \quad \mathcal{J} = \|\delta_{kj}\|_{3 \times 3}, \quad F = (F', F''), \quad D_t^2 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}W(U, V) &= \left[ \left( \lambda_1 - \frac{\rho_2}{\rho} \alpha_2 \right) \delta_{rs} \delta_{ij} + \mu_1 (\delta_{ri} \delta_{sj} + \delta_{si} \delta_{rj}) \right] \varepsilon'_{rs} \bar{e}'_{ij} + \\ &+ \left[ \left( \lambda_2 + \frac{\rho_1}{\rho} \alpha_2 \right) \delta_{rs} \delta_{ij} + \mu_2 (\delta_{ri} \delta_{sj} + \delta_{si} \delta_{rj}) \right] \varepsilon''_{rs} \bar{e}''_{ij} + \\ &+ \left[ \left( \lambda_4 + \frac{\rho_2}{\rho} \alpha_2 \right) \delta_{rs} \delta_{ij} + \mu_3 (\delta_{ri} \delta_{sj} + \delta_{si} \delta_{rj}) \right] \varepsilon'_{rs} \bar{e}''_{ij} + \\ &+ \left[ \left( \lambda_3 - \frac{\rho_1}{\rho} \alpha_2 \right) \delta_{rs} \delta_{ij} + \mu_3 (\delta_{ri} \delta_{sj} + \delta_{si} \delta_{rj}) \right] \varepsilon''_{rs} \bar{e}'_{ij} - \\ &- 2\lambda_5 h_{ij} \bar{h}_{ij}^*,\end{aligned}\tag{6}$$

where  $V = (v', v'')$ ,  $\varepsilon'_{ij}$ ,  $\varepsilon''_{ij}$ ,  $h_{ij}$  and  $e'_{ij}$ ,  $e''_{ij}$ ,  $h_{ij}^*$  are the partial rotations corresponding to the vectors  $(u', u'')$  and  $(v', v'')$ . In general,  $W(U, V) = W(\bar{V}, \bar{U})$ . From (6) it obviously follows that if  $U$  and  $V$  are real vectors, then

$$W(U, V) = W(V, U). \quad (7)$$

The generalized stress operator has the form [2]

$$\mathcal{P} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{P}^{(1)} & \mathcal{P}^{(2)} \\ \mathcal{P}^{(3)} & \mathcal{P}^{(4)} \end{pmatrix}_{6 \times 6}, \quad \mathcal{P}^{(k)} = \|\mathcal{P}_{ij}^{(k)}\|, \quad k = \overline{1, 4},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{ij}^{(1)}(D, n) &= (\mu_1 - \lambda_5) \delta_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial n} + (\mu_1 + \lambda_5) n_j D_i + \\ &\quad + \left( \lambda_1 - \frac{\rho_2}{\rho} \alpha_2 \right) n_i D_j, \\ \mathcal{P}_{ij}^{(2)}(D, n) &= (\mu_3 + \lambda_5) \delta_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial n} + (\mu_3 - \lambda_5) n_j D_i + \\ &\quad + \left( \lambda_3 - \frac{\rho_1}{\rho} \alpha_2 \right) n_i D_j, \\ \mathcal{P}_{ij}^{(3)}(D, n) &= (\mu_3 + \lambda_5) \delta_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial n} + (\mu_3 - \lambda_5) n_j D_i + \\ &\quad + \left( \lambda_4 + \frac{\rho_2}{\rho} \alpha_2 \right) n_i D_j, \\ \mathcal{P}_{ij}^{(4)}(D, n) &= (\mu_2 - \lambda_5) \delta_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial n} + (\mu_2 + \lambda_5) n_j D_i + \\ &\quad + \left( \lambda_2 + \frac{\rho_1}{\rho} \alpha_2 \right) n_i D_j, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where  $n$  is the outward normal unit vector with respect to  $D_1$ ,  $\partial/\partial n$  is the operator of differentiation along the normal,  $D_k = \partial/\partial x_k$ .

From (8) it obviously follows that  $\mathcal{P}_{ij}^{(2)} = \mathcal{P}_{ij}^{(3)}$ ,  $\mathcal{P} \neq \mathcal{P}'$  and  $\mathcal{P}(\xi, \xi) = A(\xi)$ .

The Green formula has the form [2]

$$\int_{D_1} [A(D)U \cdot V + W(U, V)] dx = \int_S \mathcal{P}(D, n)U \cdot V ds. \quad (9)$$

Analogously, for  $\bar{U}$  and  $\bar{V}$  we have

$$\int_{D_1} [A(D)\bar{V} \cdot \bar{U} + W(\bar{V}, \bar{U})] dx = \int_S \mathcal{P}(D, n)\bar{V} \cdot \bar{U} ds. \quad (10)$$

From (9) and (10) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{D_1} \{A(D)U \cdot V - U \cdot A(D)\} dx = \\ & = \int_S \left\{ \mathcal{P}(D, n)U \cdot V - U \cdot \mathcal{P}(D, n) \cdot V \right\} ds. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

If, in addition to the smoothness conditions,  $U$  and  $V$  satisfy, in the neighborhood of the point at infinity, the conditions

$$|D^\alpha U_j(x)| + |D^\alpha V_j(x)| < C|x|^{-1+|\alpha_0|}, \quad |\alpha_0| = 0, 1, 2, \quad j = \overline{1, 6},$$

then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{D_2} [A(D)U \cdot V + W(U, V)] dx = - \int_S \mathcal{P}(D, n)U \cdot V ds, \\ & \int_{D_2} [A(D)U \cdot V - U \cdot A(D)V] dx = \\ & = - \int_S [\mathcal{P}(D, n)U \cdot V - U \cdot \mathcal{P}V] ds. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

**Statement of the problem.** Find a solution of the equations

$$\begin{aligned} & A(D_k)^{(l)} U(x, t) - ED_t^2 U(x, t) = \Phi^*(x, t), \\ & (x, t) \in D_l \times I, \quad I = [0, \infty[, \\ & U \in C^1(\overline{D}_l \times I) \cap C^2(D_l \times I), \quad l = 1, 2, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

that satisfies the initial conditions

$$\forall x \in \overline{D}_l : \quad U^{(l)}(x, 0) = 0, \quad \left. \frac{\partial U^{(l)}(x, t)}{\partial t} \right|_{t=0} = 0, \quad l = 1, 2, \quad (14)$$

and the contact conditions

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall (z, t) \in S_l \times I : \left[ U^{(1)}(z, t) \right]^+ - \left[ U^{(2)}(z, t) \right]^- = f^*(z, t), \\ & \left[ \mathcal{P}(D_z, n)^{(1)} U^{(1)}(z, t) \right]^+ - \left[ \mathcal{P}(D_z, n)^{(2)} U^{(2)}(z, t) \right]^- = \\ & = F^*(z, t) \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

and for  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ :

$$^{(2)}U_j(x, t) = O(|x|^{-1}), \quad \frac{\partial U_j^{(2)}(x, t)}{\partial x_i} = o(|x|^{-1}).$$

For the problem posed, the following uniqueness theorem is valid.

**Theorem 1** *The homogeneous problem (13)–(15) ( $^{(l)}\Phi = f^* = F^* = 0$ ,  $\ell = 1, 2$ ) has only a trivial solution in the class of regular vectors.*

*Proof.* Let us assume that the homogeneous problem has a nontrivial solution. We write the Green formula for the vectors  $^{(l)}U$  and  $^{(l)}\partial U / \partial t$  in the domains  $D_1$  and  $D_2$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{D_1} \frac{\partial U^{(1)}(x, t)}{\partial t} A(D_x) U^{(1)}(x, t) dx = \\ & = \int_S \left( \frac{\partial U^{(1)}(z, t)}{\partial t} \right)^+ \left( \mathcal{P}(D_z, n) U^{(1)}(z, t) \right)^+ d_z S - \\ & - \int_{D_1} W^{(1)} \left( \frac{\partial U^{(1)}(x, t)}{\partial t}, U^{(1)}(x, t) \right) dx, \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{D_2} \frac{\partial U^{(2)}(x, t)}{\partial t} A(D_x) U^{(2)}(x, t) dx = \\ & = - \int_S \left( \frac{\partial U^{(2)}(z, t)}{\partial t} \right)^- \left( \mathcal{P}(D_z, n) U^{(2)}(z, t) \right)^- d_z S - \\ & - \int_{D_2} W^{(2)} \left( \frac{\partial U^{(2)}(x, t)}{\partial t}, U^{(2)}(x, t) \right) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} 2W \left( \frac{\partial U}{\partial t}, U \right) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} W(U, U) = W \left( \frac{\partial U}{\partial t}, U \right) + W \left( U, \frac{\partial U}{\partial t} \right), \\ \frac{\partial U}{\partial t} A(D)U &= E \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial t^2} \cdot U = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\rho_1 |\dot{u}'|^2 + \rho_2 |\dot{u}''|^2), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\dot{u}' = \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}, \quad \dot{u}'' = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} W(U, U) &= W(\dot{U}, U) + W(U, \dot{U}), \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{D_1} \left[ \rho_1 |\dot{u}'^{(1)}|^2 + \rho_2 |\dot{u}''^{(1)}|^2 + W^{(1)}(U, U) \right] dx &= \\ &= \int_S \left( \frac{\partial U}{\partial t} \right)^+ (\mathcal{P} U)^+ d_z S, \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{D_1} \left[ \rho_1 |\dot{u}'^{(2)}|^2 + \rho_2 |\dot{u}''^{(2)}|^2 + W^{(2)}(U, U) \right] dx &= \\ &= - \int_S \left( \frac{\partial U}{\partial t} \right)^- (\mathcal{P} U)^- d_z S. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Adding (18) and (19) and taking into account that the surface integral vanishes, we obtain by virtue of the homogeneity of the contact conditions

$$\sum_{l=1}^2 \left\{ \int_{D_l} \rho_1 \left| \frac{\partial u'^{(l)}}{\partial t} \right|^2 + \rho_2 \left| \frac{\partial u''^{(l)}}{\partial t} \right|^2 + W^{(l)}(U, U) \right\} dx = \text{const}.$$

But at the initial moment this constant is equal to zero and therefore we obtain  $\overset{(l)}{U}(x, t) \equiv 0$ ,  $(x, t) \in D_l \times I$ ,  $l = 1, 2$ , which contradicts the assumption that the homogeneous problem has a nontrivial solution. This contradiction proves the theorem.

Now let us consider the following elliptic problem of pseudo-oscillation: Find the regular solution  $\overset{(l)}{V}(x, \tau) = (\overset{(l)}{v}'(x, \tau), \overset{(l)}{v}''(x, \tau))$  of the equations

$$\forall x \in D_l: \quad \overset{(l)}{A}(D_x) \overset{(l)}{V}(x, \tau) - r_l \tau^2 \overset{(l)}{V}(x, \tau) = \overset{(l)}{\Phi}(x, \tau), \quad l = 1, 2, \quad (20)$$

which satisfies the contact conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \forall z \in S : \quad & \left[ \begin{smallmatrix} (1) \\ V(z, \tau) \end{smallmatrix} \right]^+ - \left[ \begin{smallmatrix} (2) \\ V(z, \tau) \end{smallmatrix} \right]^+ = f(z, \tau), \\ & \left[ \begin{smallmatrix} (1) \\ \mathcal{P}(D_z, n) \begin{smallmatrix} (1) \\ V(z, \tau) \end{smallmatrix} \end{smallmatrix} \right]^+ - \left[ \begin{smallmatrix} (2) \\ \mathcal{P}(D_z, n) \begin{smallmatrix} (2) \\ V(z, \tau) \end{smallmatrix} \end{smallmatrix} \right]^- = \\ & = F(z, \tau), \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{smallmatrix} (l) \\ \Phi(x, \tau) \end{smallmatrix} &= \int_0^\infty e^{-\tau t} \begin{smallmatrix} (l) \\ \Phi^*(x, t) \end{smallmatrix} dt, \quad l = 1, 2, \\ f(z, \tau) &= \int_0^\infty e^{-\tau t} f^*(z, t) dt, \\ F(z, \tau) &= \int_0^\infty e^{-\tau t} F^*(z, t) dt, \end{aligned}$$

$\tau = \sigma + i\omega$ ,  $\sigma \geq \sigma'_0 > \sigma_0$ . This half-plane is denoted by  $\pi_{\sigma_0}$ .

Problem (20)–(21) is obtained from problem (13)–(15) by the formal Laplace transformation:

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{smallmatrix} (l) \\ V(x, \tau) \end{smallmatrix} &= \int_0^\infty e^{-\tau t} \begin{smallmatrix} (l) \\ U(x, t) \end{smallmatrix} dt, \quad l = 1, 2, \\ \begin{smallmatrix} (l) \\ V \end{smallmatrix} &\in C^1(\overline{D}_l) \cap C^2(D_l), \quad \begin{smallmatrix} (2) \\ V_j \end{smallmatrix} = O(|x|^{-1}), \\ \frac{\partial \begin{smallmatrix} (2) \\ V_j \end{smallmatrix}}{\partial x_j} &= o(|x|^{-1}) \quad (|x| \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

The following uniqueness theorem is true.

**Theorem 2** *The homogeneous problem (20)–(21) ( $\begin{smallmatrix} (l) \\ \Phi = f = F = 0 \end{smallmatrix}$ ) has only a trivial solution.*

**Green tensor.** Denote by  $G(y, x; \eta_0)$  the Green tensor of problem

(20)–(21) which is defined as follows:

$$G(y, x; \eta_0) = \begin{cases} G^{(1)}(y, x; \eta_0), & y \in D_1, \quad x \in D_1 \cup D_2, \quad x \neq y, \\ G^{(2)}(y, x; \eta_0), & y \in D_2, \quad x \in D_1 \cup D_2, \quad x \neq y, \end{cases} \quad (22)$$

$$G^{(l)}(y, x; \eta_0) = \Psi^{(l)}(y - x; \eta_0) + \psi^{(l)}(y, x; \eta_0), \quad l = 1, 2,$$

where  $\psi^{(l)}$  is a regular solution of the equation

$$A^{(l)}(D) \psi^{(l)}(y, ; \eta_0) - r_l \eta_0^2 \psi^{(l)}(y, x; \eta_0) = 0, \quad (23)$$

$$y \in D_l, \quad x \in D_1 \cup D_2, \quad l = 1, 2, \quad \eta_0 > 0$$

and  $\Psi^{(l)}(y, x; \eta_0)$  is the matrix of fundamental solutions of the same equation [2].

Besides equation (23),  $\psi^{(l)}(y, x; \eta_0)$  also satisfies the conditions

$$\left( \psi^{(1)}(z, x; \eta_0) \right)^+ - \left( \psi^{(2)}(z, x; \eta_0) \right)^- = \Psi^{(2)}(z - x; \eta_0) - \Psi^{(1)}(z - x; \eta_0),$$

$$\left[ \mathcal{P}^{(1)}(D_z, n) \psi^{(1)}(z, x; \eta_0) \right]^+ - \left[ \mathcal{P}^{(2)}(D_z, n) \psi^{(2)}(z, x; \eta_0) \right]^- =$$

$$= \mathcal{P}^{(2)}(D_z, n) \Psi^{(2)}(z - x; \eta_0) - \mathcal{P}^{(1)}(D_z, n) \Psi^{(1)}(z - x; \eta_0). \quad (24)$$

Problem (23)–(24) has a unique solution which is to be sought for in the form of single-layer potentials

$$\psi^{(1)}(y, x; \eta_0) = \int_S \Psi^{(1)}(y - \xi; \eta_0) g^{(1)}(\xi) d_\xi S, \quad y \in D_1, \quad x \in D_1 \cup D_2, \quad (25)$$

$$\psi^{(2)}(y, x; \eta_0) = \int_S \Psi^{(2)}(y - \xi; \eta_0) g^{(2)}(\xi) d_\xi S, \quad y \in D_2, \quad x \in D_1 \cup D_2. \quad (26)$$

Taking into account the properties of single-layer potentials from (24), (25), (26), we obtain

$$\int_S \Psi^{(1)}(z - \xi; \eta_0) g^{(1)}(\xi) d_\xi S - \int_S \Psi^{(2)}(z - \xi; \eta_0) g^{(2)}(\xi) d_\xi S =$$



$$\begin{aligned}
&= \overset{(2)}{\Psi}(z-x; \eta_0) - \overset{(1)}{\Psi}(z-x; \eta_0), \\
&\overset{(1)}{g}(z) + \int_S \left[ \overset{(1)}{\mathcal{P}}(D_z, n) \overset{(1)}{\Psi}(z-\xi; \eta_0) \right] \overset{(1)}{g}(\xi) d_\xi S + \\
&+ \overset{(2)}{g}(\xi) - \int_S \left[ \overset{(2)}{\mathcal{P}}(D_z, n) \overset{(2)}{\Psi}(z-\xi; \eta_0) \right] \overset{(2)}{g}(\xi) d_\xi S = \\
&= \left[ \overset{(2)}{\mathcal{P}}(D_z, n) \overset{(2)}{\Psi}(z-x; \eta_0) - \overset{(1)}{\mathcal{P}}(D_z, n) \overset{(1)}{\Psi}(z-x; \eta_0) \right] = \\
&= \Phi(z-x, \eta_0).
\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

$$\tag{28}$$

We introduce the notation [2]

$$\begin{aligned}
\forall z \in S: \quad \overset{(l)}{\mathcal{H}}\varphi(z) &= \int_S \overset{(l)}{\Psi}(z-y; \eta_0) \varphi(y) d_y S, \\
\overset{(l)}{\mathcal{K}}\varphi(z) &= \int_S \left[ \overset{(l)}{\mathcal{P}}(D_z, n) \overset{(l)}{\Psi}(z-y; \eta_0) \right] \varphi(y) d_y S, \\
\overset{(l)}{\mathcal{K}}^* \varphi(z) &= \int_S \left[ \overset{(l)}{\mathcal{P}}(D_z, n) \overset{(l)}{\Psi}(z-y; \eta_0) \right]^* \varphi(y) d_y S, \\
\overset{(l)}{\mathcal{L}}^\pm \varphi(z) &= \lim_{D_1 \ni x \rightarrow z \in S} \left[ \overset{(l)}{\mathcal{P}}(D_z, n) \overset{(l)}{V}(x; \varphi) \right] = \\
&= \left[ \overset{(l)}{\mathcal{P}}(D_z, n) \overset{(l)}{V}(z; \varphi) \right]^\pm,
\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

where

$$\overset{(l)}{V}(x; \varphi) = \int_S \left[ \overset{(l)}{\mathcal{P}}(D_z, n) \overset{(l)}{\Psi}(x-y; \eta_0) \right]^* \varphi(y) d_y S.$$

In view of notation (29), equations (27), (28) take the form

$$\overset{(1)}{\mathcal{H}} \overset{(1)}{g}(z) - \overset{(2)}{\mathcal{H}} \overset{(2)}{g}(z) = \Psi(z-x; \eta_0), \tag{30}$$

$$(\mathcal{J} + \overset{(1)}{\mathcal{K}}) \overset{(1)}{g}(z) - (-\mathcal{J} + \overset{(2)}{\mathcal{K}}) \overset{(2)}{g}(z) = \Phi(z-x; \eta_0). \tag{31}$$

Applying the operation  $\overset{(1)}{B} = \overset{(1)}{\mathcal{L}} + (-\mathcal{J} + \overset{(1)}{\mathcal{K}})$  to both parts of equation (30),

we obtain

$$\begin{cases} {}^{(1)(1)(1)}B\mathcal{H}g(z) - {}^{(2)(2)(2)}B\mathcal{H}g(z) = {}^{(1)}B\Psi(z-x;\eta_0), \\ (\mathcal{J} + \mathcal{K})^{(1)(1)}g(z) - (-\mathcal{J} + \mathcal{K})^{(2)(2)}g(z) = \Phi(z-x;\eta_0) \end{cases} \quad (32)$$

or, taking into account that  ${}^{(l)(l)}\mathcal{L}\mathcal{H} = -\mathcal{J} + \mathcal{K}^2$ , we rewrite system (32) in the form

$$\begin{cases} (-\mathcal{J} + \mathcal{K}^2)^{(1)(1)}g(z) + (-\mathcal{J} + \mathcal{K}^2)^{(1)(1)}\mathcal{H}g(z) - {}^{(1)(2)(2)}B\mathcal{H}g(z) = \\ = {}^{(1)}B\Psi(z-x;\eta_0), \\ (\mathcal{J} + \mathcal{K})^{(1)(1)}g(z) - (-\mathcal{J} + \mathcal{K})^{(2)(2)}g(z) = \Phi(z-x;\eta_0). \end{cases} \quad (33)$$

System (33) is a system of singular integral equations of normal type, with the index equal to zero, while the corresponding homogeneous system has only a trivial solution and therefore the nonhomogeneous system is solvable for an arbitrary right-hand part, i.e. problem (23), (24) has a unique solution representable in form (25), (26). The existence of the Green tensor is thereby proved.

Let us rewrite system (33) in the form

$$Ag + \mathcal{K}g = F, \quad (34)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Ag &= \begin{vmatrix} -\mathcal{J} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{J} \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} {}^{(1)}g \\ {}^{(2)}g \end{vmatrix}, \\ \mathcal{K}g &= \begin{vmatrix} {}^{(1)}\mathcal{K}^2 + (-\mathcal{J} + \mathcal{K})^{(1)(1)}\mathcal{H} & -{}^{(1)(2)}B\mathcal{H} \\ {}^{(1)}\mathcal{K} & -\mathcal{K} \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} {}^{(1)}g \\ {}^{(2)}g \end{vmatrix}, \\ F &= \begin{vmatrix} F_1 \\ F_2 \end{vmatrix}, \quad F_1 = {}^{(1)}B\Psi, \quad F_2 = \Phi. \end{aligned}$$

From (34) we have

$$g + A^{-1}\mathcal{K}g = A^{-1}F. \quad (35)$$

Since this system is of normal type, there exists its regularizing operator  $K^*$ . Applying the operation  $K^*$  to both parts of the system and denoting by  $R$  the resolvent of the obtained equation, we obtain

$$g = (A + K^*)F + R(\mathcal{J} + K^*)F. \quad (36)$$

Further, we obtain the estimates of the Green tensor

$$G(x, y; \eta_0) < \frac{ce^{-\delta|x-y|}}{|x-y|}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial y} G(x, y; \eta_0) < \frac{ce^{-\delta|x-y|}}{|x-y|^2},$$

where

$$(x, y) \in (\overline{D}_1 \cup \overline{D}_2) \times (\overline{D}_1 \cup \overline{D}_2), \quad \delta > 0, \quad c > 0$$

and

$$G(x, y; \eta_0) = [G(x, y; \eta_0)]^*,$$

where  $[ ]^*$  – transposition, i.e.

$$G_{pq}(x, y; \eta_0) = G_{qp}(x, y; \eta_0).$$

A solution of problem (20), (21) is represented as a sum of two problems

$$\overset{(l)}{V}(x, \tau) = \overset{(l)}{V}_{(0)}(x, \tau) + \overset{(l)}{V}_{(1)}(x, \tau), \quad x \in \overline{D}_l, \quad l = 1, 2,$$

where  $\overset{(l)}{V}_{(0)}$  is a solution of the problem with a homogeneous equation and nonhomogeneous contact conditions and, vice versa,  $\overset{(l)}{V}_{(1)}$  is a solution of the problem with a nonhomogeneous equation and homogeneous contact conditions. The former problem has a unique solution representable in the form

$$\overset{(1)}{V}_{(0)} = \int_S \overset{(1)}{\Psi}(x-y) \overset{(1)}{g}(y, \tau) dy S, \quad x \in D_1, \quad (37)$$

$$\overset{(2)}{V}_{(0)} = \int_S \overset{(2)}{\Psi}(x-y) \overset{(2)}{g}(y, \tau) dy S, \quad x \in D_2 \quad (38)$$

while the latter problem is equivalent to the integral equation

$$\begin{aligned} & 2V_{(1)}(x, \tau) + r\tau^2 \int_D G(x, y) V_{(1)}(y, \tau) dy = \\ & = - \int_D G(x, y) H(y, \tau) dy, \quad x \in D = D_1 \cup D_2, \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

where

$$V_{(1)} = \begin{cases} \overset{(1)}{V}_{(1)}, & x \in D_1, \\ \overset{(2)}{V}_{(1)}, & x \in D_2, \end{cases} \quad H = \begin{cases} \overset{(1)}{H} = \overset{(1)}{\Phi} + r_1\tau^2 \overset{(1)}{V}_{(0)}, & x \in D_1, \\ \overset{(2)}{H} = \overset{(2)}{\Phi} + r_2\tau^2 \overset{(2)}{V}_{(0)}, & x \in D_2, \end{cases}$$

$$G = \begin{cases} G^{(1)}, & x \in D_1, \\ G^{(2)}, & x \in D_2, \end{cases} \quad r = \begin{cases} r_1, & x \in D_1, \\ r_2, & x \in D_2. \end{cases}$$

If  $S \in \mathcal{L}_2(\alpha)$ ,  $f \in C^{2,\beta}(S)$ ,  $F \in C^{1,\beta}(S)$ ,  $\overset{(1)}{g}, \overset{(2)}{g} \in C^{1,\beta}(S)$ ,  $0 < \beta < \alpha \leq 1$ , then we obtain the following estimates with respect to the complex parameter  $\tau$ :

$$\begin{aligned} |\overset{(l)}{V}(x, \tau)| &\leq \frac{C}{|\tau|^4}, \quad \left| \frac{\partial \overset{(l)}{V}(x, \tau)}{\partial x_i} \right| \leq \frac{C}{|\tau|^{\frac{22}{5}}}, \quad x \in D_l, \quad l = 1, 2, \\ \left| \frac{\partial^2 \overset{(l)}{V}(x, \tau)}{\partial x_k \partial x_i} \right| &\leq \frac{C(d)}{|\tau|^{\frac{7}{40}}}, \quad x \in \overline{D}_l^* \subset D_l, \quad l = 1, 2. \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

Together with the above-given arguments, estimates (40) prove the theorem of the existence of a solution of the initial dynamic problem.

**Theorem 3** *If  $S \in \mathcal{L}_2(\alpha)$  and the conditions*

$$\begin{aligned} \forall t \in I: \quad & \frac{\partial^p}{\partial t^p} f^*(\cdot, t) \in C^2(S), \quad p = \overline{0, 7}, \\ \forall z \in S: \quad & f^*(z, \cdot) \in C^7(I), \\ \forall t \in I: \quad & \frac{\partial^p}{\partial t^p} F^*(\cdot, t) \in C^1(S), \quad p = \overline{0, 7}, \\ \forall z \in S: \quad & F^*(z, \cdot) \in C^7(I) \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \forall x \in D_l: \quad & \frac{\partial^m \overset{(l)}{\Phi}}{\partial t^m} = 0, \quad m = \overline{0, 3}, \quad l = 1, 2, \\ \forall z \in S: \quad & \frac{\partial^m f^*(z, 0)}{\partial t^m} = 0, \quad m = \overline{0, 5}, \\ \forall z \in S: \quad & \frac{\partial^m F^*(z, 0)}{\partial t^m} = 0, \quad m = \overline{0, 5} \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

are fulfilled, then problem (13)–(15) has a unique regular solution of the form

$$\overset{(l)}{U}(x, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma-i\infty}^{\sigma+i\infty} e^{\tau t} \overset{(l)}{V}(x, \tau) d\tau,$$

where  $\overset{(l)}{V}(x, \tau)$  is a solution of the pseudo-oscillation problem (20)–(21).

*References*

1. V. D. Kupradze, T. G. Gegelia, M. O. Basheleishvili, and T. V. Burchuladze, Three-dimensional problems of the mathematical theory of elasticity and thermoelasticity. (Russian) *Nauka, Moscow*, 1976.
2. D. G. Natroshvili, A. Ya. Jagmaidze, and M. Zh. Svanadze, Some problems in the linear theory of elastic mixtures. (Russian) *Tbilis. Gos. Univ., Tbilisi*, 1986.
3. D. G. Natroshvili and A. Ya. Jagmaidze, [Dynamical problems of the classical theory of elasticity for piecewise homogeneous bodies. *Izdat. Tbilis. Univ., Tbilisi*, 1978.
4. D. G. Natroshvili and A. Ya. Jagmaidze, Dynamic problems for piecewise homogeneous bodies taking into account moment stresses. (Russian) *Tbiliss. Gos. Univ. Inst. Prikl. Mat. Trudy* **16**(1985), 155–181.
5. V. D. Kupradze and T. V. Burchuladze, The dynamical problems of the theory of elasticity and thermoelasticity. (Russian) *Itogi Nauki Tekh., Ser. Sovrem. Probl. Mat.* **7**(1975), 163–294; English transl.: *J. Sov. Math.* **7**(1977), 415–500.