

NECESSARY CONDITIONS FOR EXISTENCE OF POSITIVE SOLUTIONS OF  
NONLINEAR DIFFERENCE EQUATIONS

Koplatadze R., Nanobashvili I.

**Abstract.** The difference equation

$$\Delta^2 u(k) + p(k) |u(\sigma(k))|^\lambda \operatorname{sign} u(\sigma(k)) = 0 \quad (0.1)$$

is considered, where  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ ,  $p : N \rightarrow R_+$ ,  $\sigma : N \rightarrow N$ ,  $\sigma(k) \geq k$  for  $k \in N$  and difference operator is defined by  $\Delta u(k) = u(k+1) - u(k)$ ,  $\Delta^2 = \Delta \circ \Delta$ .

Necessary conditions are obtained for the equation (0.1) to have a positive solution. Besides, oscillation criteria of new type are obtained.

**Keywords and phrases:** Oscillation, difference equations, advanced argument.

**AMS subject classification (2000):** 34K11.

## 1. Introduction

Consider the equation

$$\Delta^2 u(k) + p(k) |u(\sigma(k))|^\lambda \operatorname{sign} u(\sigma(k)) = 0, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $p : N \rightarrow R_+$ ,  $\sigma : N \rightarrow N$  are defined on the set  $N = \{1, 2, \dots\}$  of the natural numbers,  $\sigma(k) \geq k$  for  $k \in N$ ,  $\Delta u(k) = u(k+1) - u(k)$  and  $\Delta^2 = \Delta \circ \Delta$ .

Below it will assumed that

$$\sup\{p(i) : i \geq k\} > 0 \quad \text{for any } k \in N.$$

For any  $n \in N$ , denote  $N_n = \{n, n+1, \dots\}$ .

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $n_0 \in N$ . A function  $u : N_{n_0} \rightarrow R$  is said to be a proper solution of (1.1), if it satisfies (1.1) on  $N_{n_0}$  and

$$\sup\{|u(i)| : i \geq k\} > 0 \quad \text{for } k \in N_{n_0}.$$

**Definition 1.2.** We say that a proper solution  $u : N_{n_0} \rightarrow R$  of equation (1.1) is oscillatory, if for any  $n \in N_{n_0}$ , there are  $n_1, n_2 \in N_n$  such that  $u(n_1)u(n_2) \leq 0$ . Otherwise, the proper solution is cold nonoscillatory.

In the present paper sufficient conditions for the oscillation of all proper solutions of (1.1) are established.

## 2. Main results

Below it is meant that the condition

$$\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} k p(k) = +\infty \quad (2.1)$$

is fulfilled.

Let  $k_0 \in N$ . Denote by  $U_{k_0}$  the set of all proper solutions of (1.1) satisfying  $u(k) > 0$  for  $k \in N_{k_0}$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $k_0 \in N$  and  $U_{k_0} \neq \emptyset$ . Then for any  $\delta \in [0, \lambda]$  and for any  $s \in N$*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} k^{\lambda-\delta} (\rho_s(\sigma(k)))^\delta p(k) < +\infty,$$

where

$$\rho_1(k) = \sum_{\ell=1}^k \sum_{j=\ell}^{+\infty} p(j), \quad (2.2)$$

$$\rho_j(k) = \sum_{\ell=1}^k \sum_{j=\ell}^{+\infty} p(j) (\rho_{j-1}(\sigma(j)))^\lambda, \quad j = 2, \dots, s. \quad (2.3)$$

Theorem 2.1 play important role in establishing the sufficient conditions for the all proper solutions of equation (1.1) to be oscillatory.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let condition (2.1) be fulfilled and for some  $\delta \in [0, \lambda]$  and for some  $s \in N$*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} k^{\lambda-\delta} (\rho_s(\sigma(k)))^\delta p(k) = +\infty.$$

Then any proper solutions of equation (1.1) is oscillatory, where  $\rho_s$  is defined by (2.2) and (2.3).

**Corollary 2.1.** *Let*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} k^\lambda p(k) = +\infty.$$

Then any proper solutions of equation (1.1) is oscillatory.

**Corollary 2.2.** *Let (2.1) is fulfilled and for some  $s \in N$*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} (\rho_s(\sigma(k)))^\lambda p(k) = +\infty.$$

Then any proper solutions of equation (1.1) is oscillatory, where  $\rho_s$  is defined by (2.2) and (2.3).

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let for some  $\gamma \in (0, 1)$  and  $\alpha \in (1, +\infty)$*

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} k^\gamma \sum_{i=k}^{+\infty} p(i) > 0$$

and

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\sigma(k)}{k^\alpha} > 0.$$

Moreover, if at last one conditions

$$\alpha \lambda \geq 1$$

or

if  $\alpha \lambda < 1$ , for some  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} k^{\frac{\alpha \lambda (1-\gamma)}{1-\alpha \lambda} - \varepsilon} p(k) = +\infty,$$

then any proper solutions of equation (1.1) is oscillatory.

#### R E F E R E N C E S

1. Koplatadze R., Kvinikadze G., Stavroulakis I.P. Oscillation of second order linear difference equations with deviating arguments. *Adv. Math. Sci. Appl.*, **12**, 1 (2002), 217-226.
2. Koplatadze R. Oscillation of linear difference equations with deviating arguments. *Comp. Math. Appl.*, **42** (2001), 477-486.
3. Koplatadze R., Kvinikadze G. Necessary conditions for existence of positive solutions of second order linear difference equations and sufficient conditions for oscillation of solutions. *J. Nonlinear Oscillat.* **12**, 2 (2009), 180-194.

Received 22.06.2009; revised 21.07.2009; accepted 18.09.2009.

Authors' address:

R. Koplatadze and I. Nanobashvili  
Iv. Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University  
2, University St., Tbilisi 0186  
Georgia  
E-mail: r\_ koplatadze@yahoo.com