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# ON THE RATE OF NORMAL APPROXIMATION FOR SUMS OF CONDITIONALLY INDEPENDENT RANDOM VARIABLES 
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#### Abstract

The main task of this note is to demonstrate the technique of reduction to the i.i.d. case of the proof of the normal approximation and its rate estimation for sums of conditionally independent random vectors with a general ergodic choice of the conditional distributions among finite number of fixed ones by the controlling sequence of random variables. The initial version with Markov chain as a controlling sequence was published in [1] with shortened proofs, next version [3] written in detail exists only as a manuscript and the present one although considering general control sequence is more transparent assigning conditional distributions as those of linear transformations of a fixed random vector having zero expectation and unit covariance matrix.
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We consider the problem of normal approximation of the distribution of sum of conditionally independent $k$-dimensional random vectors when each conditional distribution is obtained by a linear transformation of the fixed random vector.

1. Let us begin by dealing with the sequence of independent $k$-dimensional random vectors $X=\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots\right)$, where $X_{j}=C_{j} Y_{j}$ and $C_{j}$ are nonsingular $k \times k$ matrices, $j=1,2, \ldots, Y=\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots\right)$ are i.i.d. random vectors with $E\left(Y_{1}\right)=0$ and $\operatorname{cov}\left(Y_{1}\right)=I$ [7]. By a result from Cramér's book [2, Ch. X] if for $n \rightarrow \infty$ the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} C_{j} C_{j}^{T} \rightarrow C_{0}, \quad \operatorname{sp}\left(C_{0}\right)>0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the Lindeberg condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} E\left\{\left|C_{j} Y_{j}\right|^{2} I_{\left|C_{j} Y_{j}\right| \geq \varepsilon \sqrt{n}}\right]\right\} \rightarrow 0 \quad \forall \varepsilon>0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

are fulfilled, then for $U_{n}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} C_{j} Y_{j}$ and $\Phi_{B}$ standing for normal distribution in $R^{k}$ with parameters $(0, B)$ we have the weak convergence

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n^{-1 / 2} U_{n}} \xrightarrow{w} \Phi_{C_{0}} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If among the matrices $C_{j}, j=1,2, \ldots$, there are only a finite number of different ones $M_{1}, \ldots, M_{s}$, then (2) is fulfilled automatically and (1) yields (3). If the relative
frequency $\nu_{n}(\alpha) / n$ of $M_{\alpha}$ among $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{n}$ tends to $\pi_{\alpha}>0$ as $n$ increases to $\infty$, $\alpha=1, \ldots, s\left(\pi_{1}+\cdots+\pi_{s}=1\right)$, the matrix $C_{0}$, being the limit of $\operatorname{cov}\left(U_{n}\right) / n$, has a form

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{0}=\pi_{1} M_{1} M_{1}^{T}+\cdots+\pi_{s} M_{s} M_{s}^{T} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the sum $V_{n}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} Z_{j}$ of i.i.d. random vectors $Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{n}$ with $E Z_{1}=0$, $\operatorname{cov}\left(Z_{1}\right)=C$ the well-known estimate by Sazonov [5] refined by Senatov [6] reads as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{A \in \mathcal{C}^{k}}\left|P_{n^{-1 / 2} V_{n}}(A)-\Phi_{C}(A)\right| \leq c k \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} E\left\|C^{-1 / 2} Z_{1}\right\|^{3}, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{C}^{k}$ is the class of convex Borel subsets of $R^{k}$ and $c$ is an absolute constant.
As a special case of (5) with our initial $Y_{j} \mathrm{~s}$ instead of $Z_{j} \mathrm{~s}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{A \in \mathcal{C}^{k}}\left|P_{n^{-1 / 2} V_{n}}(A)-\Phi_{I}(A)\right| \leq c k \beta \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the above situation with a finite number of weight matrices $M_{\alpha}$ with positive frequencies $\nu_{n}(\alpha), \alpha=1, \ldots, s$, estimate (6) and the representations

$$
n^{-1 / 2} U_{n}=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{s}\left[\nu_{n}(\alpha) / n\right]^{1 / 2} M_{\alpha}\left[\nu_{n}(\alpha)\right]^{-1 / 2} T_{\nu_{n}(\alpha)}^{(\alpha)}
$$

with $s$ independent sums $T^{(\alpha)}$ of different groups of i.i.d. random vectors $Y_{j}$ of cardinalities $\nu_{n}(\alpha), \alpha=1, \ldots, s$, and

$$
\operatorname{cov}\left(U_{n}\right)=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} M_{\alpha} M_{\alpha}^{T} \nu_{n}(\alpha)
$$

lead to the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{A \in \mathcal{C}^{k}}\left|P_{n^{-1 / 2} U_{n}}(A)-\Phi_{\operatorname{cov}\left(U_{n}\right) / n}(A)\right| \leq c k \beta \sum_{\alpha: \nu_{n}(\alpha)>0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu_{n}(\alpha)}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $\nu_{n}(\alpha)$ is equivalent to $n \pi_{\alpha}, \alpha=1, \ldots, s$, the right-hand side of (7) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
c k \sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} \pi_{\alpha}^{-1 / 2} \beta \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

on the other hand, as in the latter case $\operatorname{cov}\left(U_{n}\right) / n$ tends to $C_{0}$ defined by (4) the normal distributions with corresponding covariances are close and due to the convolution property of the variation distance between probability distributions

$$
\begin{equation*}
v\left(\Phi_{\operatorname{cov}\left(U_{n}\right) / n}, \Phi_{C_{0}}\right) \leq \sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} v\left(\Phi_{\left(\nu_{n}(\alpha) / n\right) M_{\alpha} M_{\alpha}^{T}}, \Phi_{\pi_{\alpha} M_{\alpha} M_{\alpha}^{T}}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

their closeness can be estimated by the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
v\left(\Phi_{a C}, \Phi_{b C}\right) \leq \sqrt{k} \frac{|\sqrt{a}-\sqrt{b}|}{\sqrt{a+b}} \leq \sqrt{k} \frac{|a-b|}{a+b} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

valid for any positive definite $k \times k$ matrix $C$ and any two positive numbers $a, b$ (see [4]). The latter bound together with inequalities (7) and (9) leads to the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{A \in \mathcal{C}^{k}}\left|P_{n^{-1 / 2} U_{n}}(A)-\Phi_{C_{0}}(A)\right| \leq \sum_{\alpha: \nu_{n}(\alpha)>0}\left\{\operatorname{ck} \beta \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu_{n}(\alpha)}}+\frac{\sqrt{k}}{\pi_{\alpha}}\left|\frac{\nu_{n}(\alpha)}{n}-\pi_{\alpha}\right|\right\} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similar estimates take place for conditionally independent random vectors.
2. Let us consider a stationary two-component sequence $\left(\xi_{j}, X_{j}\right), j=1,2, \ldots$, where $\xi_{j}$ takes its values in $\{1, \ldots, s\}$ and $X_{j} \in R^{k}$; denote

$$
\begin{gathered}
\xi=\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \ldots\right), \quad \xi_{1 n}=\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right), \\
X=\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots\right), \quad X_{1 n}=\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Definition. $X$ is a sequence of conditionally independent random vectors controlled by a sequence $\xi$ if for any natural $n$ the conditional distribution $P_{X_{1 n} \mid \xi_{1 n}}$ of $X_{1 n}$ given $\xi_{1 n}$ is the direct product of conditional distributions of $X_{j}$ given only the corresponding $\xi_{j}, j=1, \ldots, n$, i.e.,

$$
\mathcal{P}_{X_{1 n} \mid \xi_{1 n}}=\mathcal{P}_{\xi_{1}} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{P}_{\xi_{n}},
$$

where $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha}$ is the conditional distribution of $X_{1}$ given $\left\{\xi_{1}=\alpha\right\}, \alpha=1, \ldots, s$ (see, e.g., [1, $3,8])$. Let $\pi_{\alpha}=P\left\{\xi_{1}=\alpha\right\}, \alpha=1, \ldots, s$, be a common distribution of $\xi_{j}$. For $s=1$ $X$ becomes a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with $\mathcal{P}_{1}$ as a common distribution.

To make further argument more transparent let us restrict ourselves by the case when conditional distributions given $\xi_{j}$ are generated linearly from $Y_{1}$ via matrices $M_{1}, \ldots, M_{s}$ :

$$
\mathcal{P}_{\alpha}=P_{M_{\alpha} Y_{1}}, \alpha=1, \ldots, s
$$

which can be expressed easier in the following way

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{j}=M_{\xi_{j}} Y_{j}, \quad j=1,2, \ldots \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The control sequence $\xi$ is to be chosen ergodic, i.e., such that a.s. the relative frequency $\frac{\nu_{n}(\alpha)}{n} \rightarrow \pi_{\alpha}, \alpha=1, \ldots, s$, where for any $\alpha \nu_{n}(\alpha)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} I_{\left(\xi_{j}=\alpha\right)}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left|\frac{\nu_{n}(\alpha)}{n}-\pi_{\alpha}\right| \leq \frac{d}{\sqrt{n}}, \quad d=\text { const } . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 1. If in the stationary two-component sequence each member of the ergodic control sequence $\xi=\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \ldots\right)$ takes values in $\{1, \ldots, s\}$ having the common distribution $\pi=\left(\pi_{1}, \ldots, \pi_{s}\right)$ and the sequence of conditionally independent random vectors $X$ given by the sequence $Y=\left(Y_{j}, j=1,2, \ldots\right)$ of i.i.d. random vectors in $R^{k}$ such that $E Y_{1}=0, \operatorname{cov}\left(Y_{1}\right)=I$ and by the nonsingular matrices $M_{1}, \ldots, M_{s}$ according to (12), then for the normalized sum $S_{n}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{j}$ we have

$$
\text { 1. } P_{S_{n} \mid \xi_{1 n}} \xrightarrow{w} \Phi_{C_{0}} \text { a.s., 2. } P_{S_{n}} \xrightarrow{w} \Phi_{C_{0}}
$$

with $C_{0}$ given in the form (4).
The proof is based on the following decomposition formula for a given trajectory $\xi_{1 n}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n}=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} \sqrt{\frac{\nu_{n}(\alpha)}{n}} S_{n}^{\alpha} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where for each $\alpha=1, \ldots, s$

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n}^{\alpha}=I_{\left(\nu_{n}(\alpha)>0\right)} \sqrt{\frac{1}{\nu_{n}(\alpha)}} M_{\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^{s} I_{\left(\xi_{j}=\alpha\right)} Y_{j} . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2. If under the conditions of Theorem $1 \beta=E\left|Y_{1}\right|^{3}<\infty$ and for relative frequencies the moment condition (13) is fulfilled, then

$$
\sup _{A \in \mathcal{C}^{k}}\left|P_{S_{n}}(A)-\Phi_{C_{0}}(A)\right| \leq \frac{c(k, \pi, d, \beta)}{\sqrt{n}},
$$

where $c(k, \pi, d, \beta)=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{s}\left[c k\left(2 / \pi_{\alpha}\right)^{1 / 2} \beta+(\sqrt{k}+2) d / \pi_{\alpha}\right]$.
For the proof we use the inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{A \in \mathcal{C}^{k}}\left|P_{S_{n}}(A)-\Phi_{C_{0}}(A)\right| \\
& \quad \leq \sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} E\left\{I_{\left(\nu_{n}(\alpha)>0\right)} \sup _{A \in \mathcal{C}^{k}}\left|P_{\left[\frac{\nu_{n}(\alpha)}{n}\right]^{1 / 2} S_{n}^{\alpha}}(A)-\Phi_{\frac{\nu_{n}(\alpha)}{n} M_{\alpha} M_{\alpha}^{T}}(A)\right| \xi_{1, n}\right\} \\
& \quad+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} E\left\{I_{\left(\nu_{n}(\alpha)>0\right)} \sup _{A \in \mathcal{C}^{k}}\left|\Phi_{\frac{\nu_{n}(\alpha)}{n} M_{\alpha} M_{\alpha}^{T}}(A)-\Phi_{\pi_{\alpha} M_{\alpha} M_{\alpha}^{T}}(A)\right| \xi_{1, n}\right\}=: \Sigma_{1}+\Sigma_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Each summand of $\Sigma_{1}$ does not exceed the following sum

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left\{I_{\left(\frac{\nu_{n}(\alpha)}{n} \geq \frac{\pi_{\alpha}}{2}\right)} \sup _{A \in \mathcal{C}^{k}}\left|P_{\left[\frac{\nu_{n}(\alpha)}{n}\right]^{1 / 2} S_{n}^{\alpha}}(A)-\Phi_{\frac{\nu_{n}(\alpha)}{n} M_{\alpha} M_{\alpha}^{T}}(A)\right| \xi_{1, n}\right\} \\
&+E\left\{I_{\left(0<\frac{\nu_{n}(\alpha)}{n}<\frac{\pi_{\alpha}}{2}\right)} \sup _{A \in \mathcal{C}^{k}}\left|P_{\left[\frac{\nu_{n}(\alpha)}{n}\right]^{1 / 2} S_{n}^{\alpha}}(A)-\Phi_{\frac{\nu_{n}(\alpha)}{n} M_{\alpha} M_{\alpha}^{T}}(A)\right| \xi_{1, n}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

To estimate the first part of the latter sum we use estimates (5), (6) and obtain $c k\left(2 / \pi_{\alpha}\right)^{1 / 2} \beta n^{-1 / 2}$ for each $\alpha$ (note that an influence of $M_{\alpha}$ in this part is eliminated and in others, too). In the second part the difference of the probability measures does not exceed 1 and taking expectation of the indicator left using the condition (13) and we reach the sufficient order in $n:\left(2 d / \pi_{\alpha}\right) n^{-1 / 2}$. What $\Sigma_{2}$ concerns its estimate is obtained similar to (9) and can be treated further using (11): $\Sigma_{2} \leq$ $\sqrt{k} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} E\left\{I_{\left(\nu_{n}(\alpha)>0\right)} \pi_{\alpha}^{-1}\left|\frac{\nu(n)}{n}-\pi_{\alpha}\right|\right\} \leq \sqrt{k} d \sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} \pi_{\alpha}^{-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$. Finally, we achieve at the estimate
$\sup _{A \in \mathcal{C}^{k}}\left|P_{S_{n}}(A)-\Phi_{C_{0}}(A)\right| \leq n^{-1 / 2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{s}\left[c k\left(2 / \pi_{\alpha}\right)^{1 / 2} \beta+(\sqrt{k}+2) d / \pi_{\alpha}\right]=n^{-1 / 2} c(k, \pi, d, \beta)$.

Note that the case $E\left(Y_{1}\right) \neq 0$ was considered in $[1,3]$ when $\xi$ is a regular stationary Markov chain and the same rate of convergence is obtained.

When $\xi$ and $Y$ are the independent sequences unless observable control sequence, probably the case where one may have an interest to the conditional theorems (see $[1,3]$ ), the study is reduced to the i.i.d. case with the matrix $C_{0}$.
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