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## 1. Introduction

The linear theory of thermoelasticity for materials with inner structure whose particles, in addition to the classical displacement and temperature fields, possess microtemperatures was constructed by Iesan and Quintanilla [1]. The fundamental solutions of the equations of the three-dimensional (3D) theory of thermoelasticity with microtemperatures were constructed by Svanadze [2]. The representations of the Galerkin type and general solutions of the system in this theory were obtained by Scalia, Svanadze and Tracinà [3]. The 3D linear theory of thermoelasticity for microstretch elastic materials with microtemperatures was constructed by Iesan [4], where the uniqueness and existence theorems in the dynamical case for isotropic materials are proved.

In the present paper we consider the two-dimensional (2D) linear equilibrium theory of thermoelasticity with microtemperatures and generalize some results of the classical 2D theory of thermoelasticity. The fundamental and singular solutions are constructed. The representation of the Galerkin type solution is also obtained.

## 2. Basic Equations

We consider an isotropic elastic material with microtemperatures. Let $D^{+}\left(D^{-}\right)$be a bounded (respectively, an unbounded) domain of the real Euclidean 2D space $E_{2}$ bounded by the contour $S . \overline{D^{+}}:=D^{+} \bigcup S, D^{-}:=$ $E_{2} \backslash \overline{D^{+}}$.

Let $\mathbf{x}:=\left(x_{1} \cdot x_{2}\right) \in E_{2}, \quad \partial \mathbf{x}:=\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}\right)$.
The basic homogeneous (i.e., body forces are neglected) system of the theory of thermoelasticity with microtemperatures has the form [1]

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mu \Delta \mathbf{u}+(\lambda+\mu) \text { graddiv } \mathbf{u}-\beta g r a d \theta=0,  \tag{1}\\
k_{6} \Delta \mathbf{w}+\left(k_{4}+k_{5}\right) \operatorname{graddiv} \mathbf{w}-k_{3} \operatorname{grad} \theta-k_{2} \mathbf{w}=0,  \tag{2}\\
k \Delta \theta+k_{1} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{w}=0, \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\mathbf{u}:=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)^{T}$ is the displacement vector, $\mathbf{w}:=\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)^{T}$ is the microtemperature vector, $\theta$ is the temperature measured from the constant absolute temperature $T_{0} \quad\left(T_{0}>0\right)$ by the natural state (i.e. by the state of the absence of loads), $\quad \lambda, \quad \mu, \quad \beta, \quad k, \quad k_{j}, \quad j=1, \ldots, 6$, are constitutive coefficients, $\Delta$ is the 2D Laplace operator. The superscript "T" denotes transposition.

We introduce the matrix differential operator

$$
\mathbf{A}(\partial \mathbf{x}):=\left\|A_{l j}(\partial \mathbf{x})\right\|_{5 x 5}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{\alpha \gamma}:=\mu \delta_{\alpha \gamma} \Delta+(\lambda+\mu) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{\alpha} \partial x_{\gamma}}, \\
& A_{\alpha+2 ; \gamma+2}:=\delta_{\alpha \gamma}\left(k_{6} \Delta-k_{2}\right)+\left(k_{4}+k_{5}\right) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{\alpha} \partial x_{\gamma}}, \\
& A_{\alpha, \gamma+2}:=A_{\alpha+2, \gamma}=0, \quad A_{\alpha 5}:=-\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\alpha}}, \quad A_{\alpha+2 ; 5}:=-k_{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\alpha}}, \\
& A_{5 \gamma}:=0, \quad A_{5 ; \gamma+2}:=k_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\gamma}}, \quad A_{55}:=k \Delta, \quad \alpha, \gamma=1,2,
\end{aligned}
$$

$\delta_{\alpha \gamma}$ is the Kronecker delta. Then the system (1)-(3) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{A}(\partial \mathbf{x}) \mathbf{U}=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\mathbf{U}:=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, w_{1}, w_{2}, \theta\right)^{T} .
$$

The matrix $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}(\partial \mathbf{x}):=\left\|\widetilde{A}_{l j}(\partial \mathbf{x})\right\|_{5 x 5}:=\mathbf{A}^{T}(-\partial \mathbf{x})$, where $\widetilde{A}_{l j}(\partial \mathbf{x}):=$ $A_{j l}(-\partial \mathbf{x})$, will be called the associated operator to the differential operator $\mathbf{A}(\partial \mathbf{x})$. Thus, the homogeneous associated system to the system (4) will be the following system

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu \Delta \mathbf{u}+(\lambda+\mu) \text { graddiv } \mathbf{u}=0 \\
& k_{6} \Delta \mathbf{w}+\left(k_{4}+k_{5}\right) \text { graddiv } \mathbf{w}-k_{1} \operatorname{grad} \theta-k_{2} \mathbf{w}=0, \\
& k \Delta \theta+k_{3} d i v \mathbf{w}+\beta d i v \mathbf{u}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

We assume that $\mu(\lambda+2 \mu) k k_{6} k_{7} \neq 0, \quad$ where $\quad k_{7}:=k_{4}+k_{5}+k_{6}$. Obviously, if the last condition is satisfied, then $\mathbf{A}(\partial \mathbf{x})$ is the elliptic differential operator [2].

## 3. Matrix of Fundamental Solutions

In order to investigate boundary value problems (BVPs) of the theory of thermoelasticity with microtemperatures by potential method it is necessary to construct a matrix of fundamental solutions to the governing system (4). Several methods are known for constructing the matrix of fundamental solutions of the systems of differential equations of the theory of elasticity and thermoelasticity ( see e.g., $[2,5]$ ).

We introduce the matrix differential operator $\mathbf{B}(\partial \mathbf{x})$ consisting of cofactors of elements of the transposed matrix $\mathbf{A}^{T}$ divided on $\quad \mu(\lambda+\mu) k k_{6} k_{7} \neq 0$ :

$$
\mathbf{B}(\partial \mathbf{x}):=\left\|B_{l j}(\partial \mathbf{x})\right\|_{5 x 5}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{\alpha \gamma}:=B_{11}^{*} \delta_{\alpha \gamma}-B_{12}^{*} \xi_{\alpha} \xi_{\gamma}, \quad B_{\alpha+2, \gamma+2}:=B_{33}^{*} \delta_{\alpha \gamma}-B_{34}^{*} \xi_{\alpha} \xi_{\gamma}, \\
& B_{1 \gamma+2}:=B_{13}^{*} \xi_{1} \xi_{\gamma}, \quad B_{2 \gamma+2}:=B_{13}^{*} \xi_{1} \xi_{\gamma}, \quad B_{\alpha 5}:=B_{15}^{*} \xi_{\alpha}, \\
& B_{3 \gamma} \equiv B_{4 \gamma} \equiv B_{5 \gamma} \equiv 0, \quad B_{5 \gamma+2}:=B_{33}^{*} \xi_{\alpha}, \quad \xi_{\alpha}:=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\alpha}}, \quad \alpha, \gamma=1,2, \\
& B_{11}^{*}:=\frac{1}{\mu} \Delta \Delta\left(\Delta-s_{1}^{2}\right)\left(\Delta-s_{2}^{2}\right), \quad B_{12}^{*}:=\frac{\lambda+\mu}{a \mu} \Delta\left(\Delta-s_{1}^{2}\right)\left(\Delta-s_{2}^{2}\right), \\
& B_{13}^{*}:=-\frac{\beta k_{1} \Delta\left(\Delta-s_{2}^{2}\right)}{a k k_{7}}, \quad B_{15}^{*}:=\frac{\beta \Delta\left(\Delta-s_{2}^{2}\right)\left(k_{7} \Delta-k_{2}\right)}{a k k_{7}}, \quad B_{55} \equiv B_{55}^{*}, \\
& B_{33}^{*}:=\frac{1}{k_{6}} \Delta\left(\Delta-s_{1}^{2}\right) \Delta \Delta, \quad B_{34}^{*}:=\frac{1}{k_{6} k_{7}}\left[\left(k_{4}+k_{5}\right) \Delta-k_{7} s_{1}^{2}+k_{2}\right] \Delta \Delta, \\
& B_{35}^{*}:=\frac{k_{3}}{k k_{7}} \Delta \Delta\left(\Delta-s_{2}^{2}\right), \quad B_{53}^{*}:=-\frac{k_{1}}{k k_{7}} \Delta \Delta\left(\Delta-s_{2}^{2}\right), \quad s_{2}^{2}:=\frac{k_{2}}{k_{6}}, \\
& B_{55}^{*}:=\frac{1}{k k_{7}} \Delta \Delta\left(\Delta-s_{2}^{2}\right)\left(k_{7} \Delta-k_{2}\right), \quad s_{1}^{2}:=\frac{k k_{2}-k_{1} k_{3}}{k k_{7}}, \quad a:=\lambda+2 \mu .
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting the vector $\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{x})=\mathbf{B}(\partial \mathbf{x}) \boldsymbol{\Psi}$ into (4), where $\boldsymbol{\Psi}$ is a five-component vector function, we get

$$
\Delta \Delta \Delta\left(\Delta-s_{1}^{2}\right)\left(\Delta-s_{2}^{2}\right) \Psi=0
$$

Whence, applying the method developed in [5], after some calculations, the vector $\Delta \Psi$ can be represented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \boldsymbol{\Psi}=-\frac{r^{2}(\ln r-1)}{4 s_{1}^{2} s_{2}^{2}}+\frac{K_{0}\left(s_{1} r\right)+\ln r}{s_{1}^{4}\left(s_{1}^{2}-s_{2}^{2}\right)}-\frac{K_{0}\left(s_{2} r\right)+\ln r}{s_{2}^{4}\left(s_{1}^{2}-s_{2}^{2}\right)} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K_{0}\left(s_{\alpha} r\right)$ is the modified Hankel function of the first kind and zero order,

$$
\begin{gathered}
K_{0}\left(s_{\alpha} r\right):=-I_{0}\left(s_{\alpha} r\right)\left(\ln \frac{s_{\alpha} r}{2}+C\right)+2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k!)^{2}}\left(\frac{s_{\alpha} r}{2}\right)^{2 k}\left(\frac{1}{k}+\frac{1}{k-1}+\ldots+1\right), \\
I_{0}\left(s_{\alpha} r\right):=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k!)^{2}}\left(\frac{s_{\alpha} r}{2}\right)^{2 k}, \quad r^{2}:=\left(x_{1}-y_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(x_{2}-y_{2}\right)^{2}, \quad \alpha=1,2 .
\end{gathered}
$$

As all the components of $\mathbf{B}$ contain the operator $\Delta$, Substituting (5) into $\mathbf{U}=\mathbf{B} \boldsymbol{\Psi}$, we obtain the matrix of fundamental solutions for the equation (4) which we denote by $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y})$

$$
\boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}):=\left\|\Gamma_{k j}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})\right\|_{5 x 5},
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Gamma_{\alpha \gamma}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}):=-\delta_{\alpha \gamma} \frac{\ln r}{\mu}+\frac{\lambda+\mu}{a \mu} \frac{\partial^{2} \Psi_{11}}{\partial x_{\alpha}, \partial x_{\gamma}}, \quad \Psi_{11}:=\frac{r^{2}(\ln r-1)}{4}, \\
& \Gamma_{\alpha+2, \gamma+2}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}):=\delta_{\alpha \gamma} \frac{K_{0}\left(s_{2} r\right)}{k_{6}}-\frac{\partial^{2} \Psi_{33}}{\partial x_{\alpha}, \partial x_{\gamma}}, \\
& \Psi_{33}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}):=\frac{K_{0}\left(s_{2} r\right)+\ln r}{k_{6} s_{2}^{2}}-\frac{\Psi_{35}}{k_{7}}, \quad \Psi_{35}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}):=\frac{K_{0}\left(s_{1} r\right)+\ln r}{s_{1}^{2}}, \\
& \Gamma_{1, \gamma+2}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}):=-\frac{\beta k_{1}}{a k k_{7}} \frac{\partial^{2} \Psi_{13}}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{\gamma}}, \quad \Psi_{13}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}):=\frac{\Psi_{35}+\Psi_{11}}{s_{1}^{2}}, \\
& \Gamma_{2, \gamma+2}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}):=-\frac{\beta k_{1}}{a k k_{7}} \frac{\partial^{2} \Psi_{13}}{\partial x_{2} \partial x_{\gamma}}, \quad \Gamma_{\alpha 5}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}):=\frac{\beta}{a k k_{7}} \frac{\partial \Psi_{15}}{\partial x_{\alpha}}, \quad \alpha, \gamma=1,2, \\
& \Psi_{15}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}):=-\frac{k_{2}}{s_{1}^{2}} \Psi_{11}+\left(k_{7}-\frac{k_{2}}{s_{1}^{2}}\right) \Psi_{35}, \quad \Gamma_{\alpha+2,5}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}):=\frac{k_{3}}{k k_{7}} \frac{\partial \Psi_{35}}{\partial x_{\alpha}}, \\
& \Gamma_{5, \gamma+2}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}):=-\frac{k_{1}}{k k_{7}} \frac{\partial \Psi_{35}}{\partial x_{\gamma}}, \quad \Gamma_{55}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}):=\frac{K_{0}\left(s_{1} r\right)}{k}-\frac{k_{2}}{k k_{7}} \Psi_{35}, \quad s_{2}^{2}:=\frac{k_{2}}{k_{6}}>0, \\
& \Gamma_{31} \equiv \Gamma_{32} \equiv \Gamma_{41} \equiv \Gamma_{42} \equiv \Gamma_{51} \equiv \Gamma_{52} \equiv 0, \quad s_{1}^{2}:=\frac{k k_{2}-k_{1} k_{3}}{k k_{7}}>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

We can easily prove the following
Theorem 1 The elements of the matrix $\quad \Gamma(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})$ has a logarithmic singularity as $\quad \mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{y}$ and each column of the matrix $\quad \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})$, considered as a vector, is a solution of the system (4) at every point $\mathbf{x}$ if $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{y}$.

According to the method developed in [5], we construct the matrix $\widetilde{\Gamma}(\mathbf{x}):=$ $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{T}(-\mathbf{x})$ and the following basic properties of $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}}(\mathbf{x})$ may be easily verified:

Theorem 2 Each column of the matrix $\quad \widetilde{\Gamma}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})$, considered as a vector, satisfies the associated system $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{A}}(\partial \mathbf{x}) \widetilde{\Gamma}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})=0, \quad$ at every point $\mathbf{x}$ if $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{y}$ and the elements of the matrix $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})$ have a logarithmic singularity as $\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{y}$.

## 4. Matrix of Singular Solutions

In solving BVPs of the theory of thermoelasticity with microtemperatures by the method of potential theory, besides the matrix of fundamental solutions, some other matrices of singular solutions to equation (4) are of a great importance. Using the matrix of fundamental solutions, we construct the so-called singular matrices of solutions by means of elementary functions.

We introduce the special generalized stress vector $\quad \underset{\mathbf{R}}{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(\partial \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}) \mathbf{U}$, which acts on the element of the arc with the unit normal $\mathbf{n}=\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)$, where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \stackrel{\tau}{\mathrm{R}}(\partial \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}):=\left\|\stackrel{\tau}{\mathrm{R}}_{l j}\right\|_{5 x 5}, \\
& \stackrel{\tau}{\mathrm{R}}_{\alpha \gamma}:=\delta_{\alpha \gamma} \mu \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{n}}+(\lambda+\mu) n_{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\gamma}}+\tau_{1} \mathcal{M}_{\alpha \gamma}, \\
& \stackrel{\tau}{\mathrm{R}}_{\alpha, \gamma+2} \equiv \stackrel{\tau}{\mathrm{R}}_{\alpha+2, \gamma} \equiv \stackrel{\tau}{\mathrm{R}}_{\alpha+2,5} \\
& \equiv \stackrel{\tau}{\mathrm{R}}{ }_{5 \gamma} \equiv 0, \quad \stackrel{\tau}{\mathrm{R}} \alpha 5:=-\beta n_{\alpha},  \tag{6}\\
& \mathrm{R}_{\alpha+2 ; \gamma+2}:=\delta_{\alpha \gamma} k_{6} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{n}}+\left(k_{4}+k_{5}\right) n_{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\gamma}}+\tau_{2} \mathcal{M}_{\alpha \gamma}, \\
& \stackrel{\tau}{\mathrm{R}}_{5, \gamma+2}:=k_{1} n_{\gamma}, \quad \stackrel{\tau}{\mathrm{R}} 55:=k \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{n}}, \quad \mathcal{M}_{\alpha \gamma}:=n_{\gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\alpha}}-n_{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\gamma}}, \alpha, \gamma=1,2,
\end{align*}
$$

here $\boldsymbol{\tau}:=\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right), \quad \tau_{\alpha}, \quad \alpha=1,2$, are the arbitrary numbers. If $\tau_{1}=$ $\mu, \quad \tau_{2}=k_{5}$, we denote the obtained operator by $\boldsymbol{P}(\partial \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n})$. The operator, which we get from $\quad \stackrel{\tau}{\mathbf{R}}(\partial \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}) \quad$ for $\tau_{1}=\frac{\mu(\lambda+\mu)}{\lambda+3 \mu}, \quad \tau_{2}=\frac{k_{6}\left(k_{4}+k_{5}\right)}{k_{4}+k_{5}+2 k_{6}}$, we denote by $\mathbf{N}(\partial \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n})$ and the vector $\mathbf{N}(\partial \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}) \mathbf{U}$ will be called the pseudostress vector.

Applying the operator $\underset{\mathbf{R}}{\boldsymbol{R}}(\partial \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n})$ to the matrix $\quad \Gamma(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})$, we construct the so-called singular matrix of solutions

$$
\stackrel{\tau}{\mathbf{R}}(\partial \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}) \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\mathrm{x}-\mathbf{y}):=\left\|\stackrel{\tau}{\mathrm{M}}_{l j}(\partial \mathbf{x})\right\|_{5 \times 5}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \stackrel{\tau}{\mathrm{M}}_{\gamma \gamma}(\partial \mathbf{x}):=-\frac{\partial \ln r}{\partial \mathbf{n}}+(-1)^{\gamma+1} \frac{(\lambda+\mu)\left(\tau_{1}+\mu\right)}{a \mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \frac{\partial^{2} \Psi_{11}}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}}, \\
& \stackrel{\tau}{\mathrm{M}}_{12}(\partial \mathbf{x}):=\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\left[-\frac{\tau_{1}}{\mu} \ln r+\frac{(\lambda+\mu)\left(\tau_{1}+\mu\right)}{a \mu} \frac{\partial^{2} \Psi_{11}}{\partial x_{2}^{2}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \stackrel{\tau}{\mathrm{M}} 21(\partial \mathbf{x}):=\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\left[\frac{\tau_{1}}{\mu} \ln r-\frac{(\lambda+\mu)\left(\tau_{1}+\mu\right)}{a \mu} \frac{\partial^{2} \Psi_{11}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}\right], \\
& \stackrel{\tau}{\mathrm{M}_{1, \gamma+2}}(\partial \mathbf{x}):=-\frac{\beta k_{1}\left(\mu+\tau_{1}\right)}{k a k_{7}} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \frac{\partial^{2} \Psi_{13}}{\partial x_{\gamma} \partial x_{2}}, \\
& \stackrel{\tau}{\mathrm{M}}_{2, \gamma+2}(\partial \mathbf{x}):=\frac{\beta k_{1}\left(\mu+\tau_{1}\right)}{k a k_{7}} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \frac{\partial^{2} \Psi_{13}}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{\gamma}}, \\
& \stackrel{\tau}{\mathrm{M}_{15}}(\partial \mathbf{x}):=\frac{\beta\left(\mu+\tau_{1}\right)}{k a k_{7}} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \frac{\partial \Psi_{15}}{\partial x_{2}}, \quad \stackrel{\tau}{\mathrm{M}}_{25}(\partial \mathbf{x}):=-\frac{\beta\left(\mu+\tau_{1}\right)}{k a k_{7}} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \frac{\partial \Psi_{15}}{\partial x_{1}}, \\
& \stackrel{\tau}{\mathrm{M}_{35}}(\partial \mathbf{x}):=\frac{k_{3}}{k k_{7}}\left[k_{7} n_{1} K_{0}\left(s_{1} r\right)+\left(k_{6}+\tau_{2}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \frac{\partial \Psi_{35}}{\partial x_{2}}\right], \frac{\partial}{\partial s}:=n_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}-n_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}, \\
& \stackrel{\tau}{\mathrm{M}}_{45}(\partial \mathbf{x}):=\frac{k_{3}}{k k_{7}}\left[k_{7} n_{2} K_{0}\left(s_{1} r\right)-\left(k_{6}+\tau_{2}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \frac{\partial \Psi_{35}}{\partial x_{1}}\right], \\
& \stackrel{\tau}{\mathrm{M}}_{53}(\partial \mathbf{x}):=-\frac{k_{1}}{k_{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}\left(K_{0}\left(s_{2} r\right)+\ln r\right), \\
& \stackrel{\tau}{\mathrm{M}}_{54}(\partial \mathbf{x}):=\frac{k_{1}}{k_{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}\left(K_{0}\left(s_{2} r\right)+\ln r\right), \\
& \stackrel{\tau}{\mathrm{M}}_{3 \gamma}(\partial \mathbf{x}) \equiv \stackrel{\tau}{\mathrm{M}}_{4 \gamma}(\partial \mathbf{x}) \equiv \stackrel{\tau}{\mathrm{M}}_{5 \gamma}(\partial \mathbf{x}) \equiv 0, \quad \stackrel{\tau}{\mathrm{M}}_{55}(\partial \mathbf{x}):=\frac{\partial \ln r}{\partial \mathbf{n}}, \quad \gamma=1,2, \\
& \stackrel{\tau}{\mathrm{M}}_{33}(\partial \mathbf{x}):=\frac{\partial K_{0}\left(s_{2} r\right)}{\partial \mathbf{n}}-\left(\tau_{2}+k_{6}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \frac{\partial^{2} \Psi_{33}}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}}+n_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}\left[K_{0}\left(s_{1} r\right)-K_{0}\left(s_{2} r\right)\right], \\
& \stackrel{\tau}{\mathrm{M}}_{44}(\partial \mathbf{x}):=\frac{\partial K_{0}\left(s_{2} r\right)}{\partial \mathbf{n}}+\left(\tau_{2}+k_{6}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \frac{\partial^{2} \Psi_{33}}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}}+n_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}\left[K_{0}\left(s_{1} r\right)-K_{0}\left(s_{2} r\right)\right], \\
& \stackrel{\tau}{\mathrm{M}} 43(\partial \mathbf{x}):=-\frac{\tau_{2}}{k_{6}} \frac{\partial K_{0}\left(s_{2} r\right)}{\partial s}+\left(\tau_{2}+k_{6}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \frac{\partial^{2} \Psi_{33}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}+n_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}\left[K_{0}\left(s_{1} r\right)-K_{0}\left(s_{2} r\right)\right], \\
& \stackrel{\tau}{\mathrm{M}}_{34}(\partial \mathbf{x}):=\frac{\tau_{2}}{k_{6}} \frac{\partial K_{0}\left(s_{2} r\right)}{\partial s}-\left(\tau_{2}+k_{6}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \frac{\partial^{2} \Psi_{33}}{\partial x_{2}^{2}}+n_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}\left[K_{0}\left(s_{1} r\right)-K_{0}\left(s_{2} r\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

We prove the following theorem.
Theorem 3 Every column of the matrix $\left[\begin{array}{r}\boldsymbol{R}(\partial \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{n}) \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{x})]^{T} \text {, considered }{ }^{T} \text {. } \tilde{\mathbf{A}}(\partial \mathbf{x}) \\ \text { and }\end{array}\right.$ as a vector, is a solution of the system $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}(\partial \mathbf{x})=0$ at any point $\mathbf{x}$ if $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{y}$ and
 is integrable in the sense of the Cauchy principal value.

Let

$$
\tilde{\boldsymbol{R}}^{\tau}(\partial \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}):=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}
\tau_{11} & \stackrel{\mathrm{R}}{12}^{\tau} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\mathrm{R}_{21} & R_{22} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \mathrm{R}_{33} & \stackrel{\mathrm{R}}{34}^{\tau} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \mathrm{R}_{43} & \mathrm{R}_{44} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & k_{3} n_{1} & k_{3} n_{2} & \mathrm{R}_{55}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\stackrel{\tau}{\mathrm{R}}_{\alpha \gamma}, \quad \stackrel{\tau}{\mathrm{R}}_{\alpha+2, \gamma+2}, \quad \stackrel{\tau}{\mathrm{R}}_{55}, \quad \alpha, \gamma=1,2$, are given by (6), then

$$
\tilde{\boldsymbol{R}}^{\tau}(\partial \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}) \tilde{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})=\left\|\tilde{\mathrm{M}}_{l j}^{\tau}(\partial \mathbf{x})\right\|_{5 \times 5}
$$

here

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\mathrm{M}}_{\alpha \gamma}^{\tau}(\partial \mathbf{x}):=\stackrel{\mathrm{M}}{\alpha \gamma}_{\tau}(\partial \mathbf{x}), \quad \widetilde{\mathrm{M}}_{\alpha+2, \gamma+2}^{\tau}(\partial \mathbf{x}):=\stackrel{\mathrm{M}}{\alpha+2, \gamma+2}^{\tau}(\partial \mathbf{x}), \\
\widetilde{\mathrm{M}}_{55}^{\tau}(\partial \mathbf{x}):=\stackrel{\mathrm{M}}{55}_{\tau}(\partial \mathbf{x}), \quad \widetilde{\mathrm{M}}_{\alpha 3}^{\tau}(\partial \mathbf{x}) \equiv \widetilde{\mathrm{M}}_{\alpha 4}^{\tau}(\partial \mathbf{x}) \equiv \widetilde{\mathrm{M}}_{\alpha 5}^{\tau}(\partial \mathbf{x}) \equiv 0, \\
\widetilde{\mathrm{M}}_{3 \gamma}^{\tau}(\partial \mathbf{x}):=-\frac{k_{1} \beta}{a k k_{7}}\left[k_{7} n_{1} \frac{\partial \Psi_{35}}{\partial x_{\gamma}}+\left(k_{6}+\tau_{2}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \frac{\partial^{2} \Psi_{13}}{\partial x_{\gamma} \partial x_{2}}\right], \\
\widetilde{\mathrm{M}}_{4 \gamma}^{\tau}(\partial \mathbf{x}):=-\frac{k_{1} \beta}{a k k_{7}}\left[k_{7} n_{2} \frac{\partial \Psi_{35}}{\partial x_{\gamma}}-\left(k_{6}+\tau_{2}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \frac{\partial^{2} \Psi_{13}}{\partial x_{\gamma} \partial x_{1}}\right], \\
\widetilde{\mathrm{M}}_{35}^{\tau}(\partial \mathbf{x}):=\frac{k_{1}}{k k_{7}}\left[k_{7} n_{1} K_{0}\left(s_{1} r\right)+\left(k_{6}+\tau_{2}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \frac{\partial \Psi_{35}}{\partial x_{2}}\right], \\
\widetilde{\mathrm{M}}_{45}^{\tau}(\partial \mathbf{x}):=\frac{k_{1}}{k k_{7}}\left[k_{7} n_{2} K_{0}\left(s_{1} r\right)-\left(k_{6}+\tau_{2}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \frac{\partial \Psi_{35}}{\partial x_{1}}\right], \\
\widetilde{\mathrm{M}}_{5 \gamma}^{\tau}(\partial \mathbf{x}):=\frac{\beta}{a} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{n}} \frac{\partial \Psi_{11}}{\partial x_{\gamma}}, \quad \alpha, \gamma=1,2, \quad a:=\lambda+2 \mu, \\
\widetilde{\mathrm{M}}_{53}^{\tau}(\partial \mathbf{x}):=-\frac{k_{3}}{k_{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}\left[K_{0}\left(s_{2} r\right)+\ln r\right], \\
\widetilde{\mathrm{M}}_{54}^{\tau}(\partial \mathbf{x}):=\frac{k_{3}}{k_{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}\left[K_{0}\left(s_{2} r\right)+\ln r\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

We prove the following theorem.
Theorem 4 Every column of the matrix $\left[\tilde{\boldsymbol{R}}^{\tau}(\partial \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{n}) \tilde{\Gamma}(\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{x})\right]^{T}$, considered as a vector, is a solution of the system $\mathbf{A}(\partial \mathbf{x}) \mathbf{U}=0$ at any point $\mathbf{x}$ if $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{y}$ and the elements of the matrix $\left[\tilde{\boldsymbol{R}}^{\tau}(\partial \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{n}) \tilde{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}}(\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{x})\right]^{T}$, contain a singular part, which is integrable in the sense of the Cauchy principal value.

## 5. Galerkin Type Solution

The Galerkin type general solution (of the class $C^{2}\left(D^{+}\right)$) of the system (4) can be represented as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})=a \Delta \boldsymbol{\phi}^{(1)}-(\lambda+\mu) \operatorname{graddiv}^{(1)}-\beta \mu k_{1} k_{6} \operatorname{graddiv}^{(2)} \\
& +\beta \mu\left(k_{7} \Delta-k_{2}\right) \operatorname{grad} \Psi, \\
& \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x})=a \mu k k_{7} \Delta \Delta\left(\Delta-s_{1}^{2}\right) \boldsymbol{\phi}^{(2)}-a \mu \Delta\left[k\left(k_{4}+k_{5}\right) \Delta+k k_{3}\right] \operatorname{graddiv}^{(2)} \\
& +a \mu k_{3} g r a d \Psi \\
& \theta=a \mu \Delta\left(k_{7} \Delta-k_{2}\right) \Psi-a \mu k_{1} k_{6} \Delta\left(\Delta-s_{2}^{2}\right) \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\phi}^{(2)},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Delta \Delta \phi^{(1)}=0, \quad \Delta \Delta\left(\Delta-s_{1}^{2}\right)\left(\Delta-s_{2}^{2}\right) \phi^{(2)}=0, \quad \Delta \Delta\left(\Delta-s_{1}^{2}\right) \Psi=0 \\
\phi^{(1)}=\left(\phi_{1}^{(1)}, \phi_{2}^{(1)}\right), \quad \phi^{(2)}=\left(\phi_{1}^{(2)}, \phi_{2}^{(2)}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$
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