

ON THE SOLUTION OF THE FIRST PLANE INTERIOR BOUNDARY VALUE
PROBLEM OF STATICS OF THE THEORY OF ELASTIC MIXTURE BY
VARIATION METHOD

Svanadze K.

Abstract. It is shown that a solution of the boundary value problem of statics of the linear theory of elastic mixture, in the case of a finite simply connected plane domain, is the minimizing vector-function of the functional whose integrand represents the double potential energy of elastic mixture.

Keywords and phrases: Elastic mixture, variation method, first interior boundary value problem, functional, minimizing vector-function.

AMS subject classification (2010): 74E35.

1. Introduction

The basic two-dimensional boundary value problems of statics of the linear theory of elastic mixtures are studied in [1], [2], [5] [6] and also by many other authors. Two-dimensional boundary value problems of statics are investigated by potential method and the theory of singular integral equations in [1]. Using potentials with complex densities the solutions of basic plane boundary value problems of statics are reduced to the solution of Fredholm's linear integral equation of second kind in [2]. In the paper, the first boundary value problem of statics is investigated by variation method in the case of the plane theory of elastic mixture for a simply connected finite domain, when on the boundary a displacement vector is given. To solve the problem we use the method described in [3] and [4].

2. Some auxiliary formulas and operators

The homogeneous equation of statics of the linear theory of elastic mixture for the two-dimensional case can be written in the matrix form as [1]

$$\mathbf{A}(\partial\mathbf{x})\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{x}) = 0 \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$A(\partial x) = \begin{bmatrix} A^{(1)}(\partial x) & A^{(2)}(\partial x) \\ A^{(2)}(\partial x) & A^{(3)}(\partial x) \end{bmatrix}, \quad A^{(p)}(\partial x) = [A_{kj}^{(p)}(\partial x)]_{2 \times 2}, \quad p = 1, 2, 3,$$

$$A^{(2q-1)}(\partial x) = a_q \delta_{kj} \Delta + b_q \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_k \partial x_j} \quad q = 1, 2; k, j = 1, 2,$$

$$A^{(2)}(\partial x) = c \delta_{kj} \Delta + d \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_k \partial x_j} \quad k, j = 1, 2;$$

δ_{kj} is Kroneker's symbol, and Δ is the Laplace operator, $\mathbf{u} = (u', u'')^T$, $\mathbf{u}' = (u_1, u_2)^T$ and $\mathbf{u}'' = (u_3, u_4)^T$ are partial displacements, $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2)$,

$$a_1 = \mu_1 - \lambda_5, \quad a_2 = \mu_2 - \lambda_5, \quad c = \mu_3 + \lambda_5, \quad b_1 = \mu_1 + \lambda_1 + \lambda_5 - \alpha_2 \rho_2 / \rho,$$

$$b_2 = \mu_2 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_5 - \alpha_2 \rho_1 / \rho, \quad \alpha_2 = \lambda_3 - \lambda_4, \quad \rho = \rho_1 + \rho_2,$$

$$d = \mu_3 + \lambda_3 - \lambda_5 - \alpha_2 \rho_1 / \rho \equiv \mu_3 + \lambda_4 - \lambda_5 + \alpha_2 \rho_2 / \rho.$$

Here $\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3; \lambda_p, p = \overline{1, 5}$ are elastic constants, ρ_1 and ρ_2 are partial densities (positive constants).

In the sequel it is assumed that

$$\begin{cases} \mu_1 > 0, \lambda_5 < 0, & \mu_1 \mu_2 - \mu_3^2 > 0, & b_1 - \lambda_5 > 0, \\ (b_1 - \lambda_5)(b_2 - \lambda_5) - (d + \lambda_5)^2 > 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

Let D^+ be a finite two-dimensional region bounded by the contour $S \in C^{2,\alpha}$, $0 < \alpha < 1$. A vector-function $\mathbf{U} = (u', u'')^T = (u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4)^T$ is said to be regular in D^+ if $\mathbf{U} \in C^2(D^+) \cap C^{1,\alpha}(D^+ \cup S)$.

Note that for a regular $U = (u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4)^T$ and $V = (v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4)^T$ vector-functions we have the Green formula [1],

$$\int_{D^+} [\mathbf{VA}(\partial \mathbf{x})\mathbf{U} + \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})] d\mathbf{x} = \int_S [\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{y})]^+ [\mathbf{TU}(\mathbf{y})]^+ d\mathbf{yS}, \quad (2.3)$$

where $y = y_1 + iy_2, y \in S$, $\mathbf{TU} = [(Tu)_1, (Tu)_2, (Tu)_3, (Tu)_4]^T$ is the stress vector [1]

$$\begin{aligned} E(u, v) = E(v, u) &= (b_1 - \lambda_5) \left(\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_2} \right) \left(\frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial v_2}{\partial x_2} \right) \\ &+ (d + \lambda_5) \left[\left(\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_2} \right) \left(\frac{\partial v_3}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial v_4}{\partial x_2} \right) + \left(\frac{\partial u_3}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial u_4}{\partial x_2} \right) \left(\frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial v_2}{\partial x_2} \right) \right] \\ &\quad + (b_2 - \lambda_5) \left(\frac{\partial u_3}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial u_4}{\partial x_2} \right) \left(\frac{\partial v_3}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial v_4}{\partial x_2} \right) \\ &+ \mu_1 \left[\left(\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_2} \right) \left(\frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial v_2}{\partial x_2} \right) + \left(\frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_2} \right) \left(\frac{\partial v_2}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x_2} \right) \right] \\ &+ \mu_3 \left[\left(\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_2} \right) \left(\frac{\partial v_3}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial v_4}{\partial x_2} \right) + \left(\frac{\partial u_3}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial u_4}{\partial x_2} \right) \left(\frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial v_2}{\partial x_2} \right) \right] \\ &\quad + \left[\left(\frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_2} \right) \left(\frac{\partial v_4}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial v_3}{\partial x_2} \right) + \left(\frac{\partial u_4}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial x_2} \right) \left(\frac{\partial v_2}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x_2} \right) \right] \\ &+ \mu_2 \left[\left(\frac{\partial u_3}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial u_4}{\partial x_2} \right) \left(\frac{\partial v_3}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial v_4}{\partial x_2} \right) + \left(\frac{\partial u_4}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial x_2} \right) \left(\frac{\partial v_4}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial v_3}{\partial x_2} \right) \right] \\ &- \lambda_5 \left[\left(\frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_2} \right) \left(\frac{\partial v_2}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x_2} \right) - \left(\frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_2} \right) \left(\frac{\partial v_4}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial v_3}{\partial x_2} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left(\frac{\partial u_4}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial x_2} \right) \left(\frac{\partial v_2}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x_2} \right) + \left(\frac{\partial u_4}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial x_2} \right) \left(\frac{\partial v_4}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial v_3}{\partial x_2} \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

From (2.4) when $\mathbf{V}=\mathbf{U}$ we obtain

$$\int_{D^+} [\mathbf{U} A(\partial x)\mathbf{U} + E(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u})] dx = \int_S [\mathbf{U}(y)]^+ [\mathbf{TU}(y)]^+ dyS$$

where $E(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u})$ is the double potential energy of the form

$$\begin{aligned}
E(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}) = & (b_1 - \lambda_5) \left(\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_2} \right)^2 + 2(d + \lambda_5) \left(\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_2} \right) \left(\frac{\partial u_3}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial u_4}{\partial x_2} \right) \\
& + (b_2 - \lambda_5) \left(\frac{\partial u_3}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial u_4}{\partial x_2} \right)^2 + \mu_1 \left[\left(\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_2} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_2} \right)^2 \right] \\
& + 2\mu_3 \left[\left(\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_2} \right) \left(\frac{\partial u_3}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial u_4}{\partial x_2} \right) + \left(\frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_2} \right) \left(\frac{\partial u_4}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial x_2} \right) \right] \\
& + \mu_2 \left[\left(\frac{\partial u_3}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial u_4}{\partial x_2} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial u_4}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial x_2} \right)^2 \right] \\
& - \lambda_5 \left[\left(\frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_2} \right) - \left(\frac{\partial u_4}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial x_2} \right) \right]^2. \tag{2.5}
\end{aligned}$$

Owing to (2.2) it follows that $E(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u})$ is the positively defined quadratic form, also note that the equation $E(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}) = 0$ admits a solution

$$\mathbf{U} = (\mathbf{u}', \mathbf{u}'')^T \quad \mathbf{u}' = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 - \varepsilon x_2 \\ \alpha_2 + \varepsilon x_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{u}'' = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_3 - \varepsilon x_2 \\ \alpha_4 + \varepsilon x_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where α_q , $q = \overline{1, 4}$ and ε are arbitrary real constants.

Let us consider the functional

$$\Pi(\mathbf{u}) = \int_{D^+} E(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}) dx, \tag{2.6}$$

where $E(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u})$ is defined by (2.5).

On the basis of the above results we have that (2.6) functional is a positively defined quadratic form.

3. Solution of the first interior boundary value problem

The first interior boundary value problem of statics is formulated as follows [1]; find a regular solution of equation (1.1) in D^+ satisfies the boundary condition

$$\mathbf{U}^+(y) = \mathbf{f}(y), \quad y \in S, \tag{3.1}$$

where $\mathbf{f} \in C^{1, \beta}(S)$, $0 < \beta < \alpha < 1$ is a given vector-function.

Let us denote by $(I)_f^+$ the (1.1) (3.1) problem.

The following assertion is true [1].

Theorem 3.1. *The $(I)_f^+$ problem is uniquely solvable.*

For the solution of the problem by the variation method we have used the way, developed in [3] and [4].

Let us now prove the following

Theorem 3.2. *The vector-function $U(x)$ minimizes the functional (2.6) is a solution of problem $(I)_f^+$ if and only if the condition (3.1) is fulfilled*

Proof. At first let us prove sufficiency of equality (3.1), Let $\mathbf{f}(y)$ be such that minimization of the vector-function $\mathbf{U}(x)$ of the functional (2.6) satisfies condition (3.1). Let us show that the vector-function $\mathbf{U}(x)$ is the solution of problem $(I)_{\mathbf{f}}^+$.

To this end let us consider the vector-function $\mathbf{u}(x) + \varepsilon\mathbf{h}(x)$ where ε is an arbitrary real scalar constant, and $\mathbf{h} = (h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4)^T \neq 0$ is an arbitrary vector-function in D^+ and satisfies the condition

$$\mathbf{h}^+(y) = 0, \quad y \in S. \tag{3.2}$$

Elementary calculations yield (see (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6))

$$\Pi(\mathbf{u} + \varepsilon\mathbf{h}) \equiv \Pi(\mathbf{u}) + 2\varepsilon\Pi(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{h}) + \varepsilon^2\Pi(\mathbf{h}) > 0, \tag{3.3}$$

where

$$\Pi(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{h}) = \int_{D^+} E(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{h})dx \tag{3.4}$$

From (2.3) if $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{h}$ by virtue of (3.2) and (3.4) we obtain

$$\int_{D^+} \mathbf{h}(x)\mathbf{A} (\partial x)U(x)dx = -\Pi(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{h}). \tag{3.5}$$

Let us note that since in (3.3) ε is an arbitrary real scalar constant and the $\Pi(u)$ functional at $\mathbf{U}(x)$ attains minimum therefore we have

$$\Pi(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{h}) = 0. \tag{3.6}$$

By virtue of the fact $\mathbf{h}(x) \neq 0$ is an arbitrary regular vector-function in D^+ therefore owing to (3.6) from (3.5) it follows that $\mathbf{U}(x)$ is a solution of equation (1.1) in the domain D^+ .

Finally, from the above arguments and owing to Theorem 3.1 we conclude that if (3.1) condition is fulfilled then the minimization vector-function $\mathbf{U}(x)$ of the functional (2.6) is a uniquely solution of the problem $(I)_{\mathbf{f}}^+$.

Now let us show necessity of condition (3.1). Let $\mathbf{f}(y)$ be such that minimization vector-function $\mathbf{U}(x)$ of the functional (2.6) is the solution of the problem $(I)_{\mathbf{f}}^+$. We shall show that condition (3.1) is fulfilled. Since the minimization vector-function $\mathbf{U}(x)$ of the functional (2.6) is the solution of the problem $(I)_{\mathbf{f}}^+$, owing to uniqueness Theorem 3.1 we can conclude that (3.1) is fulfilled.

Finally, from Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2 we conclude that minimization vector-function $\mathbf{U}(x)$ of the functional (2.6) is the uniquely solution of the problem $(I)_{\mathbf{f}}^+$.

REFERENCES

1. Bacheleishvili M. Two-dimensional boundary value problem of statics of the theory of elastic mixtures. *Mem. Diff. Equ. Math. Phys.*, **6** (1995), 59-105.
2. Bacheleishvili M., Svanadze K. A new method of solving the basic plane boundary value problems of statics of the elastic mixture theory, *Georgian Math. J.*, **8**, 3 (2001), 427- 446.
3. Bitsadze A. Equation of Mathematical Physics (Russian). *Moscow, Nauka*, 1976.

4. Jangveladze T, Lobjanidze G. On variational formulation of Bitsadze - Samarskii problem for second order two-dimensional elliptic equations. *Appl. Math. Inf and Mech.*, **13**, 1 (2008), 55-65.
5. Svanadze K. Solution of the second boundary value problem of statics of the theory of elastic mixture for a circular ring. *Semin. I. Vekua Inst. Appl. Math. Rep.*, **43** (2017), 75-82.
6. Svanadze K. Effective solution of one basic boundary value problem of statics of the theory of elastic mixture in a circular domain. *Proceedings of I.Vekua Institute of Applied Mathematics*, **68** (2018), 77-82.

Received 12.05.2019; revised 11.06.2019; accepted 09.07.2019

Author's address:

K. Svanadze
A. Tsereteli Kutaisi State University
59, Tamar Mepe St., Kutaisi 4600
Georgia
E-mail: kostasvanadze@yahoo.com