

EFFECTIVE SOLUTIONS OF BVPs OF THE LINEAR THEORY OF  
THERMOELASTICITY WITH MICROTEmPERATURES

Bitsadze L.

**Abstract.** In the present paper the linear equilibrium theory of thermoelasticity with microtemperatures is considered. The explicit solutions of the Neumann type boundary value problems in the theory of thermoelasticity with microtemperatures for the sphere and for the whole space with a spherical cavity are constructed. The obtained solutions are represented by means of absolutely and uniformly convergent series.

**Keywords and phrases:** Thermoelasticity with microtemperatures, absolutely and uniformly convergent series, spherical harmonic.

**AMS subject classification (2010):** 74F05, 35E05, 35Q74.

### Introduction

A thermodynamic theory for elastic materials with inner structure whose particles, in addition to microdeformations, possess microtemperatures was proposed by Grot [1]. The mathematical model of the linear theory of thermoelasticity with microtemperature for materials with inner structure whose particles, in addition to the classical displacement and temperature fields, possess microtemperatures was presented by Ieşan and Quintanilla [2]. The same authors have proved an existence of weak solutions, have formulated the boundary value problems, have established the continuous dependence of solutions on the data and body loads. They have presented an uniqueness result and a solution of the type Boussinesq-Somigliana-Galerkin.

In recent years several continuum theories with microtemperatures have been formulated. An extensive review and the basic results in the microcontinuum field theories are given in the books of Eringen [3] and Ieşan [4]. The fundamental solution of the equations of the theory of thermoelasticity with microtemperatures was constructed by Svanadze [5]. The representations of the Galerkin type and general solutions of the system in this theory were obtained by Scalia, Svanadze and Tracinà [6]. The linear theory of thermoelasticity for microstretch elastic materials with microtemperatures was constructed by Ieşan [7] where the uniqueness and existence theorems are proved in the dynamical case for isotropic materials.

Riha developed a theory of micromorphic fluids with microtemperatures [8]. The exponential stability of solution of equations of the theory of thermoelasticity with microtemperatures has been established by Casas and Quintanilla [9].

Many investigators have studied different types of problems for materials with microtemperatures, that are published in a large number of papers (some of these results can be seen in [10-30] and the references cited therein).

In the present paper the linear equilibrium theory of thermoelasticity with microtemperatures is considered. The explicit solutions of the Neumann type boundary value problems in the theory of thermoelasticity with microtemperatures for the sphere and for the whole space with a spherical cavity are constructed. The obtained solutions are represented by means of

absolutely and uniformly convergent series.

## 2. Basic equations

Let  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$  be a point of the Euclidean three-dimensional space  $E^3$ .  $\partial\mathbf{x} := \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} \right)$ . Assume  $D^+$  to be a ball, of radius  $R_1$ , centered at point  $O(0, 0, 0)$ . Let  $S$  denotes the spherical surface of radius  $R_1$ . Let  $D^-$  be the whole space with spherical cavity and with the boundary  $S$ .  $\overline{D^+} := D^+ \cup S$ ,  $D^- := E^3 \setminus \overline{D^+}$ .

The basic homogeneous (i.e., body forces are neglected) system of equations of motion in the linear theory of thermoelasticity with microtemperatures for isotropic materials can be written as [2]

$$\mu\Delta\mathbf{u} + (\lambda + \mu)\text{graddiv}\mathbf{u} - \beta\text{grad}\theta = 0, \quad (1)$$

$$k_6\Delta\mathbf{w} + (k_4 + k_5)\text{graddiv}\mathbf{w} - k_3\text{grad}\theta - k_2\mathbf{w} = 0, \quad (2)$$

$$k\Delta\theta + k_1\text{div}\mathbf{w} = 0, \quad (3)$$

where  $\mathbf{u} := (u_1, u_2, u_3)^T$  is the displacement vector,  $\mathbf{w} := (w_1, w_2, w_3)^T$  is the microtemperature vector,  $\theta$  is the temperature measured from the constant absolute temperature  $T_0$  ( $T_0 > 0$ ),  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $k$ ,  $k_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, 6$ , are constitutive coefficients,  $\Delta$  is the 3D Laplace operator. The superscript "T" denotes transposition.

We assume that the constitutive coefficients satisfy the conditions

$$\mu > 0, \quad 3\lambda + 2\mu > 0, \quad k_6 \pm k_5 > 0, \quad k > 0,$$

$$3k_4 + k_5 + k_6 > 0, \quad (k_1 + T_0k_3)^2 > 4T_0kk_2.$$

**Definition 1.** A vector-function  $\mathbf{U}$  defined in the domain  $D^+(D^-)$  is called regular if it has integrable continuous second order derivatives in  $D^+(D^-)$ , and  $\mathbf{U}$  itself and its first order derivatives are continuously extendible at every point of the boundary of  $D^+(D^-)$ , i.e.,  $\mathbf{U} \in C^2(D^+) \cap C^1(\overline{D^+})$  ( $\mathbf{U} \in C^2(D^-) \cap C^1(\overline{D^-})$ ). In the case of the domain  $D^-$  the vector  $\mathbf{U}$  additionally should satisfy the following conditions at infinity:

$$\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{x}) = O(|\mathbf{x}|^{-1}) \quad \frac{\partial\mathbf{U}}{\partial x_j} = O(|\mathbf{x}|^{-2}) \quad |\mathbf{x}|^2 = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 \gg 1, \quad j = 1, 2, 3.$$

The basic boundary value problems (BVPs) of the theory of thermoelasticity with microtemperatures are formulated as follows:

**Problem 1.** Find in the domain  $D^+$  a regular solution  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \theta)$  of equations (1-3) satisfying the following boundary conditions

$$(\mathbf{u})^+ = \mathbf{F}^+(\mathbf{y}), \quad \left( \mathbf{P}^{(2)}(\partial\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n})\mathbf{w} \right)^+ = \mathbf{f}^+(\mathbf{y}), \quad \left( k \frac{\partial\theta}{\partial\mathbf{n}} + \frac{k_1}{R_1}\mathbf{xw} \right)^+ = f_4^+(\mathbf{y}), \quad \mathbf{y} \in S, \quad (4)$$

**Problem 2.** Find in the domain  $D^-$  a regular solution  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{u}, p_1, p_2)$  of equations (1-3), satisfying the following boundary conditions

$$(\mathbf{u})^- = \mathbf{F}^-(\mathbf{y}), \quad \left( \mathbf{P}^{(2)}(\partial\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n})\mathbf{w} \right)^- = \mathbf{f}^-(\mathbf{y}), \quad \left( k \frac{\partial\theta}{\partial\mathbf{n}} + \frac{k_1}{R_1}\mathbf{xw} \right)^- = f_4^-(\mathbf{y}), \quad \mathbf{y} \in S,$$

where  $\mathbf{P}^{(2)}(\partial\mathbf{x}, \partial\mathbf{n})\mathbf{w}$  is the stress vector in the theory of thermoelasticity with microtemperatures and has the form [2]

$$\mathbf{P}^{(2)}(\partial\mathbf{x}, \partial\mathbf{n})\mathbf{w} = (k_5 + k_6) \frac{\partial\mathbf{w}}{\partial\mathbf{n}} + k_4 \mathbf{n} \operatorname{div}\mathbf{w} + k_5 [\mathbf{n} \cdot \operatorname{rot}\mathbf{w}], \quad \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\mathbf{x}}{\rho}. \quad (5)$$

$\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{f}, f_4$  are a given functions on  $S$ ,  $\mathbf{n}$  is the external unit normal vector to  $S$  at  $\mathbf{y}$ ,  $\frac{\partial}{\partial\mathbf{n}}$  is the derivative along the vector  $\mathbf{n}$ , the symbol  $[\cdot]^-$  denotes the limit on  $S$  from  $D$

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{z})]^\pm &= \lim_{D^\pm \ni \mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{z} \in S} \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{x}), \quad \left[ \mathbf{P}^{(2)}(\partial\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{n})\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{z}) \right]^\pm = \lim_{D^\pm \ni \mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{z} \in S} \mathbf{P}^{(2)}(\partial\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n})\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x}), \\ \left[ k \frac{\partial\theta}{\partial\mathbf{n}} + \frac{k_1}{R_1} \mathbf{x}\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{z}) \right]^\pm &= \lim_{D^\pm \ni \mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{z} \in S} \left( k \frac{\partial\theta}{\partial\mathbf{n}} + \frac{k_1}{R_1} \mathbf{x}\mathbf{w} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The following theorems are valid [6]

**Theorem 1.** *Any two regular solution of the BVP 1 may differ only to within the additive vector  $\mathbf{U} = (\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{w}_0, \theta_0)$ , where*

$$\mathbf{u}_0 = 0, \quad \mathbf{w}_0 = 0, \quad \theta_0 = \text{constants}.$$

**Theorem 2.** *The BVP 2 admit at most one regular solution.*

### 3. Auxiliary formulas

Let us introduce the spherical coordinates equalities

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= \rho \sin \vartheta \cos \varphi, \quad x_2 = \rho \sin \vartheta \sin \varphi, \quad x_3 = \rho \cos \vartheta, \quad x \in D^+, \\ y_1 &= R_1 \sin \vartheta_0 \cos \varphi_0, \quad y_2 = R_1 \sin \vartheta_0 \sin \varphi_0, \quad y_3 = R_1 \cos \vartheta_0, \quad y \in S, \\ |x|^2 &= \rho^2 = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2, \quad 0 \leq \vartheta \leq \pi, \quad 0 \leq \varphi \leq 2\pi, \quad 0 \leq \rho \leq R_1. \end{aligned}$$

In the sequel we use the following notation: If  $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{g}(g_1, g_2, g_3)$  and  $\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{q}(q_1, q_2, q_3)$  then by symbols  $(\mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{q})$  and  $[\mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{q}]$  we will denote the scalar product and the vector product respectively

$$(\mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{q}) = \sum_{k=1}^3 g_k q_k, \quad [\mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{q}] = (g_2 q_3 - g_3 q_2, g_3 q_1 - g_1 q_3, g_1 q_2 - g_2 q_1),$$

We introduce the following notations:

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbf{x} \cdot \nabla]_k &= \frac{\partial}{\partial S_k(x)}, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \quad \nabla = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} \right), \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial S_1(x)} &= x_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} - x_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} = -\cos \varphi \operatorname{ctg} \vartheta \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} - \sin \varphi \frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta}, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial S_2(x)} &= x_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} - x_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} = -\sin \varphi \operatorname{ctg} \vartheta \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} + \cos \varphi \frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta}, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial S_3(x)} &= x_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} - x_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi}, \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to show that the following identities are valid [27],[33]:

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathbf{x} \cdot \text{rotg}) &= \sum_{k=1}^3 \frac{\partial g_k(\mathbf{x})}{\partial S_k(\mathbf{x})}, \quad \sum_{k=1}^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial S_k(\mathbf{x})} (\text{rot}[\mathbf{x} \cdot \nabla]h)_k = 0, \\
\sum_{k=1}^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial S_k(\mathbf{x})} (\text{rotg}(\mathbf{x}))_k &= \rho \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \text{divg}(\mathbf{x}) - \sum_{k=1}^3 x_k \Delta \mathbf{g}_k(\mathbf{x}), \\
\sum_{k=1}^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial S_k(x)} [\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{g}]_k &= \rho^2 \text{divg}(\mathbf{x}) - \left( \rho \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} + 1 \right) (\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x})), \\
\sum_{k=1}^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial S_k(\mathbf{x})} [\mathbf{x} \cdot \text{rotg}(\mathbf{x})]_k &= - \left( \rho \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} + 1 \right) \sum_{k=1}^3 \frac{\partial g_k(\mathbf{x})}{\partial S_k(x)}, \\
\sum_{k=1}^3 x_k \frac{\partial}{\partial S_k(x)} &= 0, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial S_k(x)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial}{\partial S_k(x)}, \\
\sum_{k=1}^3 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial S_k^2(x)} &= \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \vartheta^2} + ctg\vartheta \frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta} + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \vartheta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \varphi^2}, \quad \frac{\partial x_k}{\partial S_k} = 0, \\
\sum_{k=1}^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial S_k(x)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} &= 0, \quad \frac{\partial g(\rho)Y(\vartheta, \varphi)}{\partial S_k(x)} = g(\rho) \frac{\partial Y(\vartheta, \varphi)}{\partial S_k(x)}, \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial S_k(x)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial S_k(x)}, \quad \Delta \frac{\partial g(x)}{\partial S_k(x)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial S_k} \Delta g(x), \\
\sum_{k=1}^3 \frac{\partial^2 g(x)}{\partial S_k^2(x)} &= \rho^2 \Delta g(x) - \rho^2 \frac{\partial^2 g(x)}{\partial \rho^2} - 2\rho \frac{\partial g(x)}{\partial \rho}.
\end{aligned}$$

Below we frequently use the formulas

$$\begin{aligned}
[\mathbf{x} \cdot \text{rotg}(x)] &= \mathbf{M}(x, \partial x) \mathbf{g} + \mathbf{x} \text{divg} - \rho \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \mathbf{g}, \\
\sum_{kj=1}^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial S_k(x)} \mathbf{M}(x, \partial x)_{kj} g_j(x) &= - \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{\partial g_j(x)}{\partial S_j(x)}, \\
\mathbf{M}(x, \partial x) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{\partial}{\partial S_3(x)} & \frac{\partial}{\partial S_2(x)} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial S_3(x)} & 0 & -\frac{\partial}{\partial S_1(x)} \\ -\frac{\partial}{\partial S_2(x)} & \frac{\partial}{\partial S_1(x)} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
[\mathbf{x}[\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{g}]] &= \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{g}) - |\mathbf{x}|^2 \mathbf{g}(x), \\
\sum_{k=1}^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial S_k(x)} [\mathbf{x}[\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{g}]]_k &= -|\mathbf{x}|^2 \sum_{k=1}^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial S_k(x)} g_k(x).
\end{aligned}$$

In the sequel we use the following notation

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{P}^{(2)} \mathbf{w}) &= (\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{f}) = h_1(\mathbf{z}), \quad \sum_{k=0}^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial S_k(z)} [\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{P}^{(2)} \mathbf{w}]_k = \sum_{k=0}^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial S_k(z)} [\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{f}] = h_2(\mathbf{z}), \\
 \sum_{k=0}^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial S_k(z)} [\mathbf{P}^{(2)} \mathbf{w}]_k &= \sum_{k=0}^3 \frac{\partial f_k}{\partial S_k(z)} = h_3(\mathbf{z}), \quad f_4 = h_4(\mathbf{z}), \quad \mathbf{z} \in S.
 \end{aligned}$$

We assume that the functions  $h_k(\mathbf{x})$  are representable by the series form.

$$h_k(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} h_{km}(\vartheta, \varphi),$$

where  $h_{km}$  is the spherical harmonic of order  $m$  :

$$h_{km} = \frac{2m+1}{4\pi R_1^2} \int_S P_m(\cos \gamma) h_m(y) dS_y,$$

$P_m$  is Legendre polynomial of the  $m$ -th order,  $\gamma$  is an angle formed by the radius-vectors  $Ox$  and  $Oy$ .

From this formulas it follows that, if  $g_m$  is the spherical harmonic, the operator  $\frac{\partial}{\partial S_k}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, 3$ , does not affect the order of the spherical function:

$$\sum_{k=0}^3 \frac{\partial^2 g_m(\mathbf{x})}{\partial S_k^2(x)} = -m(m+1)g_m(\mathbf{x}).$$

#### 4. Expansion of regular solutions

**Theorem 3.** *In the domain of regularity the regular solution  $\mathbf{W} = (\mathbf{w}, \theta)$  of system (2),(3) can be represented as*

$$\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{x}) = (\overset{1}{\mathbf{w}} + \overset{2}{\mathbf{w}}, \theta), \quad (6)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta(\Delta - s_1^2)\overset{1}{\mathbf{w}} &= 0, \quad \text{rot} \overset{1}{\mathbf{w}} = 0, \quad (\Delta - s_1^2)\text{div} \overset{1}{\mathbf{w}} = 0, \quad (\Delta - s_2^2)\overset{2}{\mathbf{w}} = 0, \\
 \text{div} \overset{2}{\mathbf{w}} &= 0, \quad \Delta(\Delta - s_1^2)\theta = 0, \quad s_1^2 = \frac{kk_2 - k_1k_3}{kk_7} > 0, \quad s_2^2 = \frac{k_2}{k_6} > 0.
 \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

**Theorem 4.** *In the domain of regularity the regular solution of equations (2),(3) can be represented in the form*

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x}) &= a \text{grad} \varphi_1(\mathbf{x}) + b \text{grad} \varphi_2(\mathbf{x}) + \overset{2}{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{x}), \\
 \theta(\mathbf{x}) &= \varphi_1(\mathbf{x}) + \varphi_2(\mathbf{x}) \quad a = -\frac{k_3}{k_2} \quad b = -\frac{k}{k_1},
 \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where

$$\Delta \varphi_1 = 0, \quad (\Delta - s_1^2)\varphi_2 = 0, \quad (\Delta - s_2^2)\overset{2}{\mathbf{w}} = 0, \quad \text{div} \overset{2}{\mathbf{w}} = 0. \quad (9)$$

**Theorem 5.** *The vector  $\mathbf{W} = (\mathbf{w}, \theta)$ , where  $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2, w_3)$ , is a regular solution of the homogeneous equations (2),(3), in  $D^+$ , if and only if when it is represented in the form*

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x}) = a \operatorname{grad}\varphi_1(\mathbf{x}) + b \operatorname{grad}\varphi_2(\mathbf{x}) + c \operatorname{rot}\boldsymbol{\varphi}^3(\mathbf{x}), \\ \theta(\mathbf{x}) = \varphi_1(\mathbf{x}) + \varphi_2(\mathbf{x}), \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} (\Delta - s_2^2)\boldsymbol{\varphi}^3 = 0, \quad \operatorname{div}\boldsymbol{\varphi}^3 = 0, \quad c = -\frac{k_6}{k_2}, \\ \boldsymbol{\varphi}^3(\mathbf{x}) = [\mathbf{x} \cdot \nabla]\varphi_3(\mathbf{x}) + \operatorname{rot}[\mathbf{x} \cdot \nabla]\varphi_4(\mathbf{x}), \\ \int_{S(0,a_1)} \varphi_j ds = 0, \quad (\Delta - s_2^2)\varphi_j = 0, \quad j = 3, 4, \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

$S(0, a_1) \subset D^+$  is an arbitrary spherical surface of radius  $a_1$ . Between the vector  $\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{w}, \theta)$  and the functions  $\varphi_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, 4$ , there exist one-to-one correspondence.

Theorems 3,4 and Theorem 5 are proved in [27].

**Remark 1.** By virtue of the equality

$$\operatorname{rotrot}[\mathbf{x} \cdot \nabla]\varphi_4 = -\Delta[\mathbf{x} \cdot \nabla]\varphi_4,$$

formula (10) can be written as

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x}) = a \operatorname{grad}\varphi_1(\mathbf{x}) + b \operatorname{grad}\varphi_2(\mathbf{x}) + [\mathbf{x} \cdot \nabla]\varphi_4(\mathbf{x}) + c \operatorname{rot}[\mathbf{x} \cdot \nabla]\varphi_3(\mathbf{x}), \\ \theta(\mathbf{x}) = \varphi_1(\mathbf{x}) + \varphi_2(\mathbf{x}). \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

**Remark 2.** Note that BVPs for the system (2),(3), which contain only  $\mathbf{w}$  and  $\theta$ , can be investigated separately. Then supposing  $\theta$ , as known we can study BVPs for the system (1) with respect to  $\mathbf{u}$ . Combining the results obtained we arrive at an explicit solution for BVPs for the system (1)-(3). Let us assume that  $\theta(\mathbf{x})$  is known, when  $\mathbf{x} \in D^+(D^-)$ , then for  $\mathbf{u}$  we get the following nonhomogeneous equation

$$\mu\Delta\mathbf{u} + (\lambda + \mu)\operatorname{grad}\operatorname{div}\mathbf{u} = \beta\operatorname{grad}(\varphi_1 + \varphi_2). \quad (13)$$

It is easily to check that the general solution of equation (13) is representable in the form

$$\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{V} + \mathbf{v}_0,$$

where  $\mathbf{v}_0$  is a particular solution of (13)

$$\mathbf{v}_0 = \frac{\beta}{\lambda + 2\mu}\operatorname{grad}\left(\varphi_{10} + \frac{\varphi_2}{s_1^2}\right), \quad \Delta\varphi_{10} = \varphi_1$$

and

$$\mu\Delta\mathbf{V} + (\lambda + \mu)\operatorname{grad}\operatorname{div}\mathbf{V} = 0.$$

The last equation is the equation of an isotropic elastic body. The solutions of the BVPs under BCs  $\mathbf{V}^\pm = \mathbf{F}^\pm - \mathbf{v}_0^\pm$ , in the domains  $D^+$  and  $D^-$ , are given in [32].

So, it remains to solve BVPs for the system (2),(3).

### 5. Solution of the BVP 1

The thermostress vector  $\mathbf{P}^{(2)}(\partial\mathbf{x}, \partial\mathbf{n})\mathbf{w}$  has the form

$$\mathbf{P}^{(2)}(\partial\mathbf{x}, \partial\mathbf{n})\mathbf{w} = (k_5 + k_6) \frac{\partial\mathbf{w}}{\partial\mathbf{n}} + k_4 \mathbf{n} \operatorname{div}\mathbf{w} + k_5 [\mathbf{n} \cdot \operatorname{rot}\mathbf{w}], \quad \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\mathbf{x}}{\rho}. \quad (14)$$

Taking into account (12) and the identities

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial\mathbf{n}} \operatorname{grad}h(\mathbf{x}) &= \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{grad} \left( \rho \frac{\partial}{\partial\rho} - 1 \right) h(\mathbf{x}), \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial\mathbf{n}} \operatorname{roth}(\mathbf{x}) &= \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{rot} \left( \rho \frac{\partial}{\partial\rho} - 1 \right) h(\mathbf{x}), \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

the vector  $\mathbf{P}^{(2)}(\partial\mathbf{x}, \partial\mathbf{n})\mathbf{w}$  takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}^{(2)}(\partial\mathbf{x}, \partial\mathbf{n})\mathbf{w} &= \\ & \frac{k_5 + k_6}{\rho} \left\{ \operatorname{grad} \left( \rho \frac{\partial}{\partial\rho} - 1 \right) (a\varphi_1(\mathbf{x}) + b\varphi_2(\mathbf{x})) + c \operatorname{rot} \left( \rho \frac{\partial}{\partial\rho} - 1 \right) \varphi^3(\mathbf{x}) \right\} \\ & + k_4 b s_1^2 \frac{1}{\rho} \mathbf{x} \varphi_2 + k_5 \frac{1}{\rho} [\mathbf{x} \cdot \varphi^{(3)}]. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

By direct calculation from (16) we obtain

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} (\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{P}^{(2)}(\partial\mathbf{x}, \partial\mathbf{n})\mathbf{w}) &= (k_5 + k_6) a \rho \frac{\partial^2 \varphi_1}{\partial \rho^2} + [(k_5 + k_6) b \rho \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \rho^2} + k_4 b s_1^2 \rho] \varphi_2 \\ &+ c (k_5 + k_6) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} - \frac{1}{\rho} \right) \sum_{k=0}^3 \frac{\partial^2 \varphi_3}{\partial S_k^2(x)}, \\ \sum_{k=0}^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial S_k(x)} \left[ \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{P}^{(2)}(\partial\mathbf{x}, \partial\mathbf{n})\mathbf{w} \right]_k &= (k_5 + k_6) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} - \frac{1}{\rho} \right) \sum_{k=0}^3 \frac{\partial^2 (a\varphi_1 + b\varphi_2)}{\partial S_k^2(x)} \\ &- \left\{ c (k_5 + k_6) \left[ \rho \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \rho^2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} - \frac{1}{\rho} \right] + k_5 \rho \right\} \sum_{k=0}^3 \frac{\partial^2 \varphi_3}{\partial S_k^2(x)}, \\ \sum_{k=0}^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial S_k(x)} \left[ \mathbf{P}^{(2)}(\partial\mathbf{x}, \partial\mathbf{n})\mathbf{w} \right]_k &= k_5 \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} - \frac{1}{\rho} \right) \sum_{k=0}^3 \frac{\partial^2 \varphi_4}{\partial S_k^2(x)}, \\ \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial n} = \frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial \rho} + \frac{\partial \varphi_2}{\partial \rho}, \quad (\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{w}) &= a \rho \frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial \rho} + b \rho \frac{\partial \varphi_2}{\partial \rho} + c \sum_{k=1}^3 \frac{\partial^2 \varphi_3}{\partial S_k^2(x)}. \end{aligned} \right. \quad (17)$$

It is well known that the general solutions of the equation  $(\Delta - s_k^2)\psi = 0$ ,  $k = 1, 2$ , in the domains  $D^+(D^-)$  have the form ([31])

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \psi(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \phi_m^{(1)}(is_k \rho) Y_m(\vartheta, \varphi), \quad \phi_m^{(1)}(is_k \rho) = \frac{\sqrt{R_1} J_{m+\frac{1}{2}}(is_k \rho)}{\sqrt{\rho} J_{m+\frac{1}{2}}(is_k R_1)}, \quad \rho < R_1, \\ \psi(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \Psi_m^{(1)}(is_k \rho) Y_m(\vartheta, \varphi), \quad \Psi_m^{(1)}(is_k \rho) = \frac{\sqrt{R_1} H_{m+\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}(is_k \rho)}{\sqrt{\rho} H_{m+\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}(is_k R_1)}, \quad \rho > R_1, \end{array} \right. \quad (18)$$

and the general solutions of the equation  $\Delta\phi = 0$  in the domains  $D^+(D^-)$  have the form ([31])

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \phi(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\rho^m}{(2m+1)R_1^{m-1}} Z_m(\vartheta, \varphi), \quad \rho < R_1, \\ \phi(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{R_1^{m+2}}{(2m+1)\rho^{m+1}} Z_m(\vartheta, \varphi), \quad \rho > R_1, \end{array} \right. \quad (19)$$

where  $Y_m$  and  $Z_m(\theta, \phi)$  are the spherical harmonics.

We seek solutions to equations (2),(3) with the boundary conditions

$$\left( \mathbf{P}^{(2)}(\partial \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}) \mathbf{w} \right)^+ = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{y}), \quad \left( k \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \mathbf{n}} + \frac{k_1}{R_1} \mathbf{xw} \right)^+ = f_4(\mathbf{y}), \quad \mathbf{y} \in S,$$

in the form (12), where

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \varphi_1(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\rho^m}{(2m+1)R_1^{m-1}} Y_{1m}(\vartheta, \varphi), \\ \varphi_2(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \phi_m^{(1)}(is_1 \rho) Y_{2m}(\vartheta, \varphi), \\ \varphi_j(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \phi_m^{(1)}(is_2 \rho) Y_{jm}(\vartheta, \varphi), \quad j = 3, 4, \quad \rho < R_1, \end{array} \right. \quad (20)$$

$$\phi_m^{(1)}(is_k \rho) = \frac{\sqrt{R_1} J_{m+\frac{1}{2}}(is_k \rho)}{\sqrt{\rho} J_{m+\frac{1}{2}}(is_k R_1)}, \quad k = 1, 2.$$



Substituting the expressions of  $\varphi_m(x)$ ,  $m = 1, 2, 3, 4$  into (17), we obtain

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned}
 & (\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{P}^{(2)}(\partial \mathbf{x}, \partial \mathbf{n}) \mathbf{w}) = a(k_5 + k_6) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{m(m-1)\rho^{m-1}}{(2m+1)R_1^{m-1}} Y_{1m}(\vartheta, \varphi) \\
 & + b \left[ (k_5 + k_6) \rho \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \rho^2} \phi_m^{(1)}(is_1 \rho) + k_4 s_1^2 \rho \phi_m^{(1)}(is_1 \rho) \right] Y_{2m}(\vartheta, \varphi) \\
 & - c(k_5 + k_6) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} - \frac{1}{\rho} \right) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} m(m+1) \phi_m^{(1)}(is_2 \rho) Y_{3m}, \\
 & \sum_{k=0}^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial S_k(x)} \left[ \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{P}^{(2)}(\partial \mathbf{x}, \partial \mathbf{n}) \mathbf{w} \right]_k = \\
 & - (k_5 + k_6) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} m(m+1) \left\{ \frac{a(m-1)\rho^{m-1}}{(2m+1)R_1^{m-1}} Y_{1m} + b \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} - \frac{1}{\rho} \right) \phi_m^{(1)}(is_1 \rho) Y_{2m} \right\} \\
 & + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} m(m+1) \left\{ c(k_5 + k_6) \left( \rho \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \rho^2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} - \frac{1}{\rho} \right) + k_5 \rho \right\} \phi_m^{(1)}(is_2 \rho) Y_{3m}, \\
 & \sum_{k=0}^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial S_k(x)} \left[ \mathbf{P}^{(2)}(\partial \mathbf{x}, \partial \mathbf{n}) \mathbf{w} \right]_k = -k_5 \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} m(m+1) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} - \frac{1}{\rho} \right) \phi_m^{(1)}(is_2 \rho) Y_{4m}, \\
 & \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial n} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{m\rho^{m-1}}{(2m+1)R_1^{m-1}} Y_{1m}(\vartheta, \varphi) + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} [\phi_m^{(1)}(is_1 \rho)] Y_{2m}(\vartheta, \varphi), \\
 & (\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{w}) = a\rho \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{m\rho^{m-1}}{(2m+1)R_1^{m-1}} Y_{1m}(\vartheta, \varphi) + b\rho \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} [\phi_m^{(1)}(is_1 \rho)] Y_{2m}(\vartheta, \varphi) \\
 & - cm(m+1) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \phi_m^{(1)}(is_2 \rho) Y_{3m}.
 \end{aligned} \right. \quad (21)$$

**Remark 3.** The conditions  $\int_{S(0, a_1)} \varphi_j ds = 0$   $j = 3, 4$  in fact mean that

$$Y_{30} = Y_{40} = 0.$$

Passing in (21) to the limit as  $\rho \rightarrow R_1$  and taking into account boundary conditions we obtain

for the determination of  $Y_{mj}$  the system of algebraic equations

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} a(k_5 + k_6) \frac{m(m-1)}{2m+1} Y_{1m}(\vartheta, \varphi) \\ + b \left\{ (k_5 + k_6) \left[ \rho \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \rho^2} \phi_m^{(1)}(is_1 \rho) \right]_{\rho=R_1} + k_4 s_1^2 R_1 \right\} Y_{2m}(\vartheta, \varphi) \\ - c(k_5 + k_6) m(m+1) \left[ \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} - \frac{1}{\rho} \right) \phi_m^{(1)}(is_2 \rho) \right]_{\rho=R_1} Y_{3m} = h_{1m}^+, \\ - (k_5 + k_6) m(m+1) \left\{ \frac{a(m-1)}{2m+1} Y_{1m} + b \left[ \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} - \frac{1}{\rho} \right) \phi_m^{(1)}(is_2 \rho) \right]_{\rho=R_1} Y_{2m} \right\} \\ + m(m+1) \left\{ c(k_5 + k_6) \left[ \left( \rho \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \rho^2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} - \frac{1}{\rho} \right) \phi_m^{(1)}(is_2 \rho) \right]_{\rho=R_1} + k_5 R_1 \right\} Y_{3m} = h_{2m}^+, \\ - k_5 m(m+1) \left\{ \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \phi_m^{(1)}(is_2 \rho) \right]_{\rho=R_1} - \frac{1}{R_1} \right\} Y_{4m} = h_{3m}^+, \\ \frac{kk_7 s_1^2 m}{k_2 (2m+1)} Y_{1m}(\vartheta, \varphi) \frac{ck_1}{R_1} m(m+1) Y_{3m} = h_{4m}^+, \end{array} \right. \quad (22)$$

where

$$Y_{30} = Y_{40} = 0, \quad h_{30}^+ = h_{20}^+ = 0.$$

For  $m = 0$  we obtain  $Y_{10}$  is an arbitrary constant.

By virtue of Theorem 1 we conclude that the system (22) for  $m \geq 1$  is uniquely solvable and the functions  $Y_{jm}$  can be expressed by the known functions  $h_{jm}^+$ .

**Remark 4.** Having defined  $\varphi_1$ , we find the solution of equation  $\Delta \varphi_{10} = \varphi_1$

$$\varphi_{10}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\rho^{2+n} Y_{1n}(\vartheta, \eta)}{(3+2n)(2n+1)R_1^{n-1}}, \quad \rho < R_1. \quad (23)$$

## 6. Solution of the BVP 2

The solution of the problem

$$\left( \mathbf{P}^{(2)}(\partial \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}) \mathbf{w} \right)^- = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{y}), \quad \left( k \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \mathbf{n}} + \frac{k_1}{R_1} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{w} \right)^- = f_4(\mathbf{y}), \quad \mathbf{y} \in S,$$

in the domain  $D^-$  is sought in the form (12), where

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \varphi_1(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{R_1^{m+2}}{(2m+1)\rho^{m+1}} Y_{1m}(\vartheta, \varphi), \\ \varphi_2(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \Psi_m^{(1)}(is_1\rho) Y_{2m}(\vartheta, \varphi), \\ \varphi_j(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \Psi_m^{(1)}(is_2\rho) Y_{jm}(\vartheta, \varphi), \quad \rho > R_1, \quad j = 3, 4, \end{array} \right. \quad (24)$$

$$\Psi_m^{(1)}(is_k\rho) = \frac{\sqrt{R_1} H_{m+\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}(is_k\rho)}{\sqrt{\rho} H_{m+\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}(is_k R_1)}, \quad k = 1, 2.$$

Substituting the expressions of  $\varphi_m(x)$ ,  $m = 1, 2, 3, 4$  into (17) we obtain

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{P}^{(2)}(\partial\mathbf{x}, \partial\mathbf{n})\mathbf{w}) = a(k_5 + k_6) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(m+1)(m+2)R_1^{m+2}}{(2m+1)\rho^{m+2}} Y_{1m}(\vartheta, \varphi) \\ + b \left[ (k_5 + k_6)\rho \frac{\partial^2}{\partial\rho^2} \Psi_m^{(1)}(is_1\rho) + k_4 s_1^2 \rho \Psi_m^{(1)}(is_1\rho) \right] Y_{2m}(\vartheta, \varphi) \\ - c(k_5 + k_6) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial\rho} - \frac{1}{\rho} \right) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} m(m+1) \Psi_m^{(1)}(is_2\rho) Y_{3m}, \\ \sum_{k=0}^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial S_k(x)} \left[ \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{P}^{(2)}(\partial\mathbf{x}, \partial\mathbf{n})\mathbf{w} \right]_k = \\ - (k_5 + k_6) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} m(m+1) \left\{ -\frac{a(m+2)R_1^{m+2}}{(2m+1)\rho^{m+2}} Y_{1m} + b \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial\rho} - \frac{1}{\rho} \right) \Psi_m^{(1)}(is_1\rho) Y_{2m} \right\} \\ + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} m(m+1) \left\{ c(k_5 + k_6) \left( \rho \frac{\partial^2}{\partial\rho^2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial\rho} - \frac{1}{\rho} \right) + k_5 \rho \right\} \Psi_m^{(1)}(is_2\rho) Y_{3m}, \\ \sum_{k=0}^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial S_k(x)} \left[ \mathbf{P}^{(2)}(\partial\mathbf{x}, \partial\mathbf{n})\mathbf{w} \right]_k = -k_5 \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} m(m+1) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial\rho} - \frac{1}{\rho} \right) \Psi_m^{(1)}(is_2\rho) Y_{4m}, \\ \frac{\partial\theta}{\partial n} = - \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(m+1)R_1^{m+2}}{(2m+1)\rho^{m+2}} Y_{1m}(\vartheta, \varphi) + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial\rho} [\Psi_m^{(1)}(is_1\rho)] Y_{2m}(\vartheta, \varphi), \\ (\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{w}) = -a\rho \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(m+1)R_1^{m+2}}{(2m+1)\rho^{m+2}} Y_{1m}(\vartheta, \varphi) + b\rho \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial\rho} [\Psi_m^{(1)}(is_1\rho)] Y_{2m}(\vartheta, \varphi) \\ - cm(m+1) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \Psi_m^{(1)}(is_2\rho) Y_{3m}, \end{array} \right. \quad (25)$$

Passing in (25) to the limit as  $\rho \rightarrow R_1$  and taking into account boundary conditions we

obtain for the determination of  $Y_{mj}$  the system of algebraic equations

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} a(k_5 + k_6) \frac{(m+1)(m+2)}{2m+1} Y_{1m}(\vartheta, \varphi) \\ + b \left\{ (k_5 + k_6) \left[ \rho \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \rho^2} \Psi_m^{(1)}(is_1 \rho) \right]_{\rho=R_1} + k_4 s_1^2 R_1 \right\} Y_{2m}(\vartheta, \varphi) \\ - c(k_5 + k_6) m(m+1) \left[ \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} - \frac{1}{\rho} \right) \Psi_m^{(1)}(is_2 \rho) \right]_{\rho=R_1} Y_{3m} = h_{1m}^-, \\ - (k_5 + k_6) m(m+1) \left\{ - \frac{a(m+2)}{2m+1} Y_{1m} + b \left[ \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} - \frac{1}{\rho} \right) \Psi_m^{(1)}(is_2 \rho) \right]_{\rho=R_1} Y_{2m} \right\} \\ + m(m+1) \left\{ c(k_5 + k_6) \left[ \left( \rho \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \rho^2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} - \frac{1}{\rho} \right) \Psi_m^{(1)}(is_2 \rho) \right]_{\rho=R_1} + k_5 R_1 \right\} Y_{3m} = h_{2m}^-, \\ k_5 m(m+1) \left\{ \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \Psi_m^{(1)}(is_2 \rho) \right]_{\rho=R_1} - \frac{1}{R_1} \right\} Y_{4m} = -h_{3m}^-, \\ \frac{kk_7 s_1^2 (m+1)}{k_2 (2m+1)} Y_{1m}(\vartheta, \varphi) + \frac{ck_1}{R_1} m(m+1) Y_{3m} = -h_{4m}^-, \end{array} \right. \quad (26)$$

where

$$Y_{30} = Y_{40} = 0, \quad h_{30}^- = h_{20}^- = 0.$$

By virtue of Theorem 2 we conclude that the system (26) for  $m \geq 0$  is uniquely solvable and the functions  $Y_{jm}$  can be expressed by the known functions  $h_{jm}^+$ .

**Remark 5.** Having defined  $\varphi_1$ , we find the solution of equation  $\Delta \varphi_{10} = \varphi_1$

$$\varphi_{10}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\rho^2}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{Y_{1n}(\vartheta, \eta)}{(1-4n^2)} \frac{R_1^{n+2}}{\rho^{n+1}}, \quad \rho > R_1.$$

## Conclusions

By using the above-mentioned method, it is possible:

1. To construct explicitly the solutions of basic BVPs for systems (1)-(3) for simple cases of 2D domains (circle, plane with circular hole) in the form of absolutely and uniformly convergent series that are useful in the engineering practice;
2. To obtain numerical solutions of the boundary value problems;
3. To construct explicitly the solutions of basic BVPs of the systems of equations in the modern linear theories of elasticity, thermoelasticity and poroelasticity for materials with microstructures for a circle, for a sphere etc.
4. In practice, such BVPs are quite common in many areas of science. The potential users of the obtained results will be the scientists and engineers working on the problems of solid mechanics, micro and nanomechanics, mechanics of materials, engineering mechanics etc.

## REFERENCES

1. Grot R. A. Thermodynamics of a continuum with microtemperatyre. *Int. J. Eng. Sci.*, **7** (1969), 801-814.
2. Ieşan D., Quintanilla R. On a theory of thermoelasticity with microtemperatures. *J. Thermal Stresses*, **23** (2000), 199-215.
3. Eringen A. C. Microcontinuum field theories I, Foundations and solids. *Springer, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg*, 1999.
4. Ieşan D. Thermoelastic models of continua. *Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, Dordrecht, London*, 2005.
5. Svanadze M. Fundamental solutions of the equations of the theory of thermoelasticity with microtemperatures. *J. Thermal Stresses*, **27** (2004), 151-170.
6. Scalia A., Svanadze M., Tracina R. Basic theorems in the equilibrium theory of thermoelasticity with microtemperatures. *J. Thermal Stresses*, **33** (2010), 721-753.
7. Ieşan D. On a theory of micromorphic elastic solids with microtemperatures. *J. Thermal Stresses*, **24** (2001), 737-752.
8. Riha P. On the theory of heat-conducting micropolar fluids with microtemperatures. *Acta Mech.*, **23** (1975), 1-8.
9. Casas P. S., Quintanilla R. Exponential stability in thermoelasticity with microtemperatures. *Int.J. Eng. Sci.*, **43** (2005), 33-47.
10. Ieşan D. Thermoelasticity of bodies with microstructure and microtemperatures. *Int. J. Solids Struct.*, **44** (2007), 849-863.
11. Ieşan D., Quintanilla R. On thermoelastic bodies with inner structure and microtemperatures. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **354** (2009), 12-23.
12. Scalia A., Svanadze M. On the representation of solutions of the theory of thermoelasticity with microtemperatures. *J. Thermal Stresses*, **29** (2006), 849-863.
13. Ieşan D. Thermoelasticity of bodies with microstructure and microtemperatures. *Int. J. Solids Struct.*, **43** (2006), 3414-3427.
14. Eringen A. C. Theory of thermo-microstretch elastic solids. *Int. J. Eng. Sci.*, **28** (1990), 1291-1301.
15. Ieşan D. Thermoelastic models of continua. *Solid Mechanics and Applications*, **118**, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 2004.
16. Ieşan D., Quintanilla R. Thermal stresses in microstretch elastic plates. *Int. J. Eng. Sci.*, **43** (2005), 885-907.
17. Ciarletta M., Scalia A. Some results in linear theory of thermo-microstretch elastic solids. *Meccanica*, **39** (2004), 191-206.
18. De Cicco S., Nappa L. On the theory of thermo-microstretch elastic solids. *J. Thermal Stresses*, **22** (1999), 565-580.
19. De Cicco S., Nappa L. Some results in the linear theory of thermo-microstretch elastic solids. *Math. Mech. Solids*, **5** (2000), 467-484.
20. Bitsadze L. The Dirichlet BVP of the theory of thermoelasticity with microtemperatures for microstretch sphere. *J. Thermal Stresses*, **39**, 9(2016),1074-1083, DOI:10, 1080/01495739.2016.1192853.
21. Bitsadze L. On the representations of solutions in the plane theory of thermodynamics with microtemperatures. *Bulletin of TICMI*, **18**, 2 (2014), 29-44.
22. Bitsadze L., Jaiani G. Some basic boundary value problems of the plane thermoelasticity with microtemperatures. *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Science*, **36** (2013), 956-966.
23. Bitsadze L. On some solutions of the system of equations of steady vibration in the plane thermoelasticity with microtemperatures. *Seminar of I. Vekua Institute of Applied Mathematics, Reports*, **39** (2013), 1-12.
24. Passarella F., Tibullo V. Some results in linear theory of thermoelasticity backward in time for microstretch materials. *J. Thermal Stresses*, **33**, 6 (2010), 559-576.
25. Svanadze M., Tracina R. Representation of solutions in the theory of thermoelasticity with microtemperatures for microstretch solids. *J. Thermal Stresses*, **34**, 2 (2011), 161-178.

26. Scalia A., Svanadze M. Uniqueness theorems in the equilibrium theory of thermoelasticity with Microtemperatures for microstretch solids. *J. Mechanics of Materials and Structures*, **6**, 9-10 (2011), 1295-1311.
27. Bitsadze L. Effective solution of the Dirichlet BVP of the linear theory of thermoelasticity with microtemperatures for a spherical ring. *J. Thermal Stresses*, **36**, 7 (2013), 714-726.
28. Bitsadze L. Effective solution of the Dirichlet BVP of thermoelasticity with microtemperatures for an elastic space with a spherical cavity. *Seminar of I. Vekua Institute of Applied Mathematics, Reports*, **40** (2014), 1-13.
29. Bitsadze L. Effective solution of the Neumann BVP of the linear theory of thermoelasticity with microtemperatures for a spherical ring. *Georgian International Journal of Science and Technology*, **5**, 1-2 (2013), 5-19.
30. Bitsadze L. Effective solution of one boundary value problem of the linear theory of thermoelasticity with microtemperatures for a spherical ring. *Seminar of I. Vekua Institute of Applied Mathematics, Reports*, **38** (2012), 10-21.
31. Smirnov V. I. Course of higher mathematics. *Moscow*, **III**, 2 (1969).
32. Kupradze V. D., Gegelia T. G., Bacheleishvili M. O. Burchuladze T. V. Three-dimensional problems of the mathematical theory of elasticity and thermoelasticity. *North-Holland Publ. Company, Amsterdam-New-York-Oxford*, 1979.
33. Natroshvili D. G., Svanadze M. G. Some dynamical problems of the theory of coupled thermoelasticity for the piecewise homogeneous bodies. *Proceedings of I. Vekua Institute of Applied Mathematics*, **10** (1981), 99-190.

Received 22.02.2017; revised 20.04.2017; accepted 14.06.2017.

Author's address:

L. Bitsadze  
I. Vekua Institute of Applied Mathematics  
of I. Javakishvili Tbilisi State University  
2, University St., Tbilisi, 0186  
Georgia  
E-mail: lamarabitsadze@yahoo.com