

VARIATION FORMULAS OF SOLUTION FOR A CLASS OF CONTROLLED
NEUTRAL FUNCTIONAL-DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION CONSIDERING DELAY
FUNCTION PERTURBATION AND THE CONTINUOUS INITIAL CONDITION

Tadumadze T., Gorgodze N.

Abstract. Variation formulas of solution are obtained for linear with respect to prehistory of the phase velocity (quasi-linear) controlled neutral functional-differential equation with variable delays. The effects of delay function perturbation and continuous initial condition are detected in the variation formulas.

Keywords and phrases: Neutral controlled functional-differential equation, variation formula of solution, effect of delay function perturbation, continuous initial condition.

AMS subject classification (2010): 34K38, 34K40, 34K27.

Let $I = [a, b]$ be a finite interval and let \mathbb{R}^n be the n -dimensional vector space of points $x = (x^1, \dots, x^n)^T$, where T is the sign of transposition. Suppose that $O \subset \mathbb{R}_x^n$ and $U_0 \subset \mathbb{R}_u^r$ are open sets. Let the n -dimensional function $f(t, x, y, u)$ satisfy the following conditions: for almost all $t \in I$, the function $f(t, \cdot) : O^2 \times U_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_x^n$ is continuously differentiable; for any $(x, y, u) \in O^2 \times U_0$, the functions $f(t, x, y, u)$, $f_x(\cdot)$, $f_y(\cdot)$, $f_u(\cdot)$ are measurable on I ; for arbitrary compacts $K \subset O$, $U \subset U_0$ there exists a function $m_{K,U}(\cdot) \in L(I, [0, \infty))$, such that for any $(x, y, u) \in K^2 \times U$ and for almost all $t \in I$ the following inequality is fulfilled

$$|f(t, x, y, u)| + |f_x(\cdot)| + |f_y(\cdot)| + |f_u(\cdot)| \leq m_{K,U}(t).$$

Further, let D be the set of continuously differentiable scalar functions (delay functions) $\tau(t)$, $t \in I$, satisfying the conditions:

$$\tau(t) < t, \dot{\tau}(t) > 0, \inf\{\tau(a) : \tau \in D\} := \hat{\tau} > -\infty.$$

Let Φ be the set of continuously differentiable initial functions $\varphi(t) \in O$, $t \in I_1 = [\hat{\tau}, b]$ and let $\Omega = \{u \in E_u : clu(I) \subset U_0\}$ be the set of control functions, where E_u is the space of bounded measurable functions $u : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_u^r$ and $u(I) = \{u(t) : t \in I\}$

To each element $\mu = (t_0, \tau, \varphi, u) \in \Lambda = [a, b] \times D \times \Omega$ we assign the quasi-linear controlled neutral functional-differential equation

$$\dot{x}(t) = A(t)\dot{x}(\sigma(t)) + f(t, x(t), x(\tau(t)), u(t)) \quad (1)$$

with the continuous initial condition

$$x(t) = \varphi(t), t \in [\hat{\tau}, t_0], \quad (2)$$

where $A(t)$ is a given continuous matrix function with dimension $n \times n$; $\sigma \in D$ is a fixed delay function.

Definition 1. Let $\mu = (t_0, \tau, \varphi, u) \in \Lambda$. A function $x(t) = x(t; \mu) \in O, t \in [\hat{\tau}, t_1], t_1 \in (t_0, b]$, is called a solution of equation (1) with the initial condition (2) or a solution corresponding to the element μ and defined on the interval $[\hat{\tau}, t_1]$, if $x(t)$ satisfies condition (2) and is absolutely continuous on the interval $[t_0, t_1]$ and satisfies equation (1) almost everywhere on $[t_0, t_1]$.

Let $\mu_0 = (t_{00}, \tau_0, \varphi_0, u_0) \in \Lambda$ be a given element and let $x_0(t)$ be the solution corresponding to μ_0 and defined on $[\hat{\tau}, t_{10}]$, with $a < t_{00} < t_{10} < b$.

Let us introduce the set of variations

$$V = \left\{ \delta\mu = (\delta t_0, \delta\tau, \delta\varphi, \delta u) : |\delta t_0| \leq \alpha, \|\delta\tau\| \leq \alpha, \right. \\ \left. \delta\varphi = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \delta\varphi_i, |\lambda_i| \leq \alpha, i = \overline{1, k}, \|\delta u\| \leq \alpha \right\}.$$

Here

$$\delta t_0 \in \mathbb{R}, \delta\tau \in D - \tau_0, \|\delta\tau\| = \sup\{|\delta\tau(t)| : t \in I\}, \delta u \in \Omega - u_0$$

and

$$\delta\varphi_i \in \Phi - \varphi_0, i = \overline{1, k}$$

are fixed functions, $\alpha > 0$ is a fixed number.

There exist numbers $\delta_1 > 0$ and $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ such that for arbitrary $(\varepsilon, \delta\mu) \in (0, \varepsilon_1] \times V$ the element $\mu_0 + \varepsilon\delta\mu \in \Lambda$ and there corresponds the solution $x(t; \mu_0 + \varepsilon\delta\mu)$ defined on the interval $[\hat{\tau}, t_{10} + \delta_1] \subset I_1$ ([1], Theorem 3).

Due to the uniqueness, the solution $x(t; \mu_0)$ is a continuation of the solution $x_0(t)$ on the interval $[\hat{\tau}, t_{10} + \delta_1]$. Therefore, the solution $x_0(t)$ is assumed to be defined on the interval $[\hat{\tau}, t_{10} + \delta_1]$.

Let us define the increment of the solution $x_0(t) = x(t; \mu_0)$:

$$\Delta x(t; \varepsilon\delta\mu) = x(t; \mu_0 + \varepsilon\delta\mu) - x_0(t), \forall (t, \varepsilon, \delta\mu) \in [\hat{\tau}, t_{10} + \delta_1] \times (0, \varepsilon_1] \times V.$$

Theorem 1. Let the following conditions hold:

- 1) The function $f_0(z), z = (t, x, y) \in I \times O^2$ is bounded, where $f_0(t, x, y) = f(t, x, y, u_0(t))$;
- 2) There exists the limit

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} f_0(z) = f_0^-, z \in (a, t_{00}] \times O^2$$

where $z_0 = (t_{00}, \varphi_0(t_{00}), \varphi_0(\tau_0(t_{00})))$. Then there exist numbers $\varepsilon_2 \in (0, \varepsilon_1)$ and $\delta_2 \in (0, \delta_1)$ such that

$$\Delta x(t; \varepsilon\delta\mu) = \varepsilon\delta x(t; \delta\mu) + o(t; \varepsilon\delta\mu) \quad (3)$$

for arbitrary $(t, \varepsilon, \delta\mu) \in [t_{00}, t_{10} + \delta_2] \times (0, \varepsilon_2] \times V^-$, where $V^- = \{\delta\mu \in V : \delta t_0 \leq 0\}$ and

$$\delta x(t; \delta\mu) = Y(t_{00}^-; t)[\dot{\varphi}_0(t_{00}) - A(t_{00})\dot{\varphi}_0(\sigma(t_{00})) - f_0^-]\delta t_0 + \beta(t; \delta\mu), \quad (4)$$

$$\beta(t; \delta\mu) = \Psi(t_{00}; t)\delta\varphi(t_{00}) + \int_{\tau_0(t_{00})}^{t_{00}} Y(\gamma_0(s); t)f_{0y}[\gamma_0(s)]\dot{\gamma}_0(s)\delta\varphi(s)ds$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int_{\sigma(t_{00})}^{t_{00}} Y(\varrho(s); t) A(\varrho(s)) \dot{\varrho}(s) \delta\varphi(s) ds + \int_{t_{00}}^t Y(s; t) f_{0y}[s] \dot{x}_0(\tau_0(s)) \delta\tau(s) ds \\
& + \int_{t_{00}}^t Y(s; t) f_{0u}[s] \delta u(s) ds; \tag{5}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{o(t; \varepsilon \delta\mu)}{\varepsilon} = 0 \text{ uniformly for } (t, \delta\mu) \in [t_{00}, t_{10} + \delta_2] \times V^-,$$

$Y(s; t)$ and $\Psi(s; t)$ are $n \times n$ -matrix functions satisfying the system

$$\begin{cases} \Psi_s(s; t) = -Y(s; t) f_{0x}[t] - Y(\gamma_0(s); t) f_{0y}[\gamma_0(s)] \dot{\gamma}_0(s), \\ Y(s; t) = \Psi(s; t) + Y(\varrho(s); t) A(\varrho(s)) \dot{\varrho}(s), s \in [t_{00} - \delta_2, t] \end{cases}$$

and the condition

$$\Psi(s; t) = Y(s; t) = \begin{cases} H, s = t, \\ \Theta, s > t; \end{cases}$$

$$f_{0x}[s] = f_{0x}(s, x_0(s), x_0(\tau_0(s)));$$

$\gamma_0(s)$ is the inverse function of $\tau_0(t)$, $\varrho(s)$ is the inverse function of $\sigma(t)$, H is the identity matrix and Θ is the zero matrix.

Some comments. The function $\delta x(t; \delta\mu)$ is called the variation of the solution $x_0(t)$, $t \in [t_{00}, t_{10} + \delta_2]$, and the expression (4) is called the variation formula.

The addend

$$\int_{t_{00}}^t Y(s; t) f_{0y}[s] \dot{x}_0(\tau_0(s)) \delta\tau(s) ds$$

in formula (5) is the effect of perturbation of the delay function $\tau_0(t)$.

The expression

$$Y(t_{00}-; t) [\dot{\varphi}_0(t_{00}) - A(t_{00}) \dot{\varphi}_0(\sigma(t_{00})) - f_0^-] \delta t_0$$

is the effect of continuous initial condition (2) and perturbation of the initial moment t_{00} .

The expression

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Psi(t_{00}; t) \delta\varphi(t_{00}) + \int_{\tau_0(t_{00})}^{t_{00}} Y(\gamma_0(s); t) f_{0y}[\gamma_0(s)] \dot{\gamma}_0(s) \delta\varphi(s) ds \\
& + \int_{\sigma(t_{00})}^{t_{00}} Y(\varrho(s); t) A(\varrho(s)) \dot{\varrho}(s) \delta\varphi(s) ds
\end{aligned}$$

in formula (5) is the effect of perturbation of the initial function $\varphi_0(t)$.

The expression

$$\int_{t_{00}}^t Y(s; t) f_{0u}[s] \delta u(s) ds$$

in formula (5) is the effect of perturbation of the control function $u_0(t)$.

Variation formulas of solution for various classes of neutral functional differential equations without perturbation of delay are given in [2-4]. The variation formula of solution plays the basic role in proving the necessary conditions of optimality and under sensitivity analysis of mathematical models [5-8]. Finally we note that the variation formula allows to obtain an approximate solution of the perturbed equation

$$\dot{x}(t) = A(t)\dot{x}(\sigma(t)) + f(t, x(t), x(\tau_0(t) + \varepsilon\delta\tau(t)), u_0(t) + \varepsilon\delta u(t))$$

with the perturbed initial condition

$$x(t) = \varphi_0(t) + \varepsilon\delta\varphi(t), t \in [\hat{\tau}, t_{00} + \varepsilon\delta t_0].$$

In fact, for a sufficiently small $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_2]$ it follows from (3) that

$$x(t; \mu_0 + \varepsilon\delta\mu) \approx x_0(t) + \varepsilon\delta x(t; \delta\mu).$$

Theorem 2. *Let the following conditions hold:*

- 1) *The function $f_0(z), z \in I \times O^2$ is bounded;*
- 2) *There exists the limit*

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} f_0(z) = f_0^+, z \in [t_{00}, b) \times O^2$$

Then for each $\hat{t}_0 \in (t_{00}, t_{10})$ there exist numbers $\varepsilon_2 \in (0, \varepsilon_1)$ and $\delta_2 \in (0, \delta_1)$ such that for arbitrary $(t, \varepsilon, \delta\mu) \in [\hat{t}_0, t_{10} + \delta_2] \times (0, \varepsilon_2] \times V^+$, where $V^+ = \{\delta\mu \in V : \delta t_0 \geq 0\}$, formula (3) holds, where

$$\delta x(t; \delta\mu) = Y(t_{00}+; t)(\dot{\varphi}(t_{00}) - A(t_{00})\dot{x}(\sigma(t_{00})) - f_0^+)\delta t_0 + \beta(t; \delta\mu).$$

The following assertion is a corollary to Theorems 1 and 2.

Theorem 3. *Let the assumptions of Theorems 1 and 2 be fulfilled. Moreover, $f_0^- = f_0^+ := \hat{f}_0$ and $t_{00} \notin \{\sigma(t_{10}), \sigma^2(t_{10}), \dots\}$. Then there exist numbers $\varepsilon_2 \in (0, \varepsilon_1)$ and $\delta_2 \in (0, \delta_1)$ such that for arbitrary $(t, \varepsilon, \delta\mu) \in [t_{10} - \delta_2, t_{10} + \delta_2] \times (0, \varepsilon_2] \times V$ formula (3) holds, where*

$$\delta x(t; \delta\mu) = Y(t_{00}; t)(A(t_{00})\dot{x}(\sigma(t_{00})) - \hat{f}_0)\delta t_0 + \beta(t; \delta\mu).$$

All assumptions of Theorem 3 are satisfied if the function $f_0(t, x, y)$ is continuous and bounded. Clearly, in this case $\hat{f}_0 = f_0(t_{00}, \varphi_0(t_{00}), \varphi_0(\tau_0(t_{00})))$.

Acknowledgement. The work was supported by the Sh. Rustaveli National Science Foundation, Grant No 31/23.

R E F E R E N C E S

1. Gorgodze N. On the well-posedness of the Cauchy problem for a functional differential equation taking into account variable delay perturbation. *Sem. I. Vekua Inst. Appl. Math., REPORTS*, **39** (2013), 33-37.
2. Kharatishvili G., Tadumadze T., Gorgodze N. Continuous dependence and differentiability of solution with respect to initial data and right-hand side for differential equations with deviating argument. *Mem. Diff. Eq. Math. Phys.*, **19** (2000), 3-105.
3. Ramishvili I., Tadumadze T. Formulas of variation for a solution of neutral differential equations with continuous initial condition. *Georgian Math. J.*, **11**, 1 (2004), 155-175.
4. Tadumadze T. Formulas of variation for solutions of some classes of functional differential equations and their applications. *Nonlinear Analysis*, **71** (2009) 706-710.
5. Kharatishvili G.L., Tadumadze T.A. Formulas for the variation of a solution and optimal control problems for differential equations with retarded arguments. (Russian) *Sovrem. Mat. Prilozh. No. 25, Optimal. Upr.* (2005), 3166; English transl.: *J. Math. Sci. (N. Y.)*, **140**, 1 (2007), 1175.
6. Marchuk G.I. Mathematical modelling of immune response in infection diseases. *MIA Vol. 295, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht*, 1994.
7. Baker C.T.H., Bocharov G.A., Paul C.A.H., Rihan F.A. Computational modelling with functional differential equations: identification, selection and sensitivity. *Applied Numerical Mathematics*, **53** (2005), 107-129.
8. Rihan F.A. Sensitivity analysis for dynamic systems with time-lags. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics* **151** (2003), 445-462.

Received 30.08.2014; revised 15.10.2014; accepted 31.10.2014.

Authors' addresses:

T. Tadumadze
Iv. Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University
Department of Mathematics &
I. Vekua Institute of Applied Mathematics
2, University St., Tbilisi 0186
Georgia
E-mail: tamaz.tadumadze@tsu.ge

N. Gorgodze
A. Tsereteli Kutaisi University,
Department of Mathematics
59, Tamar Mepe St., Kutaisi 4600
Georgia
E-mail: nika_gorgodze@yahoo.com