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## TO NUMERICAL REALIZATION OF HIERARCHICAL MODELS OF I.VEKUA

Tamaz Vashakmadze


#### Abstract

Problems of numerical realization of I. Vekua's model for the one-dim case are studied. For constructing the model, as is well known, the Legendre polynomial system is used. The same problem is solved too when the basis is another- full system that satisfies Neyman-type boundary conditions. In both cases algorithms are created by which constructing solutions are equal. In the general case, Vekua-type models have investigated the problems of satisfying the boundary conditions on the face of surfaces.
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The PSBC on the face of surfaces for elastic thin-walled structures has a sufficiently deep and long history and we retained the essential remarks of I. Vekua [1] with respect to these problems. He introduced new expressions of type [1, (7.2.c)] which are "coordinated with the boundary conditions (BC) on the lateral surfaces, which form the 2-dim boundary value problems. Then Vekua constructed approximate solutions of displacement vector $u$ and stress tensor series of Legendre polynomial system which are not compatible with the boundary data on the face of surfaces $S^{ \pm}$. These approaches may turn out to be rather rough values near the face of surfaces." $[1, \mathrm{pg}$. 79] For refined theories in a wide sense [2, Ch.I] the PSBC are studied in very different kinds. For example, by E.Reissner [3] and S.Ambartsumian [4] models, a priori satisfied BC on $S^{ \pm}$, but we underline [5, p.49] that these ones are artificial and obscure. We cited also the article [6], where BC on the face of surfaces is a priori satisfied exactly. It is evident that the way used in [1] represents the incompletely Bubnov-Galerkin method as the BVPs for elastic plates contain the equilibrium equations and BCs on the lateral faces $S$ and surfaces $S^{ \pm}$. The last BCs in [1] are neglected but they are used in constructing two-dim systems of partial linear differential equations (PDE) corresponding to the following 3-dim systems of PDEs [details 1, Ch.1, § 2]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \partial_{i}\left(\sqrt{g} P^{i}\right)+\Phi=0 . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, following $[7,2]$, for the stress vector acted on $S^{ \pm}$we use a priori assumptions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{3}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(1+\frac{x^{3}}{h}\right) \vartheta^{(+)} g^{+}+\left(1-\frac{x^{3}}{h}\right) \vartheta^{(-)} g^{-}\right]+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \stackrel{k}{P^{3}}\left[p_{k+1}\left(\frac{x^{3}}{h}\right)-p_{k-1}\left(\frac{x^{3}}{h}\right)\right] . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Elastic plates with constant thickness (2) have such simple forms in linear as well in nonlinear cases:

$$
\begin{gather*}
T_{3}(x, y, z)=\frac{1}{2 h}\left[(h+z) g^{+}+(h-z) g^{-}\right]+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \stackrel{k}{T_{3}}(x, y)\left[p_{k+1}(z / h)-p_{k-1}(z / h] .\right.  \tag{3}\\
T_{i 3}(x, y, \pm h)=\sigma_{i 3}+\sigma_{i 3} u_{i, 3}=g_{i}^{ \pm},(x, y, \pm h) \in S^{ \pm} .
\end{gather*}
$$

From (3) also follows the possible application to arbitrary model from to refined theories in the wide sense as well as to theories of [3,4] too without some artificial restrictions of type

$$
\sigma_{33,3}(x, y, \pm h)=0, \quad \sigma_{i j, j}=f_{i},(x, y, \pm h) \in S^{ \pm}, \sigma_{i j} \in C^{3}(D(x, y) \times[-h, h])
$$

Then the usefulness of the Vekua-Rektorys-Galerkin type method for (3) and BCs on $S$ with cutting BCs (3) we get approximate two-dim models for any integer N (details [2, Ch.II, 7].

To prove this fact we use the excellent examples of [8] and consider when (1) is a 1-dim elastic beam and let $u_{\alpha}=\varepsilon_{\alpha i}=\sigma_{\alpha i}=\Phi_{\alpha}=0, h=1, \sigma_{33}=(\lambda+2 \mu) u_{3,3}$. Then we get the following BVP:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-u^{\prime \prime}(x)=f(x),-1<x<1, u^{\prime}(-1)=\alpha, u^{\prime}(1)=\beta . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $z(x)=u(x)-\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2} x-\frac{\beta-\alpha}{4} x^{2}+u_{0}$, the problem (4) is equivalent to the following one:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-z^{\prime \prime}(x)=f(x)+\frac{\beta-\alpha}{2}, z^{\prime}(-1)=z^{\prime}(1)=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us consider two systems:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\{p_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0,1, \ldots} p_{n}( \pm 1)=( \pm 1)^{n}-\text { are Legerndre polynomials } ; \\
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
q_{0}(x)=-1, \\
q_{1}(x)=-3 \int_{-1}^{1}(x-t) p_{1}(t) d t-p_{0}=-\frac{1}{5} p_{3}(x)+\frac{6}{5} p_{1}(x), . ., \\
q_{n}(x)=-(2 n+1) \int_{-1}^{1}(x-t) p_{n}(t) d t=-\frac{p_{n+2}-p_{n}}{2 n+3}+\frac{p_{n}-p_{n-2}}{2 n-1}, n=2,3, \ldots \\
q_{n}^{\prime}(x)=-\left(p_{n+1}-p_{n-1}\right) .
\end{array}\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

Now consider two examples.
Example 1.
Let us find the solution of (5) by the set $z(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} z_{k} q_{k}(x)$ (having a unique solution if $\int_{-1}^{1} z(x) d x=0$, i.e. $\left.z_{0}=0\right)$. Then by projective method we have $[6]: z(x)=\frac{1}{3} q_{1}(x)=$ $-\frac{1}{15} p_{3}+\frac{6}{15} p_{1},-z^{\prime \prime}=p_{1}, z^{\prime}( \pm 1)=0$.
Example 2.
Let us consider BVP (4), when $f(x)=p_{1}(x), \alpha=\beta$. The solution of this BVP is denoted
as $v(x)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} v_{n} p_{n}(x)+u_{0}$. Then if we used the direct method from [6] with respect to Vekua system[1] for this case we have: $v(x)=\left(\frac{2}{5}+\alpha\right) p_{1}(x)-\frac{1}{15} p_{3}(x)+u_{0}$.

As we see, both solutions: $u(x)=z(x)+\alpha x+u_{0}=\left(\frac{2}{5}+\alpha\right) p_{1}-\frac{1}{15} p_{3}+u_{0}=v(x)$ are equal. The reason for the equality of these solutions is the following. It is true that the Legendre polynomial system is not presented as a basic system [8], really the first equation of the Vekua algebraic system was formed as a scalar product of equation (4) and $p_{0}=1$. But resulting expression represent the necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of the unique (by to within of a constant summand) solution to Neyman's problem. Now we consider the case when in (4): $f(x)=\frac{1}{2} f_{0}=$ const, $\beta-\alpha+f_{0} \neq 0$,i.e.the BVP (4) is unsolvability. In this case, let $u(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_{n} p_{n}(x)$. Then Vekua's system $(n \neq 0)$ can be rewritten in the form:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(-u^{\prime \prime}(t), p_{2 n}(x)\right)=0,(n=1,2, . .) \\
\Rightarrow \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} k(2 k+1) u_{2 k}+n(2 n+1) \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} u_{2 k}=\frac{1}{2}(\beta-\alpha)  \tag{6}\\
\left(-u^{\prime \prime}(t), p_{2 n+1}(x)\right)=0,(n=0,1,2, . .) \\
\Rightarrow \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(k+1)(2 k+1) u_{2 k+1}+(n+1)(2 n+1) \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} u_{2 k+1}=\frac{1}{2}(\alpha+\beta) . \tag{7}
\end{gather*}
$$

From (6) and (7) immediately follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{k=n+i}^{\infty} u_{2 k}=0 \Rightarrow u_{2}=\frac{1}{2}(\beta-\alpha), u_{2 k}=0,(k=2,3, \ldots) \\
u_{1}=\frac{1}{2}(\alpha+\beta), u_{2 k+1}=0,(k=1,2, \ldots) \\
u(x)=u_{0}+\frac{1}{2}(\alpha+\beta) p_{1}(x)+\frac{1}{6}(\beta-\alpha) p_{2}(x) . \\
-u^{\prime \prime}(x)=\frac{1}{6}(\alpha-\beta) p^{\prime \prime}(x)=\frac{1}{2}(\beta-\alpha) ; u^{\prime}(-1)=\alpha \cdot u^{\prime}(1)=\beta .
\end{gathered}
$$

At last it should be stressed that if $\alpha=\beta$, then we have

$$
u(x)=u_{0}+\alpha p_{1}(x) \text {.i.e. }-u^{\prime \prime}=0
$$

instead of $-u^{\prime \prime}(x)=0.5 f_{0}$. We must remember the words [1, p. 52]:
The (7. 18h, i) is a strong elliptic system of PDEs for $N \geq 3$ "but we don't rewrite this one in a more expanded form and shall not deal with the investigation of problems of existence and uniqueness in the general form [1]".
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