

THE ADOMIAN SERIES REPRESENTATION OF SOME CLASS OF BSDES

David Iobashvili

Revaz Tevzadze

Abstract. The representation of the solution of some Backward Stochastic Differential Equation as the Adomian infinite series is studied.

Keywords and phrases: BSDE, martingale, Adomian's decomposition, Brownian motion.

AMS subject classification (2010): 90A09, 60H30, 90C39.

Our aim is to prove an existence of the Adomian decomposition of the solution of the Backward Stochastic Differential Equation (BSDE)

$$dV_t = -\frac{1}{2}(\varphi_t^2 + \alpha\psi_t^2)dt - \gamma dA_t + \varphi_t dW_t + \psi_t dB_t, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad V_T = 0, \quad (1)$$

where $((W_t, B_t), \mathcal{F}_t)$ is a pair of independent brownian motions and (A_t, \mathcal{F}_t) is a process of finite variation defined on the probability space $(\Omega, F, P, (\mathcal{F}_t))$.

Equations of such type are arising in mathematical finance and they are used to characterize optimal martingale measures [2].

The solution of BSDE (1) can be obtained by solving the equation

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_0^T (\varphi_t^2 + \alpha\psi_t^2)dt + \gamma A_T = c + \int_0^T \varphi_t dW_t + \int_0^T \psi_t dB_t. \quad (2)$$

with respect to (c, φ, ψ) , where c is a constant.

Let $(V_t^{(n)}, \varphi_t^{(n)}, \psi_t^{(n)})$ be a sequence of solutions of

$$\begin{aligned} dV_t^0 &= -\gamma dA_t + \varphi_t^{(0)} dW_t + \psi_t^{(0)} dB_t, \quad V_1^{(0)} = 0, \\ dV_t^{(n)} &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (\varphi_t^{(k)} \varphi_t^{(n-k-1)} + \alpha \psi_t^{(k)} \psi_t^{(n-k-1)}) dt + \varphi_t^{(n)} dW_t + \psi_t^{(n)} dB_t. \\ V_1^{(n)} &= 0, \quad n \geq 1 \end{aligned}$$

Then the triple

$$V_t = \sum_n V_t^{(n)}, \quad \varphi_t = \sum_n \varphi_t^{(n)}, \quad \psi_t = \sum_n \psi_t^{(n)}$$

will be a solution of (1), if the series is convergent [1].

Remark. If $A_t = \int_0^t a(s, W_s, B_s)ds$, then the solution of (1) is of the form $V_t = v(t, W_t, B_t)$, where $v(t, x, y)$ is decomposed as the series $\sum_n v^n(t, x, y)$ satisfying the sys-

tem of PDEs

$$\begin{aligned}
(\partial_t + \frac{1}{2}\Delta)v^0(t, x, y) + a(t, x, y) &= 0, \quad v^0(T, x, y) = 0, \\
(\partial_t + \frac{1}{2}\Delta)v^n(t, x, y) \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (v_x^k(t, x, y)v_x^{n-k-1}(t, x, y) + \alpha v_y^k(t, x, y)v_y^{n-k-1}(t, x, y)) &= 0, \\
v^n(T, x, y) &= 0, \quad n \geq 1.
\end{aligned}$$

To prove the convergence of series we need the following

Lemma. *Let $(a_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be a solution of the system*

$$a_0 = 1, \quad a_{n+1} = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k a_{n-k} \quad (3)$$

and let $(b_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be a non-negative solution of the system of inequalities

$$b_0 \leq 1, \quad b_{n+1} \leq \sum_{k=0}^n b_k b_{n-k}.$$

Then $a_n = \frac{1}{4n+2} \binom{2n+2}{n+1}$ and $b_n \leq a_n$, for $n \geq 0$.

Proof. We prove inequalities by induction. Assuming $b_k \leq a_k$ for all $k \leq n$ we get

$$b_{n+1} \leq \sum_{k=0}^n b_k b_{n-k} \leq \sum_{k=0}^n a_k a_{n-k} = a_{n+1},$$

since a_n and b_n are non-negatives.

On the other hand, for the series $u(\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \lambda^n$ from (3) we get equation $u(\lambda) = 1 + \lambda u^2(\lambda)$, with the roots $u(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\lambda}(1 \pm \sqrt{1 - 4\lambda})$. The equality $u(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\lambda}(1 + \sqrt{1 - 4\lambda})$ is impossible, since decomposition of the right hand side is starting from the term $\frac{1}{\lambda}$. Therefore, equality $a_n = \frac{1}{4n+2} \binom{2n+2}{n+1}$ follows from the Taylor expansion of $1 - \sqrt{1 - 4\lambda}$, since

$$\begin{aligned}
u(\lambda) &= \frac{1}{2\lambda}(1 - \sqrt{1 - 4\lambda}) \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2} - 1) \cdots (\frac{1}{2} - n + 1)}{n!} (-4)^n \lambda^{n-1} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(2-1) \cdots (2n-2-1)}{2^n n!} 4^n \lambda^{n-1} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(2n-3)!!}{n!} 2^n \lambda^{n-1} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{2n-1} \binom{2n}{n} \lambda^{n-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

We use notation $|M|_{BMO} = \inf\{C : E^{\frac{1}{2}}(\langle M \rangle_T - \langle M \rangle_\tau | \mathcal{F}_\tau) \leq C\}$ for BMO-norms of martingales, $|A|_\omega = \inf\{C : \text{var}_t^T(A) \leq C\}$ for norms of finite variation processes and $A \cdot M$ for stochastic integrals. \square

Theorem. *The series $\sum_{n \geq 0} \varphi^{(n)} \cdot W + \psi^{(n)} \cdot B$ is convergent in BMO-space, if γ is small enough and the sum of series is a solution of equation (2).*

Proof. For a martingale $L_t = E(A_T | \mathcal{F}_t)$ we have estimation $|L|_{BMO} \leq 2|A|_\omega$ [2]. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\varphi^{(0)} \cdot W + \psi^{(0)} \cdot B|_{BMO} \leq 2\gamma|A|_\omega, \\
& \max(|\varphi^{(n+1)} \cdot W|_{BMO}, |\psi^{(n+1)} \cdot B|_{BMO}) \leq |\varphi^{(n+1)} \cdot W + \psi^{(n+1)} \cdot B|_{BMO} \\
& \leq \text{ess sup}_\tau \sum_{k=0}^n E \left(\int_\tau^T \left| \sum_k \left(\varphi_s^{(k)} \varphi_s^{(n-k)} + \alpha \psi_s^{(k)} \psi_s^{(n-k)} \right) ds \right| \middle| \mathcal{F}_\tau \right) \\
& \leq \sum_{k=0}^n \text{ess sup}_\tau E^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_\tau^T |\varphi_s^{(k)}|^2 ds \middle| \mathcal{F}_\tau \right) E^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_\tau^T |\varphi_s^{(n-k)}|^2 ds \middle| \mathcal{F}_\tau \right) \\
& \quad + |\alpha| \sum_{k=0}^n \text{ess sup}_\tau E^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_\tau^T |\psi_s^{(k)}|^2 ds \middle| \mathcal{F}_\tau \right) E^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_\tau^T |\psi_s^{(n-k)}|^2 ds \middle| \mathcal{F}_\tau \right) \\
& \leq \sum_k^n |\varphi^{(k)} \cdot W|_{BMO} |\varphi^{(n-k)} \cdot W|_{BMO} + |\alpha| |\psi^{(k)} \cdot B|_{BMO} |\psi^{(n-k)} \cdot B|_{BMO} \\
& \leq (1 + |\alpha|) \sum_{k=0}^n |\varphi^{(k)} \cdot W + \psi^{(k)} \cdot B|_{BMO} |\varphi^{(n-k)} \cdot W + \psi^{(n-k)} \cdot B|_{BMO}.
\end{aligned}$$

For $b_n = (1 + |\alpha|)^n |\varphi^{(n)} \cdot W + \psi^{(n)} \cdot B|_{BMO} |\varphi^{(0)} \cdot W + \psi^{(0)} \cdot B|_{BMO}^{-n-1}$ we have $b_0 = 1, b_{n+1} \leq$

$\sum_k^n b_k b_{n-k}$. Using the lemma we get

$$|\varphi^{(n)} \cdot W + \psi^{(n)} \cdot B|_{BMO} \leq a_n (1 + |\alpha|)^{-n} |\varphi^{(0)} \cdot W + \psi^{(0)} \cdot B|_{BMO}^{n+1} \leq a_n (1 + |\alpha|)^{-n} 2\gamma |A|_\omega^{n+1}.$$

Since $\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{a_n} = \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{\frac{1}{2n+1} \binom{2n+2}{n+1}} = \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{\frac{(2n)!}{n!n!}} = \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{\frac{(2n)^{2n}}{n^{2n}}} = 4$, the

series is convergent, when $\gamma < \frac{1+|\alpha|}{8|A|_\omega}$. \square

R E F E R E N C E S

1. Gabet, L. The Theoretical Foundation of the Adomian Method. *Computers Math. Applic.* Vol., **27**, 12 (1994), 41-52.
2. Mania, M., Tevzadze R. An exponential martingale equation. *Electronic Communications in Probability*, **11**, (2006), 206-216.

Received 12.05.2022; revised 14.07.2022; accepted 20.08.2022.

Author(s) address(es):

David Iobashvili
Institute of Cybernetics of Georgian Technical University,
Z. Anjaparidze str. 5, 0186, Tbilisi, Georgia
E-mail: datiobashvili1@gmail.com

Revaz Tevzadze
Institute of Cybernetics of Georgian Technical University,
Z. Anjaparidze str. 5, 0186, Tbilisi, Georgia
E-mail: rtevzadze@gmail.com