

LEBESGUE'S TEST FOR GENERAL DIRICHLET'S INTEGRALS

Nika Areshidze

Abstract. It is a well-known Lebesgue ([1], [4]) test for trigonometric Fourier series. Taberski ([2],[3]) considered real-valued Lebesgue locally integrable functions f , such that

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_T^{T+c} |f(t)| dt = 0; \quad \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_{-T-c}^{-T} |f(t)| dt = 0$$

for every fixed $c > 0$. For this class of functions, he defined generalized Dirichlet's integrals. Besides, Taberski ([2], [3]) investigated problems of convergence and (C,1)-summability of these integrals. In this paper the analogue of the Lebesgue test for the generalized Dirichlet's integrals is proved.

Keywords and phrases: Lebesgue's test, general Dirichlet's integrals, convergence.

AMS subject classification (2010): 42A20, 42A38.

One of the most important tests for the convergence of Fourier series are those of Dini, Dini-Lipschitz, and Dirichlet-Jordan, each of which is based on a different idea. In 1905 Lebesgue proved the theorem which is known as Lebesgue's test and which is more general than the others. In 1973 Taberski [3] considered class E of real-valued, Lebesgue locally integrable functions. Taberski ([2], [3]) investigated problems of convergence and (C,1)-summability of these integrals.

Definition. Let E be the class of all real-valued, Lebesgue locally integrable functions f such that

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_T^{T+c} |f(t)| dt = 0; \quad \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_{-T-c}^{-T} |f(t)| dt = 0 \quad (1)$$

for every fixed $c > 0$.

Remark 1. If real-valued, Lebesgue locally integrable function f is periodic (with a least positive period m) then (1) is fulfilled. Indeed, there exists $k > 0$, such that $c < k \cdot m$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{T} \int_T^{T+c} |f(t)| dt &\leq \frac{1}{T} \int_T^{T+k \cdot m} |f(t)| dt \\ &= \frac{1}{T} \cdot k \int_T^{T+m} |f(t)| dt = \frac{1}{T} \cdot k \cdot M \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

when $T \rightarrow +\infty$. M is the integral from $|f|$ on the interval $[T; T + m]$. Similarly we show that the second condition in (1) is fulfilled.

Let for any given function $f \in E$ and a positive number l

$$a_k^l = \frac{1}{l} \int_{-l}^l f(t) \cos \frac{\pi kt}{l} dt, \quad b_k^l = \frac{1}{l} \int_{-l}^l f(t) \sin \frac{\pi kt}{l} dt,$$

$$S_n^l(x; f) = \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^n \left(a_k^l \cos \frac{\pi kx}{l} + b_k^l \sin \frac{\pi kx}{l} \right),$$

where $x \in (-\infty, +\infty)$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

Let

$$\phi_x(t) = (f(x+t) + f(x-t) - 2f(x)), \quad D_n^l(t) = \frac{\sin \frac{(2n+1)\pi t}{2l}}{2 \sin \frac{\pi t}{2l}}.$$

Taberski [3] showed that if $f \in E$ then for every fixed point of $[a; b]$

$$S_n^l(x; f) - f(x) = \frac{1}{l} \int_0^l \phi_x(t) D_n^l(t) dt + o(1), \quad l \rightarrow +\infty, \quad (2)$$

(2) is uniformly in $x \in [a; b]$ ($-\infty < a \leq b < +\infty$), $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, if $f \in E$ is bounded on $[a; b]$. It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{l} \int_0^l \phi_x(t) D_n^l(t) dt &= \frac{1}{l} \int_0^l \chi_l(t) \sin \frac{\pi nt}{l} dt + \frac{1}{2l} \int_0^l \phi_x(t) \cos \frac{\pi nt}{l} dt \\ &= M_n^l(x) + N_n^l(x) \end{aligned}$$

where $\chi_l(t) = \frac{1}{2} \phi_x(t) \cot \frac{\pi t}{2l}$. We have

$$\left| \frac{1}{2} \cot \frac{\pi t}{2l} \cdot \sin \frac{\pi nt}{l} \right| \leq n\pi, \quad (3)$$

$$\left| \chi_l(t) \sin \frac{\pi nt}{l} \right| \leq |\phi_x(t)|, \quad t \in [l - \eta; l]. \quad (4)$$

Let for $x \in [a; b]$

$$\Phi(h) = \int_0^h |\phi_x(t)| dt = o(h), \quad h \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (5)$$

Using (3)-(5), we have

$$\left| \frac{1}{l} \int_0^l \phi_x(t) D_n^l(t) dt \right| \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \int_\eta^l \frac{|\phi_x(t) - \phi_x(t+\eta)|}{t} dt + \eta \int_\eta^l \frac{|\phi_x(t)|}{t^2} dt$$

$$+\pi\eta^{-1} \int_0^{2\eta} |\phi_x(t)| dt + o(1), \quad l \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (6)$$

If $f \in E$ is bounded on $[a; b]$ and (5) is satisfied uniformly in $x \in [a; b]$ then (6) is fulfilled uniformly in $x \in [a; b]$.

Theorem. Suppose $f \in E$ and for $x_0 \in [a; b]$

$$\Phi(h) = \int_0^h |\phi_{x_0}(t)| dt = o(h), \text{ when } h \rightarrow 0, \quad h \rightarrow +\infty, \quad (7)$$

and

$$\int_\eta^l \frac{|\phi_{x_0}(t) - \phi_{x_0}(t + \eta)|}{t} dt \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{when } \eta = \frac{l}{n} \rightarrow 0, \quad n, l \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (8)$$

Then $S_n^l(x; f)$ converges to $f(x_0)$, when $\eta \rightarrow 0$, $n \rightarrow +\infty$, $l \rightarrow +\infty$. Convergence is uniform on $[a; b]$ if $f \in E$ is bounded and conditions (7) and (8) are satisfied uniformly.

Proof. We apply (6). The first term of (6) is $o(1)$ by hypothesis. The third term there is $\pi\eta^{-1}\Phi(2\eta) = o(1)$ when $\eta \rightarrow 0$. Integration by parts of the second term gives

$$\eta \int_\eta^l \frac{|\phi_{x_0}(t)|}{t^2} dt = \frac{\Phi(l)}{n \cdot l} - \frac{\Phi(\eta)}{\eta} + 2\eta \int_\eta^l \frac{\Phi(t)}{t^3} dt$$

i.e

$$\begin{aligned} \eta \int_\eta^l \frac{|\phi_{x_0}(t)|}{t^2} dt &= \frac{\Phi(l)}{n \cdot l} - \frac{\Phi(\eta)}{\eta} + 2\eta \int_\eta^1 \frac{\Phi(t)}{t^3} dt + 2\eta \int_1^l \frac{\Phi(t)}{t^3} dt \\ &= K_1 - K_2 + K_3 + K_4. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, $K_1 = o(1)$, $K_2 = o(1)$, when $\frac{l}{n} \rightarrow 0$, $n \rightarrow +\infty$, $l \rightarrow +\infty$.

From (7) we have $\Phi(t) = o(t)$, when $t \rightarrow 0$. Therefore, for $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that, when $0 < t \leq \delta$, then $\Phi(t) \leq \varepsilon \cdot t$. So we have

$$\begin{aligned} K_3 &= 2\eta \int_\eta^\delta \frac{\Phi(t)}{t^3} dt + 2\eta \int_\delta^1 \frac{\Phi(t)}{t^3} dt \\ &\leq 2\eta\varepsilon \left(\frac{1}{\eta} - \frac{1}{\delta} \right) + \frac{2\eta}{\delta^3} \int_\delta^1 \Phi(t) dt \leq 2\varepsilon + \frac{2\eta}{\delta^3} \int_\delta^1 \Phi(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\eta \rightarrow 0$, when $n, l \rightarrow +\infty$, therefore, there exists N such that for $n, l \geq N$

$$\eta \leq \frac{\varepsilon \cdot \delta^3}{2 \int_\delta^1 \Phi(t) dt}.$$

Whence

$$K_3 \leq 3\varepsilon,$$

if n and l are large enough. Since ε is arbitrary, we get $K_3 = o(1)$, when $n, l \rightarrow +\infty$. Besides, from (7) we have $\Phi(t) = o(t)$, when $t \rightarrow +\infty$. Therefore, for $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ there exists $s > 1$ such that when $t \geq s$ then $\Phi(t) \leq \varepsilon \cdot t$. Whence

$$\begin{aligned} K_4 &= 2\eta \int_1^s \frac{\Phi(t)}{t^3} dt + 2\eta \int_s^l \frac{\Phi(t)}{t^3} dt \\ &\leq 2\eta \int_1^s \Phi(t) dt + 2\eta\varepsilon \left(\frac{1}{s} - \frac{1}{l} \right) \leq 2\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

when n and l are large enough. Therefore, $K_4 = o(1)$ when $n, l \rightarrow +\infty$. The first part of the theorem is proved. The second part of the theorem will be similarly proved. \square

Remark 2. In particular, if a function $f \in E$ is continuous on $(a'; b')$, then the first condition of (7) is satisfied uniformly over any closed interval $[a; b]$ ($a' < a \leq b < b'$).

R E F E R E N C E S

1. LEBESGUE H. Recherches sur la convergence des séries de Fourier. *Math. Ann.*, **61** (1905), 251-280.
2. TABERSKI, R. Convergence of some trigonometric sums. *Demonstratio Mathematica*, **5** (1973), 101-117.
3. TABERSKI, R. On general Dirichlet's integrals. *Anales soc. Math Polonae, Series I: Prace matematyczne*, **XVII** (1974), 499-512.
4. ZYGMUND, A. Trigonometric Series. *Cambridge University Press*, **1** (1959).

Received 11.05.2022; accepted 29.08.2022.

Author(s) address(es):

Nika Areshidze
 Department of Mathematics, I. Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University
 University str. 2, 0186 Tbilisi, Georgia
 E-mail: nika.areshidze804@ens.tsu.edu.ge, nika.areshidze15@gmail.com