

BAYSIAN CONSISTENT CRITERIA FOR HYPOTHESES TESTING

Zurab Zerakidze

Malkhaz Mumladze

Abstract. Existence of Bayesian consistent criteria for hypotheses testing is proved.

Keywords and phrases: Gaussian measures, consistent criterion, Bayesian consistent criterion.

AMS subject classification (2010): 62H05, 62H12.

1 Introduction. Let X be a separable Hilbert space, let $B(X)$ be a Borel σ -algebra on it, and let $\{\mu_a, a \in M\}$ be a family of Gaussian measures on $B(X)$ with different mean values $a \in M$ and an identical correlation operator B . The set of hypotheses is the set of means $a \in M$. The set M is a convex compact in X , and a priori measure is positive on every open set.

2 Content. Suppose that on M it is possible to introduce the norm

$$\|a\|_V = \sqrt{(V^{-1}a, a)}, \quad (1)$$

where V is some completely continuous symmetric positive operator. Let on a σ -algebra, generated by Borel sets of M with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_V$, given a priori measure $\theta(da)$.

We will consider the Bayesian criteria for the unknown mean $a_0 \in M$:

$$a_n^*(x) = \frac{\int a \exp\{(C_n a, x) - \frac{1}{2}(C_n a, a)\} \theta(da)}{\int \exp\{(C_n a, x) - \frac{1}{2}(C_n a, a)\} \theta(da)}, \quad (2)$$

where x is an observation, and C_n is some sequence of operators.

Theorem 1. *Let the following conditions be fulfilled:*

- 1) M is compact in metric (1);
- 2) $\theta(\{a : \|a - a_0\|_V \leq \epsilon\}) > 0, \epsilon > 0$ and besides, there is a non-negative operator V_1 such that

$$\int \exp\{(V_1 a, a)\} \theta(da) < \infty$$

and

$$(C_n B C_n b, b) \leq (V_1 b, b), \quad b \in M;$$

- 3) there is a limit $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (C_n a, x)$ under the measure μ_0 ;
- 4) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{\|a\|_V > \epsilon} (C_n a, a) = +\infty$;

5) for all $\epsilon > 0$ there are $\alpha > 1$ and $\epsilon_1 < \epsilon$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\inf_{\|\omega\|_V > \epsilon} (C_n \omega, \omega) \sup_{\|\omega\|_V \leq \epsilon_1} (C_n \omega, \omega) \right] > \alpha.$$

Then

$$\mu_{a_0}(\{\omega : \|a_n^* - a_0\|_V > \epsilon\}) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. We introduce the following notation $\omega = a - a_0$, $x = y + a_0$, $\omega_n^* = a_n^* - a_0$. It is clear that

$$\omega_n^*(x) = \frac{\int \omega \varrho_n(\omega) \theta(da)}{\int \varrho_n(\omega) \theta(da)}, \quad (3)$$

where

$$\varrho_n(\omega) = \exp\{(C_n \omega, x) - \frac{1}{2}(C_n \omega, \omega)\}.$$

Therefore,

$$\|\omega_n^*\|_V = \frac{\int_{\|\omega\|_V \leq \epsilon} \|\omega\|_V \varrho_n(\omega) \theta(da)}{\int \varrho_n(\omega) \theta(da)} + \frac{\int_{\|\omega\|_V > \epsilon} \|\omega\|_V \varrho_n(\omega) \theta(da)}{\int \varrho_n(\omega) \theta(da)}. \quad (4)$$

Assuming $\widetilde{M} = \{\omega : a \in M\}$, $c = \sup_{\omega \in \widetilde{M}} \|\omega\|_V$ and

$$H_n(x) = \frac{\int_{\|\omega\|_V > \epsilon} \varrho_n(\omega) \theta(da)}{\int \varrho_n(\omega) \theta(da)}.$$

From (4) we get

$$\|\omega_n^*\|_V \leq \epsilon + c H_n(x). \quad (5)$$

To complete the proof, it suffices to prove that the multiplier $H_n(x)$ in (5) tends to zero with respect to the measure μ_{a_0} as $n \rightarrow \infty$. According to (5) we estimate the $H_n(x)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} H_n(x) &\leq \frac{\int_{\|\omega\|_V > \epsilon} \exp\{(C_n \omega, x) - \frac{1}{2} \inf_{\|\omega\|_V > \epsilon} (C_n \omega, \omega)\} \theta(da)}{\int_{\|\omega\|_V \leq \epsilon_1} \exp\{(C_n \omega, x) - \frac{1}{2} \sup_{\|\omega\|_V \leq \epsilon_1} (C_n \omega, \omega)\} \theta(da)} \\ &\leq \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} \inf_{\|\omega\|_V > \epsilon} (C_n \omega, \omega)\right\} g_n(x), \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where

$$g_n(x) = \frac{\int_{\|\omega\|_V > \epsilon} \exp\{(C_n \omega, x)\} \theta(da)}{\int_{\|\omega\|_V \leq \epsilon_1} \exp\{(C_n \omega, x)\} \theta(da)}.$$

Further, using the Fatou theorem and condition 3), we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\|\omega\|_V \leq \epsilon_1} \exp\{(C_n \omega, x)\} \theta(da) \geq \int_{\|\omega\|_V \leq \epsilon_1} \exp\{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (C_n \omega, x)\} \theta(da).$$

Therefore, to complete the proof, it suffices to verify that the function

$$\int_{\|\omega\|_V > \epsilon} \exp\{(C_n \omega, x)\} \theta(da)$$

is bounded with respect to the measure μ_{a_0} . For this we prove the boundedness of

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_{\mu_{a_0}} \int \exp\{(C_n \omega, x)\} \theta(da).$$

Indeed, changing the order of integration and taking into account condition 2), we obtain

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int \int \exp\{(C_n \omega, x)\} \mu_{a_0}(dx) \theta(da) < \infty.$$

Thus, $g_n(x)$ is bounded with respect to the measure μ_{a_0} . Consequently, from relation (6), by virtue of condition 4), it follows that $H_n(x)$ converges to zero with respect to the measure μ_0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Let $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n, \dots$ be eigenvectors and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n, \dots$ be corresponding eigenvalues of the operator B . The following theorem is true.

Theorem 2. *Let the following conditions be fulfilled:*

1) *there exists a sequence of positive numbers $\gamma_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ such that for each $a, a_0 \in M$ and $\forall \epsilon > 0$*

$$a) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a - a_0, e_k)^2}{\lambda_k \gamma_k} < \infty, \quad \inf_{\|a - a_0\|_V > \epsilon} \psi_n(a - a_0) = +\infty,$$

where

$$\psi_n(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma_n}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(x, e_k)^2}{\lambda_k};$$

b) *there are $\alpha > 1$ and $\delta < \epsilon$ such that for sufficiently large n*

$$\inf_{\|a - a_0\|_V > \epsilon} \psi_n(a - a_0) \geq \alpha \sup_{\|a - a_0\|_V \leq \delta} \psi_n(a - a_0);$$

2) *M is compact in metric $\|\cdot\|_V$;*

3) *$\forall \epsilon > 0$ the equality $\nu(\{a : \|a - a_0\|_V \leq \epsilon\}) > 0$ is true, where $\nu(\cdot)$ is a priori measure on the σ -algebra, generated by Borel sets in M .*

Then one can indicate a sub-sequence n_i for which

$$a_{n_i}^*(x) = \frac{\int a e^{-\varphi_{n_i}(a - a_0)} \nu(da)}{\int e^{-\varphi_{n_i}(a - a_0)} \nu(da)}$$

will be a consistent criterion for mean $a_0 \in M$.

R E F E R E N C E S

1. IBRAMKHALILOV, I., SKOROKHOD, A. Consistent Estimates of Parameters of Random Processes (Russian). *Naukova Dumka*, Kiev, 1980.
2. ZERAKIDZE, Z., MUMLADZE, M. Statistical Structures and Consistent Criteries for Checking Hypotheses. *Deutschland-Lambert Academic Publishing*, Saarbrucken, 2015.
3. ZERAKIDZE, Z., PURTUKHIA, O. The consistent criteria for hypotheses testing. *Ukrainian Mathematical Journal*, **71**, 4 (2019), 486-501.

Received 30.05.2019; revised 26.11.2019; accepted 30.12.2019.

Author(s) address(es):

Zurab Zerakidze, Malkhaz Mumladze
Gori State University
Chavchavadze Ave. 53, 1400 Gori, Georgia
E-mail: zura.zerakidze@mail.ru, malkaz.mumladze@gmail.com