

ON THE OPTIMAL STOPPING OF PARTIALLY OBSERVABLE PROCESSES

Babilua P., Dochviri B., Purtukhia O., Sokhadze G.

**Abstract.** The Kalman-Buces continuous model of partially observable stochastic processes is considered. The problem of optimal stopping of a stochastic process with incomplete data is reduced to the problem of optimal stopping with complete data. The convergence of payoffs is proved when  $\epsilon_1 \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\epsilon_2 \rightarrow 0$ , where  $\epsilon_1$  and  $\epsilon_2$  are small perturbation parameters of the nonobservable and observable processes respectively.

**Keywords and phrases:** Partially observable process, gain function, payoff, stopping time, optimal stopping.

**AMS subject classification:** 60J05, 91B28, 91B70.

**1. Introduction.** We consider a partially observable stochastic process  $(\theta_t, \xi_t)$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq T$ , of Kalman-Buces model

$$d\theta_t = [a_0(t) + a_1(t)\theta_t]dt + \epsilon_1 dw_1(t), \tag{1}$$

$$d\xi_t = d\theta_t dt + \epsilon_2 dw_2(t), \tag{2}$$

where  $\epsilon_1 > 0$ ,  $\epsilon_2 > 0$  are constants, the coefficients  $a_i(t)$ ,  $i = 0, 1$ , nonrandom measurable functions and  $w_1(t)$ ,  $w_2(t)$  are independent Wiener processes. It is assumed that in model (1), (2)  $\theta_t$  is the nonobservable process and  $\xi_t$  is the observable process [1].

Consider a linear gain function of such from

$$g(x, t) = f_1(t) + f_2(t)x, \tag{3}$$

where  $f_i(t)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , is nonrandom measurable function,  $x \in R$ , and introduce the payoffs

$$S_T^0 = \sup_{\tau \in \mathfrak{R}_T^0} Eg(\tau, \theta_\tau), \quad S_T^{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2} = \sup_{\tau \in \mathfrak{R}_T^{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2}} Eg(\tau, \theta_\tau), \tag{4}$$

where as usual we denote a class of all stopping times for a random process  $X = (X_t, \mathfrak{F}_t^X)$  relative to a family of  $\sigma$ -algebras  $F^X = (\mathfrak{F}_t^X)$  with  $\mathfrak{F}_t^X = \sigma\{X_s, 0 \leq s \leq t\}$  as  $\mathfrak{R}_T^X$  [1],[2].

The payoff  $S_T^0$  corresponds to an optimal stopping problem with complete data for the process  $\theta_t$ , while the payoff  $S_T^{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2}$  corresponds to the process  $\theta_t$  with incomplete data. The first problem (reduction problem) consists in reducing the optimal stopping problem with incomplete data of the process  $\theta_t$  to the optimal stopping problem of some completely observable process. The second problem (convergence of payoffs problem) is a proof the convergence  $S_T^{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2} \rightarrow S_T^0$  as  $\epsilon_1 \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\epsilon_2 \rightarrow 0$  [3], [4], [5].

Consider the example which show that from the smallness of coefficients  $\epsilon_1$  and  $\epsilon_2$  not necessarily by follows the closeness of the payoffs. We suppose that  $\theta_t = \epsilon_1 w_1(t)$ ,  $g(t, x) = g(x) = a$ , when  $x = x_0$  and  $g(t, x) = 0$ , when  $x \neq x_0$ ,  $x_0 \neq 0$ . Then it is possible to show that  $S_T^{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2} \rightarrow 0 \neq S_T^0 = a$ , when  $\epsilon_1 \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\epsilon_2 \rightarrow 0$ .

In this paper the problems of reduction and convergence of payoff are investigated for model (1),(2).

**2. The reduction problem.** Let us introduce the following notations

$$m_t = E(\theta_t | \mathfrak{S}_t^\xi), \quad \gamma_t = E(\theta_t - m_t)^2. \quad (5)$$

**Theorem 1.** *The payoff  $S_T^{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2}$  can be presented in the following form*

$$S_T^{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2} = \sup_{\tau \in \mathfrak{R}_T^\xi} Eg(\tau, m_\tau). \quad (6)$$

**Proof.** Note that for arbitrary  $\tau \in \mathfrak{R}_T^\xi$  and  $A \in \mathfrak{S}$  we have  $A \cap \{\tau \leq t\} \in \mathfrak{S}_t^\xi$  for all  $t \leq T$ . Because we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_T^{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2} &= \sup_{\tau \in \mathfrak{R}_T^\xi} E\{f_1(\tau) + f_2(\tau)\theta_\tau\} = S_T^{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2} = \sup_{\tau \in \mathfrak{R}_T^\xi} E\{E[f_1(\tau) + f_2(\tau)\theta_\tau] | \mathfrak{S}_\tau^\xi\} \\ &= S_T^{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2} = \sup_{\tau \in \mathfrak{R}_T^\xi} E\{f_1(\tau) + f_2(\tau)E(\theta_\tau | \mathfrak{S}_\tau^\xi)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Next we can write

$$I_{\{\tau=t\}}E(\theta_\tau | \mathfrak{S}_\tau^\xi) = E(I_{\{\tau=t\}}\theta_\tau | \mathfrak{S}_\tau^\xi) = E(I_{\{\tau=t\}}\theta_t | \mathfrak{S}_\tau^\xi) = I_{\{\tau=t\}}E(\theta_t | \mathfrak{S}_\tau^\xi),$$

where  $I_A$  is the indicator of set  $A$ . According to Lemma 1.9[1], on the set  $\{\tau = t\}$ , we have  $E(\theta_t | \mathfrak{S}_\tau^\xi) = E(\theta_t | \mathfrak{S}_t^\xi)$ , i.e.

$$I_{\{\tau=t\}}E(\theta_\tau | \mathfrak{S}_\tau^\xi) = I_{\{\tau=t\}}E(\theta_t | \mathfrak{S}_t^\xi).$$

Thus we get the proof of (6).

**Theorem 2.** *The payoff  $S_T^{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2}$  can be presented in the following form*

$$S_T^{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2} = \sup_{\tau \in \mathfrak{R}_T^\theta} Eg(\tau, \tilde{\theta}_\tau), \quad (7)$$

where the stochastic process  $\tilde{\theta}_t$  is defined by the following stochastic differential equation

$$d\tilde{\theta}_t = [a_0(t) + a_1(t)\tilde{\theta}_t]dt + a_1(t)\gamma_t dw_1(t). \quad (8)$$

**Proof.** According to Theorem 10.3 [1] and Theorem 7.12 [1] we have

$$dm_t = [a_0(t) + a_1(t)m_t]dt + a_1(t)\gamma_t(d\xi_t^\epsilon - [a_0(t) + a_1(t)m_t]dt),$$

$$d\xi_t = [a_0(t) + a_1(t)m_t]dt + \sqrt{\epsilon_1^2 + \epsilon_2^2}d\bar{w}(t), \quad (9)$$

$$dm_t = [a_0(t) + a_1(t)m_t]dt + \frac{a_1(t)\gamma_t}{\sqrt{\epsilon_1^2 + \epsilon_2^2}}d\bar{w}(t), \quad (10)$$

where  $\bar{w}(t)$  is so called innovation Wiener process, which has such property that the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{S}_t^\xi$  and  $\mathfrak{S}_t^{\bar{w}}$  coincide. From (8) and (10) we have

$$d\theta_t = \Phi_t \left[ \int_0^t \Phi_s^{-1} a_0(s) ds + \int_0^t \Phi_s^{-1} \frac{a_1(s)\gamma_s}{\sqrt{\epsilon_1^2 + \epsilon_2^2}} dw_1(s) \right], \quad (11)$$

$$dm_t = \Phi_t \left[ \int_0^t \Phi_s^{-1} a_0(s) ds + \int_0^t \Phi_s^{-1} \frac{a_1(s) \gamma_s}{\sqrt{\epsilon_1^2 + \epsilon_2^2}} d\bar{w}(s) \right], \quad (12)$$

where the deterministic function  $\Phi_t$  is defined by the following relation

$$\Phi_t = \exp \left\{ \int_0^t a_1(s) ds \right\}. \quad (13)$$

From (11), (12) we can write

$$\sup_{\tau \in \mathfrak{R}^{\tilde{\theta}}} Eg(\tau, \tilde{\theta}_\tau) = \sup_{\tau \in \mathfrak{R}^\xi} Eg(\tau, m_\tau), \quad (14)$$

where  $\mathfrak{R}^{\tilde{\theta}} = \mathfrak{R}^\theta$ . Thus

$$\sup_{\tau \in \mathfrak{R}^{\tilde{\theta}}} Eg(\tau, \tilde{\theta}_\tau) = \sup_{\tau \in \mathfrak{R}^{\theta}} Eg(\tau, \tilde{\theta}_\tau).$$

According to Theorem 1  $\sup_{\tau \in \mathfrak{R}^\xi} Eg(\tau, m_\tau) = S_T^{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2}$  and we get (7).

**3. Convergence of payoffs.** In proving the payoffs convergence rate, an estimation of the conditional variance  $\gamma_t$  by means of small parameters  $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2$  plays an essential role. We recall that for  $\gamma_t$  we have the ordinary differential equation

$$\gamma_t' = 2a_1(t)\gamma_t - \frac{a_1^2(t)\gamma_t^2}{\epsilon_1^2 + \epsilon_2^2} + \epsilon_1^2, \quad \gamma_0 = 0. \quad (15)$$

Let  $\rho(t)$  denote a continuous increasing majorant of the function

$$\varphi(t) = \frac{\epsilon_1}{a_1(t)} \Phi_t^{-2},$$

where the function  $\Phi_t$  is defined by (13).

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $\rho(t) \geq \varphi(t)$ . Then the following estimate holds for all  $0 \leq t \leq T$  :*

$$\gamma_t \leq \sqrt{\epsilon_1^2 + \epsilon_2^2 \Phi_t^2 \rho(t)}. \quad (16)$$

**Proof.** We introduce a function  $u_t$  by using the following transformation

$$\gamma_t = \sqrt{\epsilon_1^2 + \epsilon_2^2 \Phi_t^2} u_t, \quad u_0 = 0. \quad (17)$$

It is not difficult to see that the function  $u_t$  satisfies the ordinary differential equation

$$u_t' = \frac{a_1^2(t) \Phi_t^2}{\sqrt{\epsilon_1^2 + \epsilon_2^2}} \left[ \frac{\epsilon_1^2 \Phi_t^{-4}}{a_1^2(t)} - u_t^2 \right], \quad u_0 = 0. \quad (18)$$

Let us show that  $u_t \leq \rho(t)$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq T$ . Assume the opposite. Then there exist points  $t_0$  and  $t_1$  with  $t_0 < t_1$  such that  $u_{t_0} = \rho(t_0)$  and  $u_t > \rho(t)$  for  $t_0 < t \leq t_1$ . For  $t \in [t_0, t_1]$  we have

$$u_t' \leq \frac{a_1^2(t) \Phi_t^2}{\sqrt{\epsilon_1^2 + \epsilon_2^2}} [\rho^2(t) - u_t^2] < 0.$$

Therefore  $u_t < u_{t_0} = \rho(t_0) \leq \rho(t)$  and we have obtained  $u_t < \rho(t)$ , which contradicts our assumption. Thus  $u_t \leq \rho(t)$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq T$ , and we obtain the estimate (16).

We introduce the notations [5]:

$$h(t) = \epsilon_1^2 \int_0^t \Phi_s^{-2} ds, \quad \tilde{h}(t) = \int_0^t \Phi_s^{-2} \frac{a_1^2(s) \gamma_s^2}{\sqrt{\epsilon_1^2 + \epsilon_2^2}} ds, \quad (19)$$

$$l = \exp\{2 \int_0^T a_1(s) ds\} \rho(T), \quad (20)$$

$$Lg(t, x) = f_1'(t) + f_2'(t)x + f_2(t)[a_0(t) + a_1(t)x]. \quad (21)$$

**Theorem 4.** *Let the following condition hold:*

$$E(\sup_{t \leq T} g(t, \theta_t)) < \infty. \quad (22)$$

*Then the estimate is true:*

$$0 \leq S_T^0 - S_T^{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2} \leq (\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2) l \sup_{t \leq T} E(Lg(t, \theta_t)). \quad (23)$$

**Proof.** First we show that  $S_T^0 \geq S_T^{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2}$ . from Theorem 3[5] and the identity of the  $\sigma$ -algebras  $\mathfrak{F}_t^{\bar{w}}$  and  $\mathfrak{F}_t^{\xi}$  it follows that

$$S_T^{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2} = \sup_{\tau \in \mathfrak{R}^{\bar{w}}} E g(\tau, m_\tau + \eta \sqrt{\gamma_\tau}), \quad (24)$$

where  $\eta$  is standard normal random variable. The process  $m_t$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq T$ , is Markovian with respect to the family  $F^{\bar{w}} = (\mathfrak{F}_t^{\bar{w}})$  and in that case as is well known, the class of stopping times  $\mathfrak{S}_T^m$  is sufficient [2], i.e. we have

$$S_T^{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2} = \sup_{\tau \in \mathfrak{R}_T^m} E g(\tau, m_\tau + \eta \sqrt{\gamma_\tau}).$$

Let us now introduce an auxiliary payoff for stopping times  $\tau \in \mathfrak{R}_T^\theta$  :

$$\tilde{S}_T^{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2} = \sup_{\tau \leq T_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2}} E g(\tau, \theta_\tau), \quad (25)$$

where  $T_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2}$  be denoted by the relation  $\tilde{h}(T) = h(T_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2})$ . It is easy to see that for  $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_t^\theta$  :

$$0 \leq S_T^0 - \tilde{S}_T^{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2} \leq \sup_{\tau \leq T} E[g(\tau, \theta_\tau) - g(\tau \wedge T_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2}, \theta_{\tau \wedge T_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2}})],$$

where  $s \wedge t := \min(s, t)$ .

Further, by Ito's formula we can write

$$\begin{aligned} E[g(\tau, \theta_\tau) - g(\tau \wedge T_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2}, \theta_{\tau \wedge T_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2}})] &= E \int_{\tau \wedge T_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2}}^{\tau} Lg(t, \theta_t) dt \leq \int_{T_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2}}^T E[Lg(t, \theta_t)] dt \\ &\leq (T - T_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2}) \sup_{t \leq T} E[g(t, \theta_t)] \leq (\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2) l \sup_{t \leq T} E[g(t, \theta_t)]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$S_T^0 - \tilde{S}_T^{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2} \leq (\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2) l \sup_{t \leq T} E[g(t, \theta_t)]. \quad (26)$$

From (26), by Theorem 4 [5], we obtain the estimate (23).

**Example.** Consider the following model

$$d\theta_t = b(t)dw_1(t), \quad d\xi_t = A(t)w_1(t)dt + \epsilon dw_2(t).$$

We have

$$\gamma'_t = b^2(t) - \frac{A^2(t)}{\epsilon^2} \gamma_t^2, \quad \gamma_t = \epsilon \frac{b(t)}{A(t)} th \frac{A(t)b(t)}{\epsilon} t,$$

where  $thx$  is tangens hyperbolic function of  $x$ . Let  $Lg(t, x) = f'_1(t) + f'_2(t)x$ .

The estimate (23) we can rewrite by following form:

$$0 \leq S_T^0 - S_T^\epsilon \leq \epsilon \frac{b(T)}{A(T)} th \frac{A(T)b(T)}{\epsilon} T \sup_{t \leq T} E[Lg(t, \theta_t)].$$

**Acknowledgement.** The work is supported by Shota Rustaveli National Science Foundation Grant No FR/308/5-104/12, FR/69/5-104/12.

## R E F E R E N C E S

1. Liptser R.Sh., Shiryaev A.N. Statistics of Random Processes. *Vol. 1-2, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, New York, 1977, 1978.*
2. Shiryaev A.N. Optimal Stopping Rules. *Springer-Verlag, New York, 1978.*
3. Dochviri B. On optimal stopping with incomplete data. Probability Theory and Mathematical Statistics (Kyoto, 1986) *Lecture Notes in Math. 1299, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988, 64-68.*
4. Babilua P., Bokuchava I., Dochviri B., Shashiashvili M. Convergence of costs in an optimal stopping problem for a partially observable model. *Appl. Math. Inform. Mech.*, **11**, (2006), 6-11.
5. Babilua P., Bokuchava I., Dochviri B. The optimal stopping problem for the Kalman-Bucy scheme. *Theory Probab. Appl.*, **55**, 1 (2010), 133-142.

Received 25.05.2013; accepted 27.11.2013.

Authors' addresses:

P. Babilua, B. Dochviri, G. Sokhadze  
 Iv. Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University  
 Faculty of Exact and Natural Sciences  
 Department of Mathematics  
 2, University Str., Tbilisi 0186  
 Georgia  
 E-mail: p\_babilua@yahoo.com  
 grigol.sokhadze@tsu.ge

O. Purtukhia  
 Iv. Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University  
 Faculty of Exact and Natural Sciences  
 Department of Mathematics  
 2, University St., Tbilisi 0186  
 Georgia

A. Razmadze Mathematical Institute  
 Georgian National Academy of Science  
 1, M. Aleksidze St., Tbilisi 0193  
 Georgia  
 E-mail: omar.purtukhi@tsu.ge