

ON THE ACCURACY OF SOLUTION APPROXIMATION WITH RESPECT TO  
A SPATIAL VARIABLE FOR ONE NONLINEAR INTEGRO-DIFFERENTIAL  
EQUATION

Peradze J., Dzagania B., Papukashvili G.

**Abstract.** An initial boundary value problem for a wave equation is considered. To obtain an approximate solution with respect to a spatial variable the Galerkin method is used and its error is estimated.

**Keywords and phrases:** Nonlinear beam equation, Galerkin method, method error.

**AMS subject classification:** 65M60, 65N15.

**1. Statement of the problem.** Let us consider the initial boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned}
 &u_{tt}(x, t) + \delta u_t(x, t) + \gamma u_{xxxxt}(x, t) + \alpha u_{xxx}(x, t) \\
 &\quad - \left( \beta + \rho \int_0^L u_x^2(x, t) dx \right) u_{xx}(x, t) \\
 &\quad - \sigma \left( \int_0^L u_x(x, t) u_{xt}(x, t) dx \right) u_{xx}(x, t) = 0, \\
 &\quad 0 < x < L, \quad 0 < t \leq T, \\
 &\quad u(x, 0) = u^0(x), \quad u_t(x, 0) = u^1(x), \\
 &\quad u(0, t) = u(L, t) = 0, \quad u_{xx}(0, t) = u_{xx}(L, t) = 0,
 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where  $\alpha, \gamma, \rho, \sigma, \beta$  and  $\delta$  are the given constants among which the first four are positive numbers, while  $u^0(x)$  and  $u^1(x)$  are the given functions.

The equation (1) obtained by J. Ball [1] using the Timoshenko [2] theory describes the vibration of a beam. The problem of construction of an approximate solution for this equation is dealt with in [3]-[5].

**2. Galerkin method.** We write an approximate solution of the problem (1), (2) in the form  $u_n(x, t) = \sum_{i=1}^n u_{ni}(t) \sin \frac{i\pi x}{L}$ , where the coefficients  $u_{ni}(t)$  will be found by the Galerkin method from the system of equations

$$\begin{aligned}
 &u_{ni}''(t) + \left( \delta + \gamma \left( \frac{i\pi}{L} \right)^4 \right) u_{ni}'(t) + \left[ \alpha \left( \frac{i\pi}{L} \right)^4 + \left( \frac{i\pi}{L} \right)^2 \left( \beta + \rho \frac{L}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \frac{j\pi}{L} \right)^2 u_{nj}^2(t) \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. + \sigma \frac{L}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \frac{j\pi}{L} \right)^2 u_{nj}(t) u_{nj}'(t) \right) \right] u_{ni}(t) = 0, \\
 &\quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad 0 < t \leq T,
 \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

with the initial conditions

$$u_{ni}(0) = a_i^0, \quad u'_{ni}(0) = a_i^1, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (4)$$

Here  $a_i^0$  and  $a_i^1$  are the coefficients from the representation of the functions  $u^0(x)$  and  $u^1(x)$  as  $u^p(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i^p \sin \frac{i\pi x}{L}$ ,  $p = 0, 1$ .

### 3. Method error. If

$$u^p(x) \in L^2(0, L), \quad p = 0, 1, \quad (5)$$

then there exists a generalized solution of the problem (1), (2) that is a function  $u(x, t)$  representable as a series  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} u_i(t) \sin \frac{i\pi x}{L}$ , the coefficients of which satisfy the system of equations

$$\begin{aligned} u_i''(t) + \left( \delta + \gamma \left( \frac{i\pi}{L} \right)^4 \right) u_i'(t) + \left[ \alpha \left( \frac{i\pi}{L} \right)^4 + \left( \frac{i\pi}{L} \right)^2 \left( \beta + \rho \frac{L}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{j\pi}{L} \right)^2 u_j^2(t) \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + \sigma \frac{L}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{j\pi}{L} \right)^2 u_j(t) u_j'(t) \right) \right] u_i(t) = 0, \quad (6) \\ i = 1, 2, \dots, \quad 0 < t \leq T, \end{aligned}$$

with the initial conditions

$$u_i(0) = a_i^0, \quad u_i'(0) = a_i^1, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots \quad (7)$$

Denote  $\Delta u_{ni}(t) = u_{ni}(t) - u_i(t)$  and assume that under the method error we will understand the function  $\Delta u_n(x, t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta u_{ni}(t) \sin \frac{i\pi x}{L}$  the  $L^2(0, L)$ -norm of which we want to estimate.

Subtract (6) from (3) and, having multiplied the resulting equality by  $2\Delta u'_{ni}(t)$ , sum it over  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta u_{ni}^2(t) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \delta + \gamma \left( \frac{i\pi}{L} \right)^4 \right) \Delta u_{ni}^2(t) \\ + \frac{d}{dt} \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \alpha \left( \frac{i\pi}{L} \right)^4 + \beta \left( \frac{i\pi}{L} \right)^2 \right) \Delta u_{ni}^2(t) \\ + \rho \frac{L}{2} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \frac{j\pi}{L} \right)^2 (u_{nj}(t) + u_j(t)) \Delta u_{nj}(t) \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{i\pi}{L} \right)^2 (u_{ni}(t) + u_i(t)) \Delta u'_{ni}(t) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{L}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \frac{j\pi}{L} \right)^2 (u_{nj}^2(t) + u_j^2(t)) \frac{d}{dt} \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{i\pi}{L} \right)^2 \Delta u_{ni}^2(t) \right] \\ + \frac{\sigma L}{4} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \frac{j\pi}{L} \right)^2 \left[ (u'_{nj}(t) + u'_j(t)) \Delta u_{nj}(t) + (u_{nj}(t) + u_j(t)) \Delta u'_{nj}(t) \right] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{i\pi}{L} \right)^2 (u_{ni}(t) + u_i(t)) \Delta u'_{ni}(t) \\
& + \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \frac{j\pi}{L} \right)^2 (u_{nj}(t) u'_{nj}(t) + u_j(t) u'_j(t)) \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{i\pi}{L} \right)^2 \frac{d}{dt} \Delta u_{ni}^2(t) \Big\} \\
= & L \left( \rho \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{j\pi}{L} \right)^2 u_j^2(t) + \sigma \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{j\pi}{L} \right)^2 u_j(t) u'_j(t) \right) \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{i\pi}{L} \right)^2 \Delta u'_{ni}(t) u_i(t). \quad (8)
\end{aligned}$$

Subtracting (7) from (4) for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , we have

$$\Delta u_{ni}(0) = 0, \quad \Delta u'_{ni}(0) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (9)$$

Our further consideration will be restricted to a more difficult case when  $\beta$  and  $\delta$  are negative numbers. For three other combinations of these numbers we come to a result analogous to the one given at the end of this paper.

Multiply the equation (3) by  $2u'_{ni}(t)$  and the equation (6) by  $2u'_i(t)$ . Then sum the obtained equalities over  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  in the first case, and over  $i = 1, 2, \dots$  in the second case. As a result, after some transformations, we make the following conclusion.

**Lemma 1.** *The estimates*

$$\Phi_n(t) \leq c_{0n}, \quad \Phi(t) \leq c_0 \quad (10)$$

are valid, where

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_n(t) &= \sum_{i=1}^n u_{ni}^{\prime 2}(t) + \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{i\pi}{L} \right)^4 u_{ni}^2(t) + \rho \frac{L}{4} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{i\pi}{L} \right)^2 u_{ni}^2(t) \right)^2, \\
\Phi(t) &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} u_i^{\prime 2}(t) + \alpha \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{i\pi}{L} \right)^4 u_i^2(t) + \rho \frac{L}{4} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{i\pi}{L} \right)^2 u_i^2(t) \right)^2, \\
c_{0n} &= \Phi_n(0) e^a \leq \Phi(0) e^a = c_0, \\
a &= \left( -\delta + \left( \delta^2 + \frac{\beta^2}{a} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) T.
\end{aligned}$$

Now multiply the equation (6) by  $2u'_i(t)$  and sum the obtained equality over  $i = n+1, n+2, \dots$ . After a few transformations we see that the following statement is true.

**Lemma 2.** *The inequality*

$$F_n(t) \leq F_n(0) e^b \quad (11)$$

is fulfilled, where

$$\begin{aligned}
F_n(t) &= \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} u_i^{\prime 2}(t) + \alpha \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{i\pi}{L} \right)^4 u_i^2(t) + \frac{\rho L}{4} \left( \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{i\pi}{L} \right)^2 u_i^2(t) \right)^2, \\
b &= \left( -\delta + \left( \delta^2 + \frac{\omega^2}{\alpha} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) T, \quad \omega = -\beta + c_0 \frac{L}{2\sqrt{\alpha}} \left( \frac{\sigma}{2} + \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \left( \frac{L}{\pi} \right)^2 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Let us introduce the notation

$$\nu = \frac{1}{4} c_0 e^{2b} \left( \frac{L}{2\alpha} \right)^3 T \left( \rho \left( \frac{L}{\pi} \right)^2 + \left( \rho^2 \left( \frac{L}{\pi} \right)^4 + \alpha \sigma^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^2,$$

$$\nabla = -2\beta + (c_{0n} + c_0) \frac{L}{2\sqrt{\alpha}} \left( \sigma + 3 \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \left( \frac{L}{\pi} \right)^2 \right)$$

and use (10) and (11) in (8) and (9). Thus we obtain the upper bound for  $\sum_{i=1}^n \Delta u_{ni}'^2(t) + \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{i\pi}{L} \right)^4 \Delta u_{ni}^2(t)$ . Using it we come to the following result.

**Theorem.** *If the requirement (5) is fulfilled for the functions  $u^p(x)$ ,  $p = 0, 1$ , and the above-mentioned conditions are fulfilled for the constants  $\alpha$ ,  $\gamma$ ,  $\rho$ ,  $\sigma$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\delta$ , then for the Galerkin method error the estimate*

$$\left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Delta u_n(x, t) \right\|_{L^2(0,L)}^2 + \alpha \left\| \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \Delta u_n(x, t) \right\|_{L^2(0,L)}^2 \leq c \left( \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} a_i^{1,2} + \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{i\pi}{L} \right)^4 a_i^{0,2} \right)^2$$

holds, where

$$c = e^{\frac{1}{2}T(\nu - 2\delta + ((\nu - 2\delta)^2 + \frac{\nabla^2}{\alpha})^{\frac{1}{2}})}.$$

**Acknowledgement.** The first author J. Peradze acknowledges a support under the grant 7353 of Estonia Science Foundation.

## REFERENCES

1. Ball J.M. Stability theory for an extensible beam. *J. Differ. Equations*, **14** (1973), 399-418.
2. Timoshenko S., Yong D., Weaver W. Vibration Problems in Engineering. *John Willey, New York*, 1967.
3. Choo S.M., Chung S.K.  $L^2$ -error estimate for the strongly damped extensible beam equations. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **11**, 6 (1998), 101-107.
4. Choo S.M., Chung S.K. Finite difference approximate solutions for the strongly damped extensible beam equations. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **112**, 1 (2000), 11-32.
5. Choo S.M., Chung S.K., Kannan R. Finite element Galerkin solutions for the strongly damped extensible beam equations. *Korean J. Comp. Appl. Math.*, **7** (2001), 1-20.

Received 11.06.2010; revised 11.10.2010; accepted 18.11.2010.

Authors' addresses:

J. Peradze

Iv. Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University

1/3, Chavchavadze Av., Tbilisi 0128

Georgia

E-mail: j\_peradze@yahoo.com

B. dzagania

Iv. Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University

1/3, I. Chavchavadze Av., Tbilisi 0128

Georgia

E-mail: b\_dzagania@yahoo.com

G. Papukashvili

Ilia State University

3/5, K. Cholokashvili St., Tbilisi 0162

Georgia

E-mail: papukashvili@yahoo.com