Reports of Enlarged Session of the Seminar of I. Vekua Institute of Applied Mathematics Volume 22, 2008

## ON ONE CYLINDRICAL TYPE TRANSFORMATION OF A RANDOM MEASURE

## Sokhadze G.A., Tkeshelashvili A.S.

A. Tsereteli State University

**Abstract**. In an infinite dimensional linear space the nonlinear transformations cylindrical type for random measures are considered. In some conditions the fact equivalence of the corresponding measure and the random measure is proved.

**Keywords and phrases**: Equivalence of measures, random measures, nonlinear transformation.

## AMS subject classification: 60H07; 60A10.

Many problems connected with equations in measures [1] can be reduced to integral type transformations in infinite-dimensional spaces. In this work we study the problem of the equivalence of distributions of random values for a linear transformation that has an integral form and depends on fixed elements of the original  $\sigma$ -algebra.

Throughout the paper  $\{\Omega, \mathcal{J}, P\}$  is assumed to be a fixed probability space. Let  $Q_m$  be an *m*-dimensional cube

$$Q_m = \frac{m}{X}_{k=1}[0,1] = [0,1]^m,$$

 $\nu_m$  a measure on Borel subsets of  $Q_m$ . Let further  $\{X, B\}$  be some measurable space.

We will consider the random measures  $\mu(t, A) = \mu(t, A, \omega)$  defined on  $Q_m \times B \times \Omega$ and with real values, which have the following properties:

- M1)  $\mu$  is a random value for fixed  $t \in Q_m$  and  $A \in B$ ;  $E\mu^2(t, A) < \infty$  almost everywhere on the Lebesgue measure for all  $t \in Q_m$  and  $A \in B$ .
- M2) for fixed  $t \in Q_m$ ,  $\mu(t, A)$  is a measure with alternating signs almost for all  $\omega$ .
- M3) for fixed  $A \in B$ , with probability 1  $\mu$  is square-integrable with respect to a measure  $\nu_m(dt)$ .

Let  $\widetilde{L}_2(Q_m)$  be a space of random measures  $\mu(t, A)$  with properties M1), M2) and M3). It is understood that  $\widetilde{L}_2(Q_m)$  is a Hilbert space with the scalar product

$$(\mu_1, \mu_2)_{\tilde{L}_2(Q_m)} = E \int_{Q_m} \mu_1(t, X) \mu_2(t, X) \nu_m(dt)$$

and, accordingly, with the norm

$$\|\mu\|_{\tilde{L}_{2}(Q_{m})}^{2} = E \int_{Q_{m}} \mu^{2}(t, X)\nu_{m}(dt).$$

Denote the self-correlation measure by

$$\beta_{ts}^{\mu_1\mu_2}(A,B) = E\mu_1(t,A)\mu_2(s,B)$$

In terms of this measure we write

$$(\mu_1, \mu_2)_{\tilde{L}_2(Q_m)} = \int_{Q_m} \beta_{tt}^{\mu_1 \mu_2}(X, X) \nu_m(dt)$$

and

$$\|\mu\|_{\tilde{L}_{2}(Q_{m})}^{2} = \int_{Q_{m}} \beta_{tt}^{\mu\mu}(X, X)\nu_{m}(dt).$$

Let  $\widetilde{L}_2^+(Q_m) \subset \widetilde{L}_2(Q_m) \subset \widetilde{L}_2^-(Q_m)$  be a quasikernel equipment of the basic space  $\widetilde{L}_2(Q_m)$ . Consider the transformation  $\widetilde{L}_2(Q_m)$ 

$$\widetilde{\mu}(A,t) = \mu(A,t) + \int_{Q_m} G(t,s,A,\mu(A_1,s),\mu(A_2,s),\dots,\mu(A_n,s))\nu_m(ds),$$
(1)

where  $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n$  are fixed measurable sets from B and  $G(t, s, A, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$  is a function on  $Q_{2m} \times B \times R^n$ . We call such a mapping a cylindrical type transformation (see [2], [3]).

Let us introduce the notation

$$\int_{Q_m} G(t, s, A, \mu(A_1, s), \mu(A_2, s), \dots, \mu(A_n, s)) \nu_m(ds) =$$
  
=  $g(t, A, \mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n);$   
 $\frac{\partial g(t, A_i, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_{j-1}, u_j, \mu_{j+1}, \dots, \mu_n)}{\partial u_j} \Big|_{u_j = \mu_j} = g'_{ij}(t, A_i, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_n).$ 

The distribution of a random measure  $\mu$  is denoted by  $P_{\mu}$ . A transformation of for (1) of the space  $\tilde{L}_2(Q_m)$  changes the measure  $\mu$  to the measure  $\tilde{\mu}$  the distribution of which is denoted by  $P_{\tilde{\mu}}$ . According to the Minlos-Sazonov theorem, in the above-mentioned conditions these distributions are concentrated in the space  $\tilde{L}_2^-(Q_m)$ . We are interested in the conditions for which these distributions are equivalent.

**Theorem.** Let conditions M1), M2) and M3) be fulfilled for transformation (1),  $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n$  be fixed measurable sets from B and the following conditions be fulfilled for functions  $G(t, s, A, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$  on  $Q_{2m} \times B \times R^n$ :

- G1) for and  $A \in B$ ,  $G(t, s, A, x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$  is continuous with respect to  $t, s \in Q_m$ , differentiable with respect to  $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$  and square-integrable with respect to the measure  $\nu_m \times \nu_m \times l_n$ , where  $l_n$  is a Lebesgue measure in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
- G2) for fixed  $t, s \in Q_m$  and  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \in R$ ,  $G(t, s, A, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$  is a measure on B.

G3) there exists a nonzero determinant

$$\Delta(x) = \begin{vmatrix} 1 + g'_{11}(x) & g'_{12}(x) & \dots & g'_{1n}(x) \\ g'_{21}(x) & 1 + g'_{22}(x) & \dots & g'_{2n}(x) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ g'_{n1}(x) & g'_{n2}(x) & \dots & 1 + g'_{nn}(x) \end{vmatrix}$$

Then, if the self-covariational measure  $\beta_{ts}^{\mu\nu}$  has a logarithmic derivative with respect to each argument along the constant directions  $\widetilde{L}_2^+(Q_m)$ , then the measures  $P_{\mu}$  and  $P_{\tilde{\mu}}$  are equivalent and

$$\frac{dP_{\tilde{\mu}}}{dP_{\mu}}(\mu) = \Delta(\mu) \exp\left\{-\beta\left(t, g(t, A, \mu), \mu\right) - \frac{1}{2} \|g(t, A, \mu)\|_{\tilde{L}_{2}(Q_{m})}^{2}\right\},\tag{2}$$

where  $\beta(t, g, A)$  denotes some measurable functional which is an abstract analogue of an extended stochastic integral ([1]).

**Proof.** To transformation (1) we apply a general theorem for the nonlinear transformation of smooth measures from [4]. The main requirement in this theorem consists in proving that transformation (1) is invertible. To establish this fact, we substitute step-by-step  $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n$  in (1) and form the system

$$\widetilde{\mu}(t, A_1) = \mu(t, A_1) + \int_{Q_m} G(t, s, A_1, \mu(s, A_1), \dots, \mu(s, A_n)) \nu_m(ds),$$
  

$$\widetilde{\mu}(t, A_2) = \mu(t, A_2) + \int_{Q_m} G(t, s, A_2, \mu(s, A_2), \dots, \mu(s, A_n)) \nu_m(ds),$$
  

$$\widetilde{\mu}(t, A_n) = \mu(t, A_n) + \int_{Q_m} G(t, s, A_n, \mu(s, A_n), \dots, \mu(s, A_n)) \nu_m(ds).$$

According to condition G3) of the theorem, this system is solvable with respect to  $\mu(t, A_1), \mu(t, A_2), \ldots, \mu(t, A_n)$ . Therefore there exist functions  $H_1, H_2, \ldots, H_n$  such that

Then from (1) we obtain an inverse transformation of the form

$$\mu(t,A) = \widetilde{\mu}(t,A) - \int_{Q_m} G\Big(t,s,A,H_1\big(s,A_1,\ldots,A_n,\widetilde{\mu}(s,A_1),\ldots,\widetilde{\mu}(s,A_n)\big),\ldots,$$
$$H_n\big(s,A_1,\ldots,A_n,\widetilde{\mu}(s,A_1),\ldots,\widetilde{\mu}(s,A_n)\big)\Big)\nu_m(ds)$$

We observe now that the smoothness (in a sense of the existence of a logarithmic derivative along the constant directions of the subspace  $\tilde{L}_2^+(Q_m)$ ) of a self-correlation measure gives the same smoothness of the distribution  $P_{\mu}$ . The other conditions of the theorem are fulfilled automatically, which shows that the assertion we wanted to prove and formula (2) are valid.

## REFERENCES

1. DaletskiiYu. L., Fomin S. V. Measures and differential equations in infinite-dimensional spaces, M.: 1983 (in Russian).

2. Koval'chik I.M. A nonlinear transformation of Gaussian measures, Mat. Metody i Fiz.-Mekh. Polya, **25** (1987), 13-16 (in Russian).

3. Sokhadze G.A. A note on the absolute continuity of measures for a cylindrical type transformation, Georgian Engineering News, **3** (2007), 13–15.

4. Sokhadze G.A., Tkeshelashvili A. S. On the transformation of a random measure, J. Intellect, 1, 12 (2002), 39–42, Tbilisi.

Received 24.09.2008; revised 15.12.2008; accepted 24.12.2008.