

Reports of Enlarged Session of the
Seminar of I. Vekua Institute
of Applied Mathematics
Volume 22, 2008

ON A CLASS OF SPECIAL FUNCTIONS

Jaiani G.

I. Vekua Institute of Applied Mathematics
of Iv. Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University

Abstract. The present paper deals with a class of special functions which plays a crucial part in investigation of weighted boundary value problems for the degenerate elliptic Euler-Poisson-Darboux equation.

Keywords and phrases: Special function, degenerate elliptic equations, Euler-Poisson-Darboux equation.

AMS subject classification: 33D99; 35J70.

1. Introduction. The present paper is devoted to a class of special functions represented in the following integral form

$$M_k(a, b, , j, m) := y^{b+m-k-1} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (\xi - x)^k \frac{\partial^m \theta^j e^{a\theta} \rho^{-b}}{\partial y^m} d\xi, \quad (1)$$

where

$$\theta = \arg(z - \xi), \quad \rho = |z - \xi|^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad j, k, m \in N^0, \quad z \in R_+^2, \quad \xi \in R^1,$$

a and b are complex constants, R_+^2 is an upper half-plane of the complex plane of the variable $z = x + iy$, R^1 is the axis of the real numbers,

$$\theta \in [0, \pi], \quad N^0 := N \cup \{0\},$$

N is the set of the natural numbers. N_1 and N_2 denote the sets of the odd and even natural numbers, respectively. $N_2^0 := N \cup \{0\}$.

The above class of special functions plays a crucial part in investigation of weighted boundary value problems for the degenerate elliptic equation [1,2]

$$E^{(a,b)} u := y(u_{xx} + u_{yy}) + au_x + bu_y = 0$$

and iterated one [1,2]

$$\left(\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} E^{(a_k, b)} \right) u = 0,$$

where $b, a_k, k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$, are, in general, complex constants. When $y = 0$, the above equations have an order degeneration.

2. Main Theorem.

Theorem. *The function $M_k(a, b, , j, m)$ is defined [i.e., the integral (1) exists] and is independent of x, y :*

when

$$\operatorname{Re} b + m - k - 1 > 0 \quad (2)$$

and either $a \neq 0, m \in N^0$, or $a = 0, j \neq 0, m \in N^0$, or $a = j = m = 0$, or $a = j = 0, b \neq 0, -2, \dots, -2 \left(m - \left[\frac{m}{2} \right] - 1 \right), m \in N_2$;

or when

$$\operatorname{Re} b + m - k > 0 \quad (3)$$

and $a = j = 0, b \neq 0, -2, \dots, -2 \left(m - \left[\frac{m}{2} \right] - 1 \right), m \in N_1$.

If $a = j = 0$, and either $b \in \left\{ 0, -2, \dots, -2 \left(m - \left[\frac{m}{2} \right] - 1 \right) \right\}, m \in N$, or condition (3) is fulfilled when $m, k \in N_1$, or (2) is fulfilled when $k \in N_1, m \in N_2^0$, then

$$M_k(0, b, 0, m) = 0. \quad (4)$$

Proof. Using the method of mathematical induction, we prove that

$$\frac{\partial^m e^{a\theta} \rho^{-b}}{\partial y^m} = \sum_{\kappa=1}^{\left[\frac{m}{2} \right] + 1} B_\kappa(b, m; a(x - \xi), y) e^{a\theta} \rho^{-b-2(m-\kappa+1)}, \quad (5)$$

where

$$B_1(b, m; a(x - \xi), y) = \prod_{i=1}^m \{a(x - \xi) - [b + 2(l - 1)]y\}, \quad (6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} B_\kappa(b, m; a(x - \xi), y) &= \sum_{\alpha_{\kappa-1}=2\kappa-3}^{m-1} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{\kappa-2} \sum_{\alpha_j=2j-1}^{\alpha_{j+1}-2} \right) \left\{ \prod_{k=1}^{\kappa-1} [b + 2(\alpha_k - k)] (\alpha_k - m) \right. \\ &\quad \times \left. \prod_{\substack{l=1 \\ l \neq \alpha_i - i+1 \\ i=1, 2, \dots, \kappa-1}}^{m-\kappa+1} \{a(x - \xi) - [b + 2(l - 1)]y\} \right\}, \\ &\quad \kappa = 2, \dots, \left[\frac{m}{2} \right] + 1, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

$$\prod_{j=1}^{\kappa-2} \sum_{\alpha_j=2j-1}^{\alpha_{j+1}-2} := \sum_{\alpha_{\kappa-2}=2\kappa-5}^{\alpha_{\kappa-1}-2} \sum_{\alpha_{\kappa-3}=2\kappa-7}^{\alpha_{\kappa-2}-2} \cdots \sum_{\alpha_2=3}^{\alpha_3-2} \sum_{\alpha_1=1}^{\alpha_2-2}, \prod_{j=l}^{l-1} (\cdot) \equiv 1.$$

The last product in (7) we take equal to 1 if none of l are admissible.

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^m \theta}{\partial y^m} &= (x - \xi)^{-m} \left. \frac{\partial^m \theta}{\partial \tau^m} \right|_{\tau=\frac{y}{x-\xi}} = (x - \xi)^{-m} \left. \frac{\partial^m \operatorname{arc tg} \tau}{\partial \tau^m} \right|_{\tau=\frac{y}{x-\xi}} \\ &= (-1)^{m-1} (m-1)! (x - \xi)^{-m} \left[1 + \frac{y^2}{(x - \xi)^2} \right]^{-\frac{m}{2}} \sin \left(m \operatorname{arc tg} \frac{x - \xi}{y} \right) \quad (8) \\ &= (-1)^{m-1} (m-1)! \rho^{-m} [\operatorname{sign}(x - \xi)]^{-m} \sin \left(m \operatorname{arc tg} \frac{x - \xi}{y} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using the method of mathematical induction (with respect to j), we prove that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^m \theta^j}{\partial y^m} &= (-1)^{m-j} [\operatorname{sign}(x - \xi)]^{-m} \rho^{-m} \sum_{\kappa_j=0}^m \left(\prod_{k=1}^{j-2} \sum_{\kappa_{k+1}=0}^{\kappa_{k+2}} \right) \left\{ \binom{m}{\kappa_j} \right. \\ &\quad \times \left. \prod_{k=1}^{j-2} \binom{\kappa_{k+2}}{\kappa_{k+1}} (\kappa_2 - 1)! (m - \kappa_j - 1)! \right. \\ &\quad \times \left. \prod_{k=1}^{j-2} (\kappa_{k+2} - \kappa_{k+1} - 1)! \sin \left(\kappa_2 \operatorname{arc tg} \frac{x - \xi}{y} \right) \right. \quad (9) \\ &\quad \times \left. \sin \left[(m - \kappa_j) \operatorname{arc tg} \frac{x - \xi}{y} \right] \right. \\ &\quad \times \left. \prod_{k=1}^{j-2} \sin \left[(\kappa_{k+2} - \kappa_{k+1}) \operatorname{arc tg} \frac{x - \xi}{y} \right], \quad j \geq 2. \right. \end{aligned}$$

According to the Leibniz formula,

$$\frac{\partial^m \theta^j e^{a\theta} \rho^{-b}}{\partial y^m} = \sum_{\kappa=0}^m \binom{m}{\kappa} \frac{\partial^\kappa \theta^j}{\partial y^\kappa} \frac{\partial^{m-\kappa} e^{a\theta} \rho^{-b}}{\partial y^{m-\kappa}}. \quad (10)$$

By virtue of (5)-(7), (9), it is easy to show that for a fixed z belonging to the closure of any bounded domain from R_+^2 , we have:

$$\frac{\partial^m e^{a\theta} \rho^{-b}}{\partial y^m} = O(|x - \xi|^{-Re b - m}), \quad |\xi| \rightarrow +\infty, \quad a \neq 0, \quad (11)$$

$$\frac{\partial^m \rho^{-b}}{\partial y^m} = \begin{cases} O(|x - \xi|^{-Re b - 2(m - [\frac{m}{2}])}), & |\xi| \rightarrow +\infty, \\ 0, & b \in \{0, -2, \dots, -2(m - [\frac{m}{2}] - 1)\}, \quad m \in N, \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

$$\frac{\partial^m \theta^j}{\partial y^m} = O(|x - \xi|^{-m}), \quad |\xi| \rightarrow +\infty, \quad j \in N. \quad (13)$$

After substitution $\xi = x + yt$ we get:

$$\left. \frac{\partial^m e^{a\theta} \rho^{-b}}{\partial y^m} \right|_{\xi=x+yt} = O(|t|^{-Re b - m}), \quad |t| \rightarrow +\infty, \quad a \neq 0; \quad (14)$$

$$\left. \frac{\partial^m \rho^{-b}}{\partial y^m} \right|_{\xi=x+yt} = O \left(|t|^{-Re b - 2(m - [\frac{m}{2}])} \right), \quad |t| \rightarrow +\infty; \quad (15)$$

$$\left. \frac{\partial^m \theta^j}{\partial y^m} \right|_{\xi=x+yt} = O \left(|t|^{-m} \right), \quad |t| \rightarrow +\infty, \quad j \in N. \quad (16)$$

In view of (11)-(16), in the above - mentioned domain from (10) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial^m \theta^j e^{a\theta} \rho^{-b}}{\partial y^m} \\ &= \begin{cases} O(|x - \xi|^{-Re b - m}) = O(|t|^{-Re b - m}), \quad |\xi|, |t| \rightarrow +\infty, \\ \text{when either } a \neq 0, \quad m \in N^0, \text{ or } a = 0, \quad j \neq 0, \quad ;m \in N^0, \\ \text{or } a = j = m = 0, \\ \text{or } a = j = 0, \quad b \neq 0, -2, \dots, -2 \left(m - \left[\frac{m}{2} \right] - 1 \right), \quad m \in N_2; \\ O(|x - \xi|^{-Re b - m - 1}) = O(|t|^{-Re b - m - 1}), \quad |\xi|, |t| \rightarrow +\infty, \\ \text{when } a = j = 0, \quad b \neq 0, -2, \dots, -2 \left(m - \left[\frac{m}{2} \right] - 1 \right), \quad m \in N_1; \\ 0, \quad \text{when } a = j = 0, \quad b \in \left\{ 0, -2, \dots, -2 \left(m - \left[\frac{m}{2} \right] - 1 \right) \right\}, \quad m \in N. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

By virtue of (5)-(7) and (8), (9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left. \frac{\partial^m e^{a\theta} \rho^{-b}}{\partial y^m} \right|_{\xi=x+yt} \\ &= y^{-b-m} \sum_{\kappa=1}^{\left[\frac{m}{2} \right] + 1} \tilde{B}_\kappa(b, m; at) e^{a \cdot \operatorname{arc ctg}(-t)} (1 + t^2)^{-\frac{b}{2} - m + \kappa - 1}, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{B}_1(b, m; at) &= (-1)^m \prod_{l=1}^m [at + b + 2(l-1)], \\ \tilde{B}_\kappa(b, m; at) &= (-1)^{m-2\kappa+2} \sum_{\alpha_{\kappa-1}=2\kappa-3}^{m-1} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{\kappa-1} \sum_{\alpha_j=2j-1}^{\alpha_{j+1}-2} \right) \left\{ \prod_{k=1}^{\kappa-1} [b + 2(\alpha_k - k)] (m - \alpha_k) \right. \\ &\quad \times \left. \prod_{\substack{l=1 \\ l \neq \alpha_i - i+1 \\ i=1, 2, \dots, \kappa-1}}^{m-\kappa+1} [at + b + 2(l-1)] \right\}, \quad \kappa = 2, 3, \dots, \left[\frac{m}{2} \right] + 1; \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left. \frac{\partial^m \theta^j}{\partial y^m} \right|_{\xi=x+yt} \\
 &= \left\{ \begin{aligned}
 & (-1)^{m-j} [sign(-t)]^{-m} y^{-m} (1+t^2)^{-\frac{m}{2}} \sum_{\kappa_j=0}^m \left(\prod_{k=1}^{j-2} \sum_{\kappa_{k+1}=0}^{\kappa_k+2} \right) \left\{ \binom{m}{\kappa_j} \right. \\
 & \times \prod_{k=1}^{j-2} \binom{\kappa_{k+2}}{\kappa_{k+1}} (\kappa_2 - 1)! (m - \kappa_j - 1)! \\
 & \times \prod_{k=1}^{j-2} (\kappa_{k+2} - \kappa_{k+1} - 1)! \sin [\kappa_2 \operatorname{arc tg}(-t)] \\
 & \times \sin [(m - \kappa_j) \operatorname{arc tg}(-t)] \\
 & \left. \times \prod_{k=1}^{j-2} \sin [(\kappa_{k+2} - \kappa_{k+1}) \operatorname{arc tg}(-t)] \right\}, \quad j \geq 2; \\
 & (-1)^{m-1} (m-1)! y^{-m} (1+t^2)^{-\frac{m}{2}} [sign(-t)]^{-m} \\
 & \times \sin [m \operatorname{arc tg}(-t)], \quad j = 1,
 \end{aligned} \right. \tag{18}
 \end{aligned}$$

respectively.

If (3) is fulfilled and $m, k \in N_1$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & M_k(0, b, 0, m) \\
 &= y^{b+m-k-1} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (\xi - x)^k \frac{\partial^m \rho^{-b}}{\partial y^m} d\xi = y^{b+m} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} t^k \left. \frac{\partial^m \rho^{-b}}{\partial y^m} \right|_{\xi=x+yt} dt = 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

since the integrand because of (17) is an odd function with respect to t while the integral, in view of (3), is convergent. So, (4) is proved.

After substitution $\xi = x + yt$ the expression (1) will get the following form

$$M_k(a, b, j, m) = y^{b+m} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} t^k \left. \frac{\partial^m \theta^j e^{a\theta} \rho^{-b}}{\partial y^m} \right|_{\xi=x+yt} dt. \tag{19}$$

Now, according to (10), (17) and (18), it is clear that the right hand side of (19) and, therefore, $M_k(a, b, j, m)$ is independent of x, y .

R E F E R E N C E S

1. Jaiani G. Euler-Poisson-Darboux Equation, Tbilisi University Press, 1984 (in Russian, Georgian and English summaries).
2. Jaiani G. Initial and boundary value problems for singular differential equations and applications to the theory of cusped bars and plates, Complex Methods for Partial Differential Equations (ISAAC Serials, 6 (1999), 113-149), Eds.: H. Begehr, O. Celebi, W. Tutschke. Kluwer, Dordrecht.

Received 20.06.2008; revised 12.11.2008; accepted 24.12.2008.