

ON THE STABILIZATION OF SOLUTION AS $t \rightarrow \infty$ AND CONVERGENCE OF
THE CORRESPONDING FINITE DIFFERENCE SCHEME FOR ONE
NONLINEAR INTEGRO-DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION

Aptsiauri M., Jangveladze T., Kiguradze Z.

I. Vekua institute of Applied Mathematics
Iv. Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University
I. Chavchavadze State University

Abstract. Large time behavior of solutions and finite difference approximation of a nonlinear integro-differential equations associated with the penetration of a magnetic field into a substance is studied.

Keywords and phrases: Nonlinear integro-differential equation; stabilization of solution as $t \rightarrow \infty$; finite difference scheme.

AMS subject classification: 45K05; 65M06; 35K55.

Process of penetration of the magnetic field into a substance is modeled by Maxwell's system of partial differential equations [1]. If the coefficient of thermal heat capacity and electroconductivity of the substance depend on temperature, then Maxwell's system can be rewritten in the integro-differential form [2]. For the one-component magnetic field the one-dimensional case of this model is given by following integro-differential equation:

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[a \left(\int_0^t \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \right)^2 d\tau \right) \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \right], \quad (1)$$

where function $a = a(S)$ is defined for $S \in [0, \infty)$.

The existence of solutions of the initial-boundary value problem for the case $a(S) = 1 + S$ and the uniqueness for more general cases are studied in [2]. In [3] the existence and uniqueness properties studied for the case $a(S) = (1 + S)^p$, $0 < p \leq 1$.

In the work [4] some generalization of equations of type (1) is proposed. In particular, assuming the temperature of the considered body to be constant throughout the material, i.e., depending on time, but independent of the space coordinates, the same process of penetration of the magnetic field into the material is modeled by the integro-differential equation, one-dimensional analogue of that has the form [4]:

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} = a \left(\int_0^t \int_0^1 \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \right)^2 dx d\tau \right) \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial x^2}. \quad (2)$$

The existence and uniqueness properties of the solutions of the initial-boundary value problems for the equations of (2) type were first studied in the work [5]. Consequently investigation of (1) and (2) type models were continued in a number of other

works [6]-[13]. The existence theorems, that are proved in [2],[3],[5], are based on a priori estimates, Galerkin's method and compactness arguments as in [14],[15] for nonlinear parabolic equations.

Note that in [16] and [17] difference schemes for these and such type models were investigated. Difference schemes for a certain nonlinear parabolic integro-differential model similar to (1) and (2) were studied in [18].

The large time behavior to the solutions of the initial-boundary value problems for (1) and (2) type models for the cases $a(S) = (1+S)^p$, $0 < p \leq 1$ and $-1/2 < p < 0$ are studied in [10],[11] respectively. Note that in these works stabilization of the solutions of the problem with nonhomogeneous boundary data on one side of lateral boundary, has the power-like form. It should be noted also that in [12],[13] exponential stabilization of solutions of the problem with homogeneous boundary condition is given.

The purpose of this note is to continue the study of large time behavior of solutions of the first boundary value problem as well as investigation of difference scheme for the equation (2). Here attention is paid to the case $a(S) = 1 + S$.

In the domain $Q = (0, 1) \times (0, \infty)$ let us consider the following initial-boundary value problem for the equation (2):

$$U(0, t) = 0, \quad U(1, t) = \psi, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (3)$$

$$U(x, 0) = U_0(x), \quad x \in [0, 1], \quad (4)$$

where $\psi = \text{Const} > 0$ and $U_0 = U_0(x)$ is a given function.

Following statement takes place.

Theorem 1. *If $a(S) = 1 + S$, $U_0 \in H^3(0, 1)$, $U_0(0) = 0$, $U_0(1) = \psi$, then for the unique solution of problem (2)-(4) the following estimates hold:*

$$\left| \frac{\partial U(x, t)}{\partial x} - \psi \right| \leq C \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha t}{2}\right), \quad \left| \frac{\partial U(x, t)}{\partial t} \right| \leq C \exp\left(-\frac{\beta t}{2}\right),$$

where $\alpha = \text{Const}$, $0 < \alpha < 1$, $0 < \beta = \text{Const} < \alpha$.

Note that we use usual Sobolev spaces $H^k(0, 1)$. Here and below C denote positive constants independent of t .

As Theorem 1 shows exponential stabilization of solution of the initial-boundary value problem with nonhomogeneous boundary data on one side of lateral boundary takes place as in case of homogeneous conditions on whole boundary.

The following statements are necessary to prove Theorem 1.

Theorem 2. *If $a(S) = 1 + S$, $U_0 \in H^1(0, 1)$, $U_0(0) = 0$, $U_0(1) = \psi$, then for the unique solution of problem (2)-(4) the following estimate is true*

$$\|U - \psi x\|_{L_2(0,1)} + \left\| \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} - \psi \right\|_{L_2(0,1)} \leq C \exp\left(-\frac{t}{2}\right).$$

Theorem 3. *If $a(S) = 1 + S$, $U_0 \in H^2(0, 1)$, $U_0(0) = 0$, $U_0(1) = \psi$, then for the unique solution of problem (2)-(4) the following estimate is true*

$$\left\| \frac{\partial U(x, t)}{\partial t} \right\|_{L_2(0,1)} \leq C \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha t}{2}\right).$$

In the rectangle $Q_T = (0, 1) \times (0, T)$, where T is a positive constant, we consider following problem:

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} - \left[1 + \int_0^t \int_0^1 \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \right)^2 dx d\tau \right] \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial x^2} = f(x, t), \quad (5)$$

$$U(0, t) = 0, \quad U(1, t) = \psi, \quad (6)$$

$$U(x, 0) = U_0(x). \quad (7)$$

where $f = f(x, t)$ and $U_0 = U_0(x)$ are sufficiently smooth given functions of their arguments.

We correspond to the problem (5)-(7) the difference scheme:

$$\frac{u_i^{j+1} - u_i^j}{\tau} - \left[1 + \tau h \sum_{l=1}^M \sum_{k=1}^{j+1} (u_{\bar{x}, l}^k)^2 \right] u_{\bar{x}, i}^{j+1} = f_i^j, \quad (8)$$

$$i = 1, 2, \dots, M-1; \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, N-1,$$

$$u_0^j = 0, \quad u_M^j = \psi, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, N, \quad (9)$$

$$u_i^0 = U_{0,i}, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, M. \quad (10)$$

It is not difficult to obtain the following estimation

$$\|u^n\|_h^2 + \sum_{j=1}^n \|u_{\bar{x}}^j\|_h^2 \tau \leq C, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, N. \quad (11)$$

The a-priori estimate (11) guarantees the stability of the scheme (8)-(10).

Theorem 4. *If the problem (5)-(7) has a sufficiently smooth unique solution $U = U(x, t)$, then exists the unique solution $u^j = (u_1^j, u_2^j, \dots, u_{M-1}^j)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$ of the finite difference scheme (8)-(10) and tends to the $U^j = (U_1^j, U_2^j, \dots, U_{M-1}^j)$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$ as $\tau \rightarrow 0$, $h \rightarrow 0$ and the following estimate is true*

$$\|u^j - U^j\|_h \leq C(\tau + h), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, N. \quad (12)$$

We now comment on the numerical implementation of the discrete problem (8)-(10). Note that (8) can be rewritten as:

$$\frac{1}{\tau} u_i^{j+1} - A(\mathbf{u}^{j+1}) \frac{u_{i+1}^{j+1} - 2u_i^{j+1} + u_{i-1}^{j+1}}{h^2} - f_i^j - \frac{1}{\tau} u_i^j = 0,$$

$$i = 1, \dots, M-1.$$

where

$$A(\mathbf{u}^{j+1}) = 1 + \tau h \sum_{\ell=1}^M \sum_{k=1}^{j+1} \left(\frac{u_{\ell}^k - u_{\ell-1}^k}{h} \right)^2.$$

This system can be written in matrix form

$$\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{u}^{j+1}) \equiv \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{u}^{j+1}) - \frac{1}{\tau} \mathbf{u}^j - \mathbf{f}^j = \mathbf{0}.$$

The vector \mathbf{u} containing all the unknowns u_1, \dots, u_{M-1} at the level indicated. The vector \mathbf{G} is given by

$$\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{u}^{j+1}) = \mathbf{T}(\mathbf{u}^{j+1}) \mathbf{u}^{j+1},$$

where the matrix \mathbf{T} is symmetric and tridiagonal with elements

$$\mathbf{T}_{ir} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\tau} + 2\frac{A}{h^2}, & r = i, \\ -\frac{A}{h^2}, & r = i \pm 1. \end{cases}$$

Newton's method for the system is given by

$$\nabla \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{u}^{j+1}) \Big|^{(n)} \left(\mathbf{u}^{j+1} \Big|^{(n+1)} - \mathbf{u}^{j+1} \Big|^{(n)} \right) = -\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{u}^{j+1}) \Big|^{(n)}.$$

Theorem 5. *Given the nonlinear system of equations*

$$g_i(x_1, \dots, x_{M-1}) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, M-1.$$

If g_i are three times continuously differentiable in a region containing the solution ξ_1, \dots, ξ_{M-1} and the Jacobian does not vanish in that region, then Newton's method converges at least quadratically (see [19]).

In our case we can write

$$g_i = u_i^{j+1} - \tau A(\mathbf{u}^{j+1}) \frac{u_{i+1}^{j+1} - 2u_i^{j+1} + u_{i-1}^{j+1}}{h^2} - \tau f_i^j - u_i^j = 0,$$

$$i = 1, \dots, M-1.$$

It is not difficult to check that the Jacobian doesn't vanish. The differentiability is guaranteed, since ∇H is quadratic. Newton's method is costly, because the matrix changes at every step of the iteration. One can use modified Newton (keep the same matrix for several iterations) but the rate of convergence will be slower.

Various numerical experiments are carried out. Received results agree with theoretical researches.

R E F E R E N C E S

1. Landau L., Lifschitz E. *Electrodynamics of Continuous Media*, Moscow, 1958 (in Russian).
2. Gordeziani D., Dzhangveladze T., Korshia T. Existence and Uniqueness of the Solution of a Class of Nonlinear Parabolic Problems, *Differ. Uravn.*, **19** (1983), 1197–1207 (in Russian). English translation: *Diff. Eq.*, **19** (1983), 887–895.
3. Dzhangveladze T. The First Boundary Value Problem for a Nonlinear Equation of Parabolic Type, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, **269** (1983), 839–842 (in Russian). English translation: *Soviet Phys. Dokl.*, **28** (1983), 323–324.

4. Laptev G. Quasilinear Evolution Partial Differential Equations with Operator Coefficients, Doctoral Dissertation, Moscow, 1990 (in Russian).
5. Jangveladze T.A. On One Class of Nonlinear Integro-Differential Parabolic Equations, *Sem. I. Vekua Inst. Appl. Math., REPORTS*, **23** (1997), 51–87.
6. Laptev G. Mathematical Singularities of a Problem on The Penetration of a Magnetic Field into a Substance, *Zh. Vychisl. Mat. i Mat. Fiz.*, **28** (1988), 1332–1345 (in Russian).
7. Laptev G. Quasilinear Parabolic Equations Which Contains in Coefficients Volterra's Operator, *Math. Sbornik*, **136** (1988), 530–545 (in Russian), English translation: *Sbornik Math.*, **64** (1989), 527–542.
8. Long N., Dinh A. Nonlinear Parabolic Problem Associated with the Penetration of a Magnetic Field into a Substance, *Math. Mech. Appl. Sci.*, **16** (1993), 281–295.
9. Long N., Dinh A. Periodic Solutions of a Nonlinear Parabolic Equation Associated with the Penetration of a Magnetic Field into a Substance, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, **30** (1995) 63–78.
10. Jangveladze T., Kiguradze Z. Estimates of a Stabilization Rate as of Solutions of a Nonlinear Integro-Differential Equation, *Georgian Math. J.*, **9** (2002), 57–70.
11. Jangveladze T., Kiguradze Z. Estimates of a Stabilization Rate as of Solutions of a Nonlinear Integro-Differential Diffusion System, *J. Appl. Math. Inform. Mech.*, **8** (2003), 1–19.
12. Dzhangveladze T., Kiguradze Z. On the Stabilization of Solutions of an Initial-Boundary Value Problem for a Nonlinear Integro-Differential Equation, *Differ. Uravn.*, **43** (2007), 833–840 (in Russian). English translation: *Diff. Eq.*, **43** (2007), 854–861.
13. Dzhangveladze T., Kiguradze Z. Asymptotic Behavior of the Solution to Nonlinear Integro-Differential Diffusion Equation, *Differ. Uravn.*, **44** (2008), 854–861 (in Russian). English translation: *Diff. Eq.*, **44** (2008), 1–13.
14. Vishik M. On Solvability of the Boundary Value Problems for Higher Order Quasilinear Parabolic Eequations, *Math. Sbornik*, **59** (1962), 289–325 (in Russian).
15. Lions J. Quelques Méthodes de Résolution des Problèmes aux Limites Non-linéaires, Dunod, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1969.
16. Jangveladze T.A. Convergence of a Difference Scheme for a Nonlinear Integro-Differential Equation, *Proc. of I. Vekua Inst. Appl. Math.*, **48** (1998), 38–43.
17. Kiguradze Z.V. Finite Difference Scheme for a Nonlinear Integro-Differential System, *Proc. I. Vekua Inst. Appl. Math.*, **50-51** (2000-2001), 65–72.
18. Neta B., Igwe J.O. Finite Differences Versus Finite Elements for Solving Nonlinear Integro-Differential Equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **112** (1985), 607–618.
19. Rheinboldt W.C. Methods for Solving Systems of Nonlinear Equations, SIAM, Philadelphia, 1970.

Received 17.09.2008; accepted 24.12.2008.