

**THREE-LAYER DIFFERENCE SCHEMES FOR MULTIDIMENSIONAL  
PARABOLIC EQUATION OF THE SECOND ORDER**

Qomurjishvili O.

*I.Vekua Institute of Applied Mathematics,  
Tbilisi State University*

Received in 28.07.04

*Abstract*

The paper deals with the algorithm for construction of absolutely stable and conditionally approximable non-explicit three-layer schemes for the parabolic type equation with the variable coefficients. This algorithm gives us a possibility for reduction of discrete problem to the solution of a system of linear equations defined by a three-point equation. In the special case, when the dimension  $p = 1$ , it is obtained absolutely stable scheme with the exactness  $O(\tau^2 + h^2)$ .

I. For simplicity initially we consider the heat conduction equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = Lu + f, \quad Lu = \sum_{i=1}^p L_i u, \quad L_i u = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i^2}, \quad x \in G, \quad t \in (0, T], \quad (1.1)$$

$$u|_{\Gamma} = 0, \quad u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad (x = x_1, \dots, x_p)$$

Let  $G = \text{Gop}$ , be the  $p$ -dimensional cube  $(0 \leq x_i \leq 1, \quad i = \overline{1, p})$   $\overline{\omega_h} = \{(i h_1, \dots, i h_p) \in G\}$  be the cube type net with the step  $h = 1/N_i$ . for each  $x_i, i = \overline{1, p}$ ,  $\overline{\omega_\tau}$  be the net on the interval  $0 \leq t \leq T$  with the step  $\tau = \frac{T}{N_0}$ .

The basic idea for the obtaining of difference schemes is the representation of the given differential equation in the following identity

$$\beta \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} = \left( \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} + \beta \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_k^2} \right)_{(\delta_k)} + \beta \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k}}^p \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i^2} + \beta f, \quad (1.2)$$

$$k = \overline{1, p}, \quad \beta = \text{const} \neq 0$$

The parabolic sections with respect to the coordinate planes passing through the elementary nucleus are denoted by  $\delta_k$  (this is the basic node).

Further the index indicates  $\delta_k$  mentioned Summand by means of directional derivatives.

Justifying the problem (1.1) we use (1.2) by the representation and consider the following functional

$$I(u) = \int_{t_0}^{t_n} \int_0^1 \int I \beta u \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2n_1} \sum_{i=1}^{2n_1} I A_1^{(i)} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial l_i} \right)^2 + B_1^{(i)} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial l_{i+1}} \right)^2 + C_1^{(i)} \frac{\partial u}{\partial l_i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial l_{i+1}} \Big|_{(\delta_k)} + \beta \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k}}^{2n_1} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 - 2\beta f u \Big| dx \Big| dt$$

If the function  $u(x,t)$  is the solution of the problem (1.1), then according to the well known Hamilton principle

$$I(u) \rightarrow \min \tag{1.3}$$

i.e. for the function  $u$  the functional  $I(u)$  admits his minimal value by changing of time  $t$  in an arbitrary interval. In particular, if we divide the interval of time changing  $t_n - t_0$  ( $t_0=0$ ) into the small intervals  $t_j - t_{j-1}$ ,  $j=\overline{1,n}$ . Then it is possible to rewrite the condition (1.3) in the following form:

$$I(u) = \sum_{j=1}^n I_j(u) \rightarrow \min$$

where

$$I_j(u) = \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} \int_0^1 \int I \beta u \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2n_1} \sum_{i=1}^{2n_1} I A_1^{(i)} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial l_i} \right)^2 + B_1^{(i)} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial l_{i+1}} \right)^2 + C_1^{(i)} \frac{\partial u}{\partial l_i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial l_{i+1}} \Big|_{(\delta_k)} + \beta \sum_{i=1}^{2n_1} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 - 2\beta f u \Big| dx \Big| dt$$

$$A_1^{(i)} = \frac{\cos^2 \alpha_{i+1} + \beta \sin^2 \alpha_{i+1}}{\sin^2(\alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_i)}, \quad B_1^{(i)} = \frac{\cos^2 \alpha_i + \beta \sin^2 \alpha_i}{\sin^2(\alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_i)},$$

$$C_1^{(i)} = \frac{-2(\cos \alpha_i \cos \alpha_{i+1} + \beta \sin \alpha_{i+1} \sin \alpha_i)}{\sin^2(\alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_i)},$$

The directions  $l_i$  are defined by means of the angles  $\alpha_i$  in the following way

$$\vec{l} = (l_1, \dots, l_{2n_1}) \Rightarrow (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{2n_1}), \quad \alpha_{n_1+i} = \pi + \alpha_i, \quad l_i = -l_{n_1+i}, \quad i = \overline{1, n}$$

$$\left( \int_0^1 \dots \int_0^1 \right)$$

If we repeat the inference, which is reduced in [1], we obtain the following difference problem

$$u_t^0 + \tau^2 R_k u_{\bar{t}} = L_h u + f, \quad u|_{\Gamma_n} = 0, \quad u(x,0) = u_0(x) \tag{1.4}$$

$$L_h u = \sum_{i=1}^p u_{x_i x_i}, \quad R_k u = -\sigma u_{x_k x_k}, \quad k = \overline{1, p}$$

(we remain the same notations for difference functions).

Analogously we can write the difference scheme for the equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = Lu + f,$$

where  $L = \sum_{i,j=1}^p a_{ij} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} - \sum_{i=1}^p a_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} - a$  is the elliptic operator.

$$\left( C_1 \sum_{i=1}^p \xi_i^2 \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^p a_{i,j} \xi_i \xi_j \leq c_2 \sum_{i=1}^p \xi_i^2 \right)$$

Moreover we will mean that

$$\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^p a_i^2}, \quad |a| < c_2, \quad c_1, c_2 = \text{const} > 0$$

$$u_t^0 + \tau^2 R_k u_{\bar{i}\bar{i}} = L_h u + f, \quad (1.5)$$

where

$$L_h u = \sum_{i=1}^p a_{ii} u_{x_i x_i} + \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^p a_{ij} \left[ \frac{1}{4} (u_{x_i} + u_{x_j})_{x_j} + \frac{1}{4} (u_i + u_{x_i})_{x_{ij}} \right] +$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^p a_i u_{x_i}^0 + au, \quad R_k = \sigma a_{kk} L_k, \quad L_k = -\Delta_{kk}, \quad -\Delta_{kk} u = -u_{x_k x_k}, \quad k = \overline{1, p}$$

(1.5) represent one dimensional  $p$  amount and independent from one another equivalent difference schemes. For the realization of these schemes it is applied the sweep method with respect to the axes  $OX_k$

II. The investigation of the schemes (1.4), (1.5) is based on the general principle of regularization.

The sufficient stability condition for canonical three-layer difference schemes is given in the following simple form (see [2])

$$R > \frac{1}{4} L \text{ or } R \geq \frac{1+\varepsilon}{4} L \quad (2.1)$$

If we apply the inequality

$$8p \|u\|^2 \leq \left( -\sum_{i=1}^p \Delta_{ii} u_i, u \right) \leq \frac{4p}{h^2} \|u\|^2, \quad (2.2)$$

for on arbitrary  $p$  ( see [2], ch. IV , § 4, article 2), according to (1.1) we obtain that

$$\delta > \frac{1}{4} + \frac{p-1}{8h^2} \quad (2.3)$$

We can study the question of the stability of the difference scheme (1.5), if instead of the inequality (1.2) we apply with the inequality (1.2), considered in [2], and obtain that

$$\delta > \frac{1}{8} \frac{c_2}{c_1} \frac{p}{h^2}, \quad (2.4)$$

Thus, if we choose the parameter  $\sigma$  from the conditions (2.3), (2.4) the difference schemes (1.4), (1.5) represent absolutely stable schemes.

The error of approximation ( $t=0$ ) is defined in the following way.

$$\Psi = L_h u - u_t^0 - \tau^2 R_k u_{\bar{i}\bar{i}} = Lu - \dot{u} - \sigma \tau^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_k^2} \left( \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} \right) + O(\tau^2 + |h|^2) =$$

$$= O(\tau^2 + |h|^2 - \frac{1}{8} \frac{c_2}{c_1} \frac{p \tau^2}{h^2})$$

It is obvious from this that if  $\left| \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_k^2} \left( \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} \right) \right| < M$ ,  $M = \text{const} > 0$  is independent from  $\tau$ ,

the schemes (1.4), (1.5) have the conditional approximation if  $\tau = O(h^2)$ . But if  $p=1$ , the difference scheme (1.4) is absolutely stable with the exactness  $O(\tau^2 + h^2)$ .

With the help of numerical methods we verify that the same exactness reminds also if  $\tau = O(h)$ ,  $(h \leq c\tau, \frac{1}{2} \leq c \leq 1)$

For the comparison, in the case  $p=1$  and  $R=E$ , the conditional approximate explicit scheme of Diufort – Franchel is well known, which is obtained from the Richardson scheme  $u_t^0 = \Delta_{11}U$  by addition to the left hand  $\frac{\tau^2}{h^2} u_{t\bar{t}}$ . In our case it is obtained the absolutely stable scheme with the exactness  $O(\tau^2 + h^2)$ . where  $R = -\Delta_{11}$

**Remarks:**

1. Both the factored difference schemes and the schemes (1.5) can be applied as an iterative algorithm for the difference analogy, which corresponds to the elliptic equation. For exampl see [3].
2. As we have note, (1.5) is the p amount independent from each other equivalent schemes. If we compose arithmetic mean

$$u = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^p u_i \tag{2.5}$$

or the moment  $t=2\tau$

where  $u_1, \dots, u_p$  are the solutions of the boundary problems corresponding to the difference schemes (1.5) and so on until  $N\tau$ , it is proved that (2.5) presents the solution for the absolutely stable with the exactness  $O(\tau^2 + h^2)$  sheme

$$u_t^0 + \tau^2 \sum_{i=1}^p R_k u_{t\bar{t}} = L_h u + f$$

The numerical analysis conducting on the whole series of tests showed us that the process is stable, but the flow of numbers are increased argumented p times.

This algorithm is suggested by D. Gordeziani and my great respect to him.

**R E F E R E N C E**

1. Komurjishvili O.P. Three layer difference schemes for multidimensional hyperbolic equation. Reports of Enlarged Session of the Seminar of I.Vekua Institute of Applied Mathematics, V. 18, N1, 2003
2. Samarski A.A. Theory of difference schemes. Nouka, 1977.
3. Gordeziani D.G. On a class of factoring difference schemes. The seminar of inst. of applied mathematics, V. 1, 1969, pp. 25-28.